

## Calaveras County Mokelumne River Long-Term Water Needs Study

Calaveras County, California

Prepared For:

Calaveras County Water District and Calaveras Public Utility District

Prepared By:

Jeff Meyer and Jared Emery ECORP Consulting, Inc. 2525 Warren Drive Rocklin, California 95677

September 2017



#### **CONTENTS**

| 1.0               | Introduction1   |
|-------------------|---|
| 2.0               | Background1   |
| 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3 | Calaveras Public Utility District2Calaveras County Water District2California Wild and Scenic Rivers Act and Future Suitability Study5   |
| 3.0               | Consumptive Demands   |
| 3.1               | CCWD Demands9West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville9Western Calaveras County11Area A / Area B / (Wallace/Burson)14Valley Springs17Jenny Lind / La Contenta18Groundwater Recharge/Augmentation20 |
| 3.2               | CPUD Demands  |
| 4.0               | Water Supply / Existing Water Rights  |
| 4.1<br>4.2        | CCWD Water Rights23Permit 1545223CPUD Water Rights24May 8, 1940 Agreement25March 5, 1959 Release from Priority25January 13, 1970 Agreement25Permit 1633825CPUD / CCWD Agreement25           |
| 5.0               | Simulation Modeling   |
| 5.1               | Modeling Assumptions.       26         Hydrology.       26         Facilities .       27         Consumptive Demands.       30         Alternatives       20                                |
| 5.3               | Results   |
| 6.0               | Conclusions 41  |
| 7.0               | References  |

#### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. Areas Served by Both Districts  | 3 |
|---|---|
| Figure 2. Existing and Potential Areas Served By Mokelumne River                | 4 |
| Figure 3. Proposed Designated Section of the Mokelumne River as Wild and Scenic | 7 |
| Figure 4. West Point / Wilseyville / Bummerville Service Area 1                 | 0 |
| Figure 5. Critically Overdrafted Groundwater Basins, January 2016 1             | 2 |
| Figure 6. Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Basin within Calaveras County         | 3 |

| Figure 7. Potential Suitable Agriculture Lands in Area A and Area B 1                                | 5 |
|--|---|
| Figure 8. Wallace and Burson 1   | 6 |
| Figure 9. Jenny Lind / La Contenta / Valley Springs 1  | 9 |
| Figure 10. CPUD Service Area 2   | 2 |
| Figure 11. Average Monthly Flows at the confluence of the Middle Fork and South Fork Mokelumne River | 7 |
| Figure 12. Model Schematic 2   | 9 |
| Figure 13. Baseline Deliveries 3   | 3 |
| Figure 14. Baseline 2070 Deliveries 3  | 4 |
| Figure 15. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Baseline - Baseline 2070                      | 5 |
| Figure 16. Alternative 1 Deliveries 3  | 6 |
| Figure 17. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 1 - Baseline 2070 3               | 6 |
| Figure 18. Alternative 2 Deliveries 3  | 7 |
| Figure 19. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 2 - Baseline 2070 3               | 8 |
| Figure 20. Alternative 3 Deliveries  | 9 |
| Figure 21. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 3 - Baseline 2070 3               | 9 |
| Figure 22. Alternative 4 Deliveries 4  | 0 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. | West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville Demand Projections | 11 |
|----------|---|----|
| Table 2. | Area A/Area B/ Wallace/Burson Projected Demand        | 17 |
| Table 3. | Valley Springs Projected Surface Water Demand         | 18 |
| Table 4. | Jenny Lind/La Contenta Projected Demand               | 18 |
| Table 5. | Projected CPUD Consumptive Surface Water Demands      | 21 |
| Table 6. | Water Rights Summary                                  | 24 |
| Table 7. | Demand Summary  | 30 |
| Table 8. | Study Matrix  | 32 |

## LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - KASL Technical Memorandum: Potential Demands For Mokelumne River Water Supplies in Western Calaveras County

Attachment B - KASL Technical Memorandum: Potential Demand for Mokelumne River Water Supplies Along Proposed Route of Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline

Attachment C - Provost & Pritchard. 2011. Technical Memorandum: Evaluating the Potential for Agricultural Development in Calaveras County. 15 June 2011.

Attachment D - ECORP Consulting: Mokelumne River Modeling Technical Memorandum, August 2017

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Calaveras County Mokelumne River Long-Term Water Needs Study is to guide both Calaveras County Water District (CCWD) and Calaveras Public Utility District (CPUD) in pursuing two objectives: 1) supplying the requested information to the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency (Secretary) for a report to the legislature on the suitability of portions of the Mokelumne River for state designation as "Wild and Scenic", as required by Assembly Bill (AB) 142 (Bigelow, 2015), and, 2) the necessary investigation to provide a long-term planning document to assist the Districts in meeting their future water supply objectives. AB 142 requires the Secretary to study and submit to the Governor and the Legislature a report that analyzes the suitability or non-suitability of the proposed designation of the segments of the Mokelumne River as Wild and Scenic. This report provides information about anticipated water supply needs for both Districts in support of the Wild and Scenic Studies required by the AB 142.

The following segments of the North Fork and main stem Mokelumne River are designated for potential addition to the Wild and Scenic system:

- a. The North Fork Mokelumne River from 0.50 miles downstream of the Salt Springs 97-006 Dam to 0.50 miles upstream of the Tiger Creek Powerhouse.
- b. The North Fork Mokelumne River from 1,000 feet downstream of the Tiger Creek Afterbay 97-105 Dam to State Highway Route 26.
- c. The North Fork Mokelumne River from 400 feet downstream of the small reregulating dam at the outlet of the West Point Powerhouse to the confluence of the North and Middle Forks of the Mokelumne River.
- d. The main stem of the Mokelumne River from the confluence of the North and Middle Forks to 300 feet upstream of the Electra Powerhouse.
- e. The main stem of the Mokelumne River from 300 feet downstream of the small reregulating dam downstream of the Electra Powerhouse to the Pardee Reservoir flood surcharge pool at 580 feet elevation above mean sea level (msl).

The Districts' current Mokelumne River Basin supplies originate from Bear Creek (a tributary to the Middle Fork of the Mokelumne River), Middle Fork Mokelumne River, Licking Fork Mokelumne River, and South Fork Mokelumne River. The Districts have limited their analysis within this study to the evaluation of potential changes to existing conditions in these segments of the river due to reasonably foreseeable land use and water supply demand forecasts within the County of Calaveras. Changes in diversions from these sources could only affect proposed sections (d) and (e) of the Mokelumne River.

## 2.0 BACKGROUND

The Districts' multiple service areas are independent and geographically distinct; with widely varying demographics, land use, climate, and water supply infrastructure. Their respective service areas range in elevation from about 200 feet msl near Wallace on the Eastern side of the San Joaquin

Valley floor, to an elevation of about 7,200 feet msl at the upper reaches of the Middle Fork Mokelumne Watershed near the Sierra Nevada Crest.

Due to its specific location within California and the associated topography, Calaveras County has a remarkably varied climate. Hot, dry summers and temperate winters prevail in the western foothills, with temperatures ranging from the mid-30s to the high 90s in degrees Fahrenheit (°F), routinely exceeding 100°F during the summer. Mild summers and cold winters characterize the mountainous eastern portion of the County, with temperatures ranging from the low 20s to the mid-80s °F. The project location for the purposes of this study includes the mainstem Mokelumne River and tributary watersheds within Calaveras County and areas planned to be served by the Districts.

## 2.1 Calaveras Public Utility District

CPUD was formed in 1934 by an election held under the California Public Utilities Code. Mokelumne Hill and San Andreas voters approved the formation of a public utility district to provide water to their area due to growing concern about future water needs in both towns. At the time of the election CPUD did not own any facilities as a result of a failed attempt to apply for federal funding in 1934. It was not until after acquiring funding from a federal grant and a bond measure passed in December 1938, CPUD was able to purchase the Mokelumne River Power and Water Company. With the purchase came existing water rights to the Middle Fork and South Fork Mokelumne Rivers with a priority date of 1852, canals, ditches, flumes and reservoirs which formed the backbone of the water supply system. In 1973, the District added the Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant and reservoir, storage tanks, pipelines and associated improvements. The communities of Mokelumne Hill, San Andreas, Paloma, and portions of Glencoe and Rail Road Flat are served by this system.

## 2.2 Calaveras County Water District

CCWD was organized under the laws of the State of California as a public agency for the purpose of developing and administering the water resources in Calaveras County. Therefore, CCWD is a political subdivision of the State of California and is governed by the California Constitution and the California Government and Water Codes. CCWD was formed to preserve and develop water resources and to provide water to the citizens of Calaveras County. CCWD currently serves the communities of West Point, Wilseyville, and Bummerville located in the northeastern portion of Calaveras County with surface water supplies from the Mokelumne River. These communities are served primarily by Bear Creek, a tributary to the Middle Fork Mokelumne, with supplemental supplies provided by diversions off the Middle Fork Mokelumne River under contract with CPUD. CCWD also supplies water to areas of Wallace using groundwater supplies from the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Subbasin (ESJGS), which has been listed as "critically overdrafted" for more than 35 years by the Department of Water Resources (DWR). Future expansion includes serving the communities of Wallace, Burson and Valley Springs to reduce reliance on unreliable groundwater supplies, improve the conditions of the "critically overdrafted" ESJGS, and improve redundancy considerations due to unreliable water quantity and quality from the Calaveras River. Figure 1 illustrates existing areas currently served by Mokelumne River. Figure 2 illustrates existing and potential Mokelumne River service areas.



Map Date: 8/21/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc.

#### Figure 1. CCWD & CPUD Service Areas Currently Served by Mokelumne River

2017-031 Calaveras County Water District



Map Date: 8/22/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc.

Figure 2. Existing & Potential Mokelumne Service Areas

2017-031 Calaveras County Water District

#### 2.3 California Wild and Scenic Rivers Act and Future Suitability Study

The California Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (Act) was passed by the State Legislature in 1972, following the passage of the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act by Congress in 1968. Summarily, the Act created a state Wild and Scenic classification system and the necessary administrative considerations, in addition to dedicating several specified reaches of multiple rivers throughout California as "wild and scenic." The specific provisions relating to the Act are contained in Public Resources Code § 5093.50 *et seq.* PRC § 5093.50 states as follows:

"It is the policy of the State of California that certain rivers which possess extraordinary scenic, recreational, fishery, or wildlife values shall be preserved in their free-flowing state, together with their immediate environments, for the benefit and enjoyment of the people of the state. The Legislature declares that such use of these rivers is the highest and most beneficial use and is a reasonable and beneficial use of water within the meaning of Section 2 of Article X of the California Constitution. It is the purpose of this chapter to create a California Wild and Scenic Rivers System to be administered in accordance with the provisions of this chapter."

Of notable interest to the Districts within the context of the development of this study is that Section 5093.55 further prohibits construction of "...any dam, reservoir, diversion, or other water impoundment facility ..." on any Wild and Scenic designated river and segment thereof, and continues "... nor may a water diversion facility be constructed on the river and segment unless and until the secretary determines that the facility is needed to supply domestic water to the residents of the county or counties through which the river and segment flows, and unless and until the secretary determines that the facility will not adversely affect the free-flowing condition and natural character of the river and segment." The Districts are acutely aware that there will reasonably be future additional water supply needs within Calaveras County, moreover, the additional storage and diversions to support those needs. It is likely that any new project in the upper reaches of Amador and Calaveras County to supply future demands for these counties will affect the "free-flowing and natural character" of the watershed within the lower reaches of Mokelumne River.

AB 142 (Bigelow) was passed by the Legislature in 2015, and signed by the Governor on October 9, 2015. The bill, among other things, required the secretary" (Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency) prepare a report analyzing the suitability or nonsuitability of a proposed designation of the Mokelumne River, its tributaries, or segments thereof as additions to the system, to consider the potential effects of the proposed designation on future water requirements, as specified, and the effects of climate change on river values and current and projected water supplies, and to consider other factors. This report would be submitted to the State Legislature and Governor and requires the Secretary provide a clear recommendation on the suitability or nonsuitability for adding the specified reaches to the State Wild and Scenic system. (On June 17, 2017 the Districts received correspondence from staff at the California Natural Resources Agency requesting additional information of local value for their preparation of the report. In the letter, the staff and consultant Project Manager states that they are "...seeking any relevant existing information on existing and future Mokelumne River water supplies and water uses; regional climate change; and Mokelumne River geologic, water and water quality, scenic, recreational, fish, botanical, wildlife, cultural and

historic, and/or scientific, ecological, or educational resources, especially those that may be deemed to be extraordinary."

The Districts provided an index of studies and reports that have been completed over a span of more than 60 years related to the Mokelumne River within Calaveras County in partial fulfillment of the California Natural Resources Agency's request. The analysis and study in this report provides the California Natural Resources Agency with an evaluation to meet their informational needs consistent with AB 142, specific to the effects of the reasonably foreseeable demands and supply requirements for the Districts within Calaveras County on the proposed reaches of the Mokelumne River to be evaluated in the Wild and Scenic suitability report. For this study, the Districts have chosen to only evaluate the impacts of potential changes in hydrology in the proposed sections (d) and (e) of the Mokelumne River as shown in Figure 3.



Map Date: 8/14/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme



# Figure 3. Existing and Potential Areas Served by Mokelumne River

2017-031 Calaveras County Water District

## 3.0 CONSUMPTIVE DEMANDS

The primary focus of this effort is to identify long-term water supply needs from the Mokelumne River and its tributaries to meet the projected demands of Calaveras County. The methods used reflect the conservation measures employed by both Districts.

CCWD views conservation as an integral part of their water resource stewardship responsibility. As such, the District signed the California Urban Water Conservation Council Memorandum of Understanding in 1991 and implemented many of the Demand Management Measures (DMMs), even prior to the MOU, such as leak detection and repair, 100-percent metered service, metered rates, public information programs, and water waste prohibitions. CCWD has worked to expand its water conservation program to achieve the largest water savings, and appropriately manages a tiered rate structure to promote water conservation while ensuring water use equity. However, due to the rural nature of the County; diversity in climate, soils, elevation, and geography; and relatively small and dispersed rural population with a large fraction of low income housing; CCWD is reaching a point where DMM affordability is decreasing. Nevertheless, the District is exploring cost-effective options to meet DMM requirements and the state's 20 x 2020 (Senate Bill X7-7) requirements.

One of the CCWD's most effective efforts in 2015 was the formation of "Calaveras Conserves," a countywide conservation group that includes every major water supplier in the County. This eight member group, which includes CPUD, collaborated to create a website,

www.calaverasconserves.com, where county residents can find mandatory water conservation restrictions for every water district in Calaveras County in one place. Additionally, members pooled funds to make hundreds of road signs that read "Use Water Wisely," which were placed in prominent locations throughout the County to promote conservation. This group continues to meet quarterly and is an excellent platform for water purveyors to collaborate and work together toward achieving common goals.

CPUD is also using public outreach to encourage conservation. However, CPUD's status and approach is slightly different than CCWD. According to the CPUD's December 2013 Sphere of influence Update, CPUD provided water services to approximately 1,985 water connections in 2009. Senate Bill X7-7 which requires a 20 percent reduction in use by the year 2020, is only applicable to agencies with 3000 connections or more. Although CPUD is exempt from meeting the 20 X 2020 goals, they are actively engaged in conservation programs. In addition to Calaveras Conserves, CPUD Board of Directors passed Resolution 2015-6 which enacted a mandatory water conservation plan. This ordinance establishes Permanent Water Conservation Requirements intended to alter behavior related to water use efficiency for non-shortage conditions and further establishes three levels of water supply shortage response actions to be implemented during times of declared water shortage emergency, with increasing restrictions on water use in response to worsening drought or emergency conditions and decreasing supplies.

This section describes the methods used for each projecting demands in the Districts' services areas. Projected demands reflect the Districts' commitment to conservation.

#### 3.1 CCWD Demands

CCWD's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan Update (UWMP) was used as a reference to determine future Mokelumne River demands. The UWMP presents the results of three approaches used to calculate future level demands. Approach 1 is the Historical Connections Growth Projection which assumes that future demands would increase at the same rate as historical growth in the number of new residential connections. Approach 2 is the Land Use Based Projections which are based on Calaveras County's expected build out according to the County's General Plan as well as approved Community Plans and Special Plans. Approach 3 is the Department of Finance Population Projections, which project growth by applying percent growth for Calaveras County to the baseline demand averaged from 2009-2013 in each service area for all customer classes apart from agriculture. As stated in the UWMP, projections developed using the population-based approach fall between the projections developed with the other two approaches, and are anticipated to be the most representative of future growth in the District. For this analysis, the municipal and industrial demands were derived from the population projections where applicable. In the agricultural areas of Calaveras County, the Draft General Plan was used to estimate projected demands.

#### West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville

CCWD currently serves the West Point, Bummerville, and Wilseyville areas (West Point service area) with water supplies originating in the Middle Fork Mokelumne River Basin. The West Point service area is shown in Figure 4. These areas are served by diversions from Bear Creek or from the Middle Fork Mokelumne. There are currently approximately 590 retail connections served (as of 2015). Current demand in this area is 194 acre feet (AF). Using the population growth demand projections method outlined in the UWMP, the future level demands were calculated and are presented in the table below. The projections utilize a baseline water use representative of the averages from 2009-2013 to better represent water use under normal conditions.

CCWD and CPUD are considering a joint use facility known as the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline to serve anticipated demands. Although the initial purpose of the pipeline was to deliver raw water supplies to Jeff Davis Reservoir, the pipeline alignment travels through existing parcels that could be served by the pipeline. A proposed Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline service Area was developed by selecting existing parcels located adjacent or in close proximity to the propose Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Alignment. See Figure 4, Attachment B for details. Projected demands in the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline service area were estimated by applying the Calaveras County base land use designations from the Draft Calaveras County General Plan update (2016). To each parcel in the proposed service area, water demands by land use designations were applied according to the 2015 Calaveras County Water District Urban Water Management Plan. See Appendix B for details. For the purposes of this study, the pipeline was assumed to be operational by 2030. Table 1, below, shows the demand projections for West Point and Middle Fork Pipeline service areas.



Map Date: 8/14/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc.

Figure 4. West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville Service Area 2017-031 Calaveras County Water District

| Annual Projected Surface Water<br>Demand AF/YR              | Current (2015) | 2030  | 2070  | 2100<br>(Projected) |
|---|----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| West Point Service Area                                     | 194            | 224   | 282   | 327                 |
| Future Suggested Middle Fork Ditch<br>Pipeline Service Area | 0              | 2,468 | 3,690 | 4,988               |
| Total   | 194            | 1,104 | 3,510 | 5,315               |

#### Table 1. West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville Demand Projections

#### Western Calaveras County

The majority of western Calaveras County is currently reliant on groundwater supplies. Growth projections in this area are far greater than in the higher elevations. Anticipated growth includes agricultural and municipal development in an area that overlies the critically overdrafted Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Subbasin.

The State of California enacted legislation in 2014 known as the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) which empowers local agencies to adopt groundwater management plans that are tailored to the resources and needs of their communities. CCWD and Valley Springs Public Utility District pump water for municipal use from the ESJGS, which has been categorized by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) as a "critically overdrafted" since DWR issued Bulletin 118-80 in January 1980. An approximately 70 square-mile area of the ESJGS overlies the western edge of Calaveras County. CCWD serves many administrative functions over that portion of the basin through the establishment of the Assembly Bill No. 3030 approved Groundwater Management Plan and its role as the recognized California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring entity for the region.

A suite of comprehensive groundwater management objectives will be necessary to provide a buffer against drought and climate change, and contribute to reliable water supplies, as mandated by SGMA. Figure 5 illustrates the Bulletin 118 groundwater basins and subbasins that have been characterized as being in a state of "critical overdraft" in California. The ESJGS is the northernmost "critically overdrafted" subbasin on the map. The Wallace, Burson, and Valley Springs area overlies a portion of the basin, and CCWD must seriously evaluate the use of currently unused Mokelumne River consumptive state-filed "area of origin water rights" to assist in the groundwater stabilization of the basin. CCWD has joined with Calaveras County, Rock Creek Water District, and Stanislaus County, through a Memorandum of Understanding, to form the Eastside San Joaquin Groundwater Sustainability Agency pursuant to SGMA requirements.

Because DWR has identified the ESJGS as significantly overdrafted, the subbasin must have a Groundwater Sustainability Plan completed and approved by the local agencies by January 31, 2020. As required by SGMA, the planning and implementation horizon for the GSP is 50 years, with "sustainability" being achieved within 20 years of adoption of the plan. CCWD must reasonably plan for the use of Mokelumne River surface water supplies for these areas in lieu of groundwater supplies as an opportunity to allow the subbasin to recharge naturally, or risk irreversible detrimental effects associated with the continued unsustainable overdraft of the groundwater basin. Figure 6 shows the proximity of these areas to the Mokelumne River.







Map Date: 8/14/2017

Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS Figure 6. Eastern San Joaquin GW Basin within Calaveras County 2017-031 Calaveras County Water District

## Area A / Area B / (Wallace/Burson)

For the purposes of this study, the draft 2016 Calaveras County General Plan was used to identify designated land use types in the areas of western Calaveras County that could reasonably be served by the Mokelumne River. A Technical Memorandum identifying the potential demands for Mokelumne River supplies in western Calaveras County was prepared by KASL Engineers using the Draft Calaveras County General Plan (Attachment A). The assumptions are further detailed in the Technical Memorandum which assessed the potential demands for Mokelumne River Water Supplies in Western Calaveras County. The areas analyzed for potential demands include the areas of Wallace, Burson and their vicinities, and the surrounding agricultural areas labeled as Area A and Area B (Figure 7) in the Technical Memorandum in Attachment A.

Area A is the area of western Calaveras County between the Mokelumne River watershed and the Calaveras River Watershed and encompasses 12,926 acres. Over 90% of the land in Area A is zoned as agricultural. Area B lies within the Mokelumne River Watershed south of the East Bay Municipal Utility District and encompasses 6,303 acres. Over 90% of the land in this area is zoned agricultural. Although a majority portion of these areas are zoned as agricultural in the Draft Calaveras County Plan, it is generally understood that not all of these parcels will be fully developed into productive agricultural lands due to a variety of suitability factors. However, the Districts are proceeding with the best available information in this analysis of reasonably foreseeable potential uses of water in Calaveras County.

CCWD conducted the first Phase of an evaluation of potential agricultural lands in western Calaveras County in 2011, completed by Provost & Pritchard. The Provost & Pritchard study utilized a variety of screening factors to assess areas that are actually suitable for potential agriculture in western Calaveras County. For the purposes of this evaluation of Long-Term Water Needs from the Mokelumne River, Areas A and B were defined, then the 2016 Draft County General Plan Update zoning was applied. The Provost & Pritchard 2011 methodology was used to further screen out the unsuitable agricultural lands. The screening criteria from the Provost & Pritchard study includes parcel size, slope, soil depth, surface rockiness, soil stoniness, existing cover, and irrigated land suitability. Once the screening criteria and methodology from the Provost & Pritchard study was applied to the total agricultural areas identified in the 2016 Draft Calaveras County General Plan, this significantly reduced total agricultural and corresponding water demand associated with the agricultural lands to a more reasonable estimate for future growth.

Wallace and Burson are primarily within Area A and are currently dependent upon groundwater supplies. The Wallace Lake Estates development utilizes two 200-gallon-per-minute wells that generate a blended water supply of groundwater from the ESJGS. CCWD also owns a third well that was drilled for anticipated future demands and use but never fully developed or permitted for municipal drinking water use. The groundwater from these wells is high in iron and manganese, which causes several treatment and well management challenges. Future treatment of these water supplies may become challenging, as the cost per unit of treatment has increased over time. According to the UWMP, the Wallace area includes plans for expansion of a large subdivision.



Map Date: 8/14/2017



Figure 7. Potential Suitable Agriculture Lands in Area A and Area B 2017-031 Calaveras County Water District



Map Date: 8/22/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc.

Figure 8. Wallace and Burson Service Area 2017-031 Calaveras County Water District The long-term reliability of groundwater supply is problematic given that this area overlies the critically overdrafted ESJGS. In 1989, CCWD authorized a study in response to complaints of poor water quality and diminishing supplies from existing wells by property owners within the Lancha Plana area. The Lancha Plana area is located south of Camanche Reservoir and includes the Burson area. Again in 2001, residents requested assistance from the District to alleviate the hardship of failing wells or poor quality groundwater supplies. In order to address potential health and safety concerns, CCWD set up a program to allow residents to fill individual containers with potable water at the Jenny Lind Water Treatment Plant to transport water as a short-term solution. CCWD also drilled an exploratory well to determine if the water was suitable for treatment, but the reconnaissance showed that background levels of arsenic, iron and manganese would require additional treatment that was not cost feasible for the residents of the community. Issues of groundwater quantity and quality have been an ongoing problem in this area for decades. Current demand in this area is 45 AF annually. Projected surface water demands for these areas are listed in Table 2.

| Annual Projected Surface Water Demand AF/YR | 2015      | 2030  | 2070   | 2100        |
|---|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|
|   | (Current) |       |        | (Projected) |
| Wallace/Burson <sup>1</sup>                 | 0         | 878   | 1300   | 1741        |
| Area A General Plan                         | 0         | 7,081 | 25,947 | 40,090      |
| Area A Modified by P&P Report               | 0         | 4,892 | 17,954 | 27,758      |
| Area B General Plan                         | 0         | 3,372 | 12,378 | 19,139      |
| Area B Modified by P&P Report               | 0         | 2,053 | 7,528  | 11,634      |

| T.L. 0 A      | A/A D/    | Walls as /D    | Due is she d Demond |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|
| Table 2. Area | A/Area B/ | wallace/Burson | Projected Demand    |

The in-depth analysis of Area A and Area B resulted in refinements to provide Mokelumne River supplies by proximity and need. Because Area B is within the Mokelumne River watershed, this study assumes that its projected demands will be met by Mokelumne River supplies. Area B demands modified by the Provost & Pritchard Report appear to be the most likely projected demands in western Calaveras County. The Draft General Plan indicates Area A demands will largely be agricultural and can be served by CCWD's Calaveras River supplies with the exception of the Wallace and Burson areas. A portion of the Wallace service area lies within Area B with the majority in Area A. Burson is entirely within Area A. Because of their proximity to the Mokelumne River and the need for higher quality surface supplies, this study assumes future demands in the Wallace and Burson areas will be met by Mokelumne River supplies.

## Valley Springs

The area of Central Valley Springs is also utilizing groundwater supplies and overlies the ESJGS. Central Valley Springs, or Valley Springs "proper" is served by the Valley Springs Public Utility District (VSPUD). VSPUD has two wells and three storage tanks with a combined storage of 500,000 gallons. Because VSPUD overlies the ESJGS, it suffers from many of the same problems as Area A and Area B. The first historical water system that served Valley Springs included a reservoir that was supplied by an aqueduct that carried water from a diversion on the Mokelumne River. Parts of the aqueduct can still be seen along Paloma Road. In addition, CCWD constructed an emergency intertie in 1988 to serve Valley Springs from the Jenny Lind Water Treatment Plant due to some

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Wallace/Burson demands are located in Area A, but for this analysis will be met in addition to Area B demands.

unforeseen reliability issues with groundwater supplies. VSPUD has since made some investments in groundwater well upgrades that addressed many of those recurring problems, but reliably meeting projected demands or emergency fire flow demands will be problematic without redundant surface supplies. VSPUD calls upon this backup supply on very rare occasions, usually when there is a fire within its service area.

Current demands in Valley Springs are about 105 AF/YR. *The Valley Springs Public Utility District Effluent Management and Wastewater Treatment Project Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration* dated May 2015 prepared by Stantec anticipated growth at 1.5% per year. Applying a 1.5% growth rate to the 2015 demand of 105 AF per year, anticipated demands are shown in Table 3 below.

 Table 3. Valley Springs Projected Surface Water Demand

| Annual Projected Surface Water<br>Demand AF/YR | 2015<br>(Current) | 2030 | 2070 | 2100<br>(Projected) |
|--|-------------------|------|------|---------------------|
| Valley Springs                                 | 0                 | 131  | 238  | 372                 |

#### Jenny Lind / La Contenta

The Jenny Lind/ La Contenta area currently receives surface water from a non-Central Valley Project contract with the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) for supplies from New Hogan Reservoir on the mainstem Calaveras River. The diversion point for the Jenny Lind Water Treatment Plant is in the lower Calaveras River, approximately one mile downstream of New Hogan Dam and has an existing capacity of about six million gallons per day with 3,756 municipal connections in 2015. CCWD's New Hogan supplies are used to serve water to retail customers and raw water supplies to agricultural customers and a golf course. Current total demands from CCWD supplies are 3,333 AF/YR, with a treated water demand of approximately 1,935 AF. Projected demands for the Jenny Lind / La Contenta area are listed in Table 4, below.

Table 4. Jenny Lind/La Contenta Projected Demand

| Annual Projected Surface Water | 2015      | 2030  | 2070  | 2100        |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Demand AF/YR                   | (Current) |       |       | (Projected) |
| Jenny Lind/La Contenta M&I     | 1,935     | 2,113 | 2,220 | 2,301       |

Water quality on the Calaveras River can unpredictably vary, especially during times of prolonged drought. A baseline water quality program study was completed in 2005 under a CALFED Bay-Delta Program grant. The study found that potential impacts to the water quality in the Calaveras River are mostly naturally occurring; including increased sediments from runoff, manganese from runoff and low reservoir levels, nutrient loading, and coliform bacteria. Water quality in this region is also impacted by high levels of iron, manganese, nitrates, nutrients and other constituents associated with agricultural production. This water supply is suitable for agriculture, but municipal users would benefit from the higher quality of Mokelumne River for treatability reasons, either as a supplemental or a redundant water supply. Influent turbidity levels coming into the Plant have been measured above 200 NTU on many occasions. This study assumes that the municipal demands will be met by Mokelumne River diversions in the future. Agricultural demands will continue to be met by diversions from the Calaveras River.



Map Date: 8/22/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc.

Figure 9. Jenny Lind/La Contenta/Valley Springs 2017-031 Calaveras County Water District

#### Groundwater Recharge/Augmentation

CCWD serves many administrative functions over that portion of the basin through the establishment of the Assembly Bill No. 3030 approved Groundwater Management Plan and its role as the recognized California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring entity for the region. As such, CCWD is actively participating in regional efforts through the newly created Eastside San Joaquin Groundwater Sustainability Agency and the eventual submission of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) to the California Department of Water Resources for the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater subbasin necessary to meet SGMA requirements by January 1, 2020. The District acknowledges this key role with regard to stewardship of the County's surface water supplies, which must be holistically evaluated to support the regional planning efforts mandated in the SGMA legislation.

CCWD has previously investigated, through several studies, the hydrogeology of the western end of the County and also identified areas that may provide favorable groundwater recharge opportunities. In 2013, the District undertook a study to identify specific recharge opportunities within its portions of the Eastern San Joaquin subbasin; this Technical Memorandum was titled *Groundwater Characteristics and Recharge Implications Near Lake Camanche and Valley Springs, California* (Dunn Environmental 2013). The study found that existing geologic conditions in the Study Area do not generally favor deep percolation of surface water for recharge. However, small target areas could be investigated further where Tertiary age sands and gravels persist in the subsurface to support expectations for feasible managed aquifer recharge on a local scale. Surface water conjunctive use options could be investigated to assess potential for aquifer storage and recovery via direct supply well injection. Additional alternative recharge projects, such as injection wells, may be viable. Stored water injected into high yield areas could be explored. Where such areas are identified, diverting surface water to groundwater users.

Based on these efforts, the District is currently evaluating the most effective methods to conjunctively manage its water resources within the County, including the use of its permitted surface water rights for groundwater recharge. The District continues to study the groundwater basin in the Camanche/Valley Springs area to determine potential management methods to improve the basin and/or its potential for conjunctive use to meet future water supply needs within the region. Currently, the District does not include groundwater in its projected supplies due to the general availability of surface water to meet current service area needs. The District will likely be an important partner in ultimately achieving the sustainability goals required by SGMA by using its permitted rights to address overdraft in the basin. It is anticipated that, through these efforts, CCWD will be required to participate in some form of groundwater recharge program to achieve long-term sustainability of the basin, which could increase future demands. However, SGMA is being implemented in a parallel planning process to this study and the District's future demands associated with groundwater recharge are currently unknown.

#### 3.2 CPUD Demands

Currently, CPUD's treated water demands come from the Licking Fork and South Fork of the Mokelumne River. From the South Fork Mokelumne, water is pumped to the 1,740 AF Jeff Davis Reservoir located near Rail Road Flat. CPUD meets all of its treated water demands from the Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant. The Treatment plant has a current capacity to treat up to 6 million gallons per day with room for expansion of up to 12 million gallons per day. CPUD's current service areas include Mokelumne Hill, San Andreas, and portions of Rail Road Flat, Glencoe, and Paloma.

Anticipated future demand in CPUD's service area is much greater than existing facilities can meet. The District completed studies in 1988, 2001 and again in 2014, to evaluate the feasibility of piping their pre-1914 water from their storage Schaads Reservoir, located on the Middle Fork of the Mokelumne River to supplement Jeff Davis Reservoir, now served by CPUD's South Fork Mokelumne River Pump Station diversion. Details of the proposed Middle Fork Pipeline are discussed in Appendix B, *Technical Memorandum: Potential Demand for Mokelumne River Water Supplies Along Proposed Route of Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline*.

As a result of the recent 2011 – 2015 California drought, the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) was forced to conduct an unprecedented review of water rights and supplies held by the state's water resources managers. As a result of this determination a moratorium on new connections in CPUD's service areas was issued in October 2014. In response, CPUD supplied the SWRCB with a comprehensive compilation of its existing pre- and post-1914 water rights, which resulted in the SWRCB lifting the moratorium in March 2016. Although the moratorium was lifted, supplemental supplies will be needed for anticipated growth and to meet future severe drought conditions. The proposed Middle Fork Ditch pipeline would augment the current supplies by providing pre-1914 stored water supplies from Schaads Reservoir and direct diversions from the Middle Fork Mokelumne River to Jeff Davis Reservoir.

## Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant (Existing) Service Areas

Currently, treated water demands at the Jeff Davis WTP are approximately 1,542 AF/YR. Annual water demands supplied by the Jeff Davis WTP have increased approximately 1% per year over the last 20 years. To account for reservoir percolation and evaporation losses and to account for losses in the South Fork Pump Station discharge pipeline, it is reasonable to assume an annual delivery from the South Fork (or future Middle Fork) supply which is 25% greater that the annual treated water demand at the Jeff Davis WTP. Extending the growth rate using these assumptions through the year 2100 results in a demand of 4,491 AF/YR.

Projected demands for these areas are shown in Table 5, below.

| Annual Projected Surface Water | 2015      | 2030  | 2070  | 2100        |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Demand AF/YR                   | (Current) |       |       | (Projected) |
| Jeff Davis WTP Demands         | 1,928     | 2,238 | 3,332 | 4,491       |



Map Date: 8/14/2017 Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 DeLorme

ECORP Consulting, Inc.

Figure 10. CPUD Service Area 2017-031 Calaveras County Water District

#### 4.0 WATER SUPPLY / EXISTING WATER RIGHTS

#### 4.1 CCWD Water Rights

Approximately 150 years ago, the communities of West Point and Wilseyville began initially as mining camps, and later developed into logging communities. Originally, all three areas were served with water routed through a series of mining ditches, which were owned or operated in conjunction with these activities. The decline of these industries, critical to the area economy, brought about CCWD purchase of the water and conveyance systems.

The West Point water system was purchased in 1954 by CCWD from the West Point Ditch Company. The predecessor to Sierra Pacific Logging Company owned and built the Wilseyville System and sold it to CCWD in 1964. The Bummerville system was connected to the West Point System in 1959. The existing water system serves 570 customers, including a local Native American Reservation. The current facilities include: two raw water reservoirs, Wilson Dam and Reservoir (currently no storage, water passes through) and Regulating Reservoir; two raw water diversion facilities, Bear Creek (gravity) and Middle Fork Mokelumne (pumped); one water treatment plant, West Point; two treated water pump stations, Bummerville and Upper Wilseyville; and the associated distribution and storage system, raw pump station, Mokelumne River intake, and the raw booster pump station near Bummerville. The Mokelumne River intake pump station is for emergency or backup use should the Bear Creek diversion fail or provide insufficient flow.

#### Permit 15452

Permit 15452 was issued to Calaveras County Water District on September 7, 1967 pursuant to Application 5648D. This permit allows CCWD to divert up to 4 cfs from January 1 to December 31 of each year and store up to 150 AF/YR at Regulating Reservoir to be collected from about December 1 of each year to about May 30 of the succeeding year. Maximum use of this right is 1,830 AF. The Bear Creek supply is currently used to serve the West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville area. The quantity of water granted to CCWD by this permit is a part of the 27,000 AF reserved for Calaveras County under the 1927 filing by the State Department of Finance. The purpose of use for permit 15452 is municipal, irrigation and stockwatering. Table 6 summarizes the water rights held by CCWD and CPUD.

#### Table 4. Water Rights Summary

| Application (Permit) |                           |  | Point of Diversion   |   | Direct Diversion |                | Storage         |                           | Use<br>Limit       |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Number               | Priority<br>Date          | Purpose of<br>Use  | Location   | Stream  | Amount<br>(cfs)  | Season         | Amount<br>(AFA) | Season<br>of<br>Diversion | (AFA)              |
| A005648 <sup>1</sup> | 7/30/1927                 | Irrigation<br>Municipal<br>Stockwatering                   |  |   |                  | 1/1 –<br>12/31 |                 |                           | 18,514             |
|                      | ſ                         |  | Calavera   | s County Wate                                       | er District      | 1              | 1               | Г                         |                    |
|                      |                           | Irrigation<br>Municipal<br>Stockwatering                   | Wilson<br>Dam  | Bear Creek  |                  |                | 45              |                           |                    |
| A005648D<br>(P15452) | 9/7/1967<br>(7/30/1927)   | Irrigation<br>Municipal<br>Stockwatering                   | Bear<br>Creek<br>Diversion<br>&<br>Regulating<br>Reservoir | Bear Creek  | 4                | 1/1 -<br>12/31 | 150             | 12/1- 5/31                | 1,830              |
|                      |                           |  |  |   | <u> </u>         |                |                 |                           |                    |
|                      |                           |  | Calavera   | s Public Utility                                    | y District       |                |                 |                           | -                  |
| S010773              | 1852                      | Domestic<br>Irrigation<br>Stockwatering<br>Power           | Below<br>Schaads<br>Reservoir                              | Middle Fork<br>Mokelumne                            | 2.5              | 1/1 –<br>12/31 | 1,800²          | 1/1 – 12/31               |                    |
| S025267              | 1852                      | Domestic<br>Irrigation<br>Stockwatering<br>Power           |  | South Fork<br>Mokelumne                             | 7.35             |                |                 | 1/1 – 12/31               |                    |
| A005648F<br>(P16338) | 12/13/1971<br>(7/30/1927) | Domestic<br>Municipal<br>Incidental<br>Power<br>Industrial |  | Middle Fork<br>Mokelumne<br>South Fork<br>Mokelumne | 12.5             |                | 2,130           | 1/1 – 12/31               | 6,656 <sup>3</sup> |

Notes:

1 Decision 858 allows the Districts to take up to 27,000 AF for development of West Point and the Mokelumne Service Area. This water is held in reserve for the Districts for Mokelumne River supplies needed to serve future development within Calaveras County. The use limit of 18,514 AF represents the remaining supply held in reserve for the Districts.

 The May 8, 1940 agreement between CPUD and EBMUD gives CPUD the permission to use a portion of the 12.5 cfs direct diversion to store up to 1,800 AF per year at Schaads Reservoir. This 12.5 cfs diversion right may be combined with storage withdrawals to divert a maximum of 15 cfs. The Agreement also states that CPUD's rights in excess of those amounts will be junior and subordinate to EBMUD's rights.

3 Application 005648F states that the safe yield developed under this permit, together with all other prior rights of permittee, shall not exceed 6,656 AF/YR and shall be a part of the 27,000 acre-feet per annum reserved for use in Calaveras County pursuant to the release from priority of Applications 5647 and 5648 by the State Water Board to East Bay Municipal Utility District dated March 5, 1959, and as set forth in the agreements between Calaveras Public Utility District and East Bay Municipal Utility District dated May 8, 1940 and January 13, 1970.

#### 4.2 CPUD Water Rights

CPUD was formed on January 16, 1934 by special election. On March 13, the newly formed CPUD acquired the Mokelumne River Power and Water Company, which constructed and owned several pre-1914 ditches and associated water rights.

A portion of the Mokelumne River Power and Water Company diversion rights have since been converted to storage rights allowing CPUD to store water at Schaads Reservoir, located on the Middle Fork Mokelumne River. The following provides a history of water rights and agreement supporting CPUD operations.

#### May 8, 1940 Agreement

The May 8, 1940 Agreement between CPUD and EBMUD is a formal recognition of CPUD's Pre-1914 rights by EBMUD. EBMUD recognized that CPUD has pre-1914 rights to divert from the South Fork of the Mokelumne River as augmented by diversions from the Middle and Licking Forks of the Mokelumne River, not to exceed 12.5 cfs for industrial, domestic, mining, and agriculture. Per the May 8, 1940 Agreement with East Bay Municipal Utility District, CPUD has the right to use a portion of the 12.5 cfs for diversion to storage at Schaads Reservoir not to exceed 1,800 AF/YR. Water in storage may later be released to augment flow available for diversion.

## March 5, 1959 Release from Priority

On March 5, 1959, the Department of Water Resources issued a Release from Priority of the State Applications Nos. 5647 and 5648, filed July 30, 1927 in favor of East Bay Municipal Utility District's (EBMUD) Applications 13156 and 15201. The Release from Priority is subject to a reservation for use within Calaveras County for waters of the Mokelumne River and its tributaries covered by Applications Nos. 5647 and 5648 a quantity of water for direct diversion to beneficial use of 27,000 AF for Calaveras County.

## January 13, 1970 Agreement

On January 13, 1970 CPUD entered into an agreement with EBMUD for partial assignment of the State filed applications 5647 and 5648 water sufficient to construct and operate the proposed Jeff Davis Project. At the time, CPUD and EBMUD anticipated that with existing facilities, prior pre 1914 rights and the new storage of at least 1,750 AF per annum will produce a safe yield of 6,656 AF per annum. CPUD agreed that the 6,656 AF would be a part of the 27,000 AF reserved for Calaveras County under the State Filing. Per the 1970 Agreement, CPUD filed an application with the State Water Board resulting in Permit 16338 in support of the Jeff Davis Project.

## Permit 16338

Permit 16338 granted to CPUD a quantity of water that can be beneficially used and shall not exceed 2,130 AF per year by storage at Jeff Davis Reservoir to be collected from January 1 to December 31 of each year. The maximum rate of diversion to offstream storage shall not exceed 15 cubic feet per second. The safe yield developed under this permit, together with all other prior rights of CPUD, shall not exceed 6,656 AF/YR and shall be a part of the 27,000 AF/YR reserved for use in Calaveras County pursuant to the release from priority of Application 5647 and 5648 by the State Water Board to EBMUD dated March 5 1959, and as set forth in the agreements between CPUD and EBMUD dated May 8, 1940 and January 13, 1970. Decision 858 also discusses the partial assignment of Application 5647 and 5648. This supply is currently used to serve the CPUD service areas.

## CPUD / CCWD Agreement

As a supplemental supply to CCWD's Bear Creek Diversion, CPUD has entered into an agreement with CCWD to provide 200 AF per year diverted at the Middle Fork Pump Station. The supply is currently used to serve the West Point/Wilseyville/Bummerville area.

## 5.0 SIMULATION MODELING

Simulation modeling was performed to identify the flow impacts in Wild and Scenic Reaches (d) and (e) due to CCWD and CPUD operations required to meet anticipated surface water demands in Calaveras County, and to identify potential infrastructure improvements to meet those demands. A series of studies were designed and performed to evaluate the Districts' water rights permits and agreements, climate change hydrology, existing facilities, and projected demands in an effort to develop a conceptual expansion plan to meet anticipated demands. The study results will provide the Natural Resources Agency and their consultant, GEI, with an indication of potential changes to flows for their evaluation of suitability or non-suitability of the proposed designation of sections (d) and (e) of the Mokelumne River as Wild and Scenic. Contained in this section is a summary of the modeling assumptions used, a brief description of each modeling study, and a presentation of the pertinent results. Attachment D contains a more detailed description of the modeling assumptions.

## 5.1 Modeling Assumptions

#### Hydrology

The simulation model includes three hydrology datasets. The basis for these datasets is the historic hydrology which occurred from 1934 – 2016 recorded from multiple stream gages on the upper and lower Mokelumne River. Statistical methods are used to estimate flow where records are missing. Attachment D contains a more detailed description of development of the hydrology.

Climate change adjusted hydrology was developed using the data products from the California Water Commission's dataset for Water Storage Investment Program applications. These data products include the results from statewide Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) watershed runoff models preformed with historical meteorology and climate change adjusted meteorology using climate change assumptions centered at the year 2030 and 2070. These VIC models are better suited to be used in a comparative manner rather than predictive, and for this reason a ratio is taken of climate change adjusted VIC model output to historic meteorology VIC model output. These ratios are applied to historical hydrology for the 1934 – 2011 period to estimate the climate change adjusted hydrology. For the 2012 – 2016 period, ECORP selected similar years from the 1934 – 2011 record and applied climate change factors from those similar years to 2012 – 2016 historic unimpaired flow data. The hydrology dataset was developed for the upper Mokelumne River watershed and is consistent with Commission methods on a daily time step.



Figure 11. Average Monthly Flows at the confluence of the Middle Fork and South Fork Mokelumne River

Figure 11 illustrates the Historic unimpaired flow compared to the 2030 and 2070 levels of climate change hydrology. Annual volumes are roughly 10% lower than historic hydrology and there is a shift in runoff patterns such that the peak occurs in February or March rather than the historic March or April peak runoff.

#### Facilities

The existing facilities included in the modeling are listed by owner.

CPUD owns and operates:

- Schaads Reservoir (1,700 AF)
- South Fork Mokelumne Pump Station
- Jeff Davis Reservoir and Water Treatment Plant

CCWD owns and operates:

- Wilson Dam (0 AF, currently water passes through)
- Bear Creek Diversion Dam
- Regulating Reservoir (50 AF)
- West Point Water Treatment Plant
- Middle Fork Mokelumne Pumping Station (0.44 cfs capacity)

Proposed improved or new facilities include:

- Schaads Reservoir (1,950 AF)
- Wilson Dam (50 AF)
- Regulating Reservoir (150 AF)
- Middle Fork Mokelumne Pumping Station (1.5 cfs capacity)
- Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir (8,000 AF or 12,000 AF)
- Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline (25 cfs capacity)

Figure 12 illustrates the model schematic. The schematic uses nodes and arcs to represent the system. Nodes are points of interest in the system. Arcs convey water from one node to another. In this schematic, triangles represent reservoirs, circles represent junctions and rectangles represent consumptive demand areas. Conveyance can be in the form of a natural channel, penstock, or pipeline. This schematic uses a natural stream trace for natural conveyance and a dashed or a green line for man-made conveyance. The green line is used to highlight the proposed Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline.

Figure 12. Model Schematic



#### **Consumptive Demands**

The following table summarizes the demands in areas within Calaveras County that are projected to be served by Mokelumne River water. The studies prepared for this analysis use the 2015 (Current) demands and the 2100 (Projected) demands to represent anticipated changes in flow due to CCWD and CPUD operations in proposed sections (d) and (e). Table 7 provides a summary of the projected Mokelumne River demands.

| Annual Projected Surface Water Demand AF/YR              | 2015             | 2030   | 2070   | 2100        |
|--|------------------|--------|--------|-------------|
|  | (Current)        |        |        | (Projected) |
| West Point Service Area                                  | 194 <sup>1</sup> | 224    | 282    | 327         |
| Future Suggested Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area | 0                | 2,468  | 3,690  | 4,988       |
| Wallace and Burson                                       | 0                | 878    | 1,300  | 1,741       |
| Area B Modified by P&P Report                            | 0                | 2,053  | 7,527  | 11,634      |
| Valley Springs   | 0                | 131    | 238    | 372         |
| Jenny Lind/La Contenta M&I Only                          | 0                | 2,113  | 2,220  | 2,301       |
| Jeff Davis WTP Demands                                   | 1,928            | 2,238  | 3,332  | 4,491       |
| Total Projected Demands                                  | 2,122            | 10,105 | 18,589 | 25,944      |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> As a supplemental supply to CCWD's Bear Creek Diversion, CPUD has entered into an agreement with CCWD to provide 200 AF per year diverted at the Middle Fork Pump Station.

#### 5.2 Alternatives

The studies performed in this analysis were designed to provide an estimate of the changes in flow due to projected demands. The analysis includes two baseline studies and three alternatives. Each of the studies are described below.

<u>Baseline</u>: The purpose of the Baseline Study is to represent current conditions in the system. The flow, storage and deliveries made in this study will be used as the basis for measuring changes in the system due to increased demands and new facilities needed to meet the projected demands. Key assumptions made for this study include:

- Historic Hydrology
- Existing Facilities
- 2015 (Current) Demands

<u>Baseline 2070</u>: The Baseline 2070 study includes the existing facilities and the 2015 (Current) demands, but uses a year 2070 climate change hydrology rather than the historic hydrology. When compared to the Baseline Study, this study was used to determine changes in flow in sections (d) and (e) due to differences in **hydrology** (Historic vs 2070 climate change). When compared to the Alternative studies, this study was used to determine changes in flow in sections (d) and (e) due to differences in **projected CCWD and CPUD operations**, which includes increased demands and new facilities. Key assumptions made for this study include:

- 2070 Climate Change Hydrology
- Existing Facilities
- 2015 (Current) Demands

<u>Alternative 1</u>: The Alternative 1 study builds on the Baseline 2070 study by adding projected demands and the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline (MFDP). The purpose of this study is to identify the water supply benefits of the proposed MFDP. The proposed MFDP can carry up to 25 cfs from Schaads Reservoir to Jeff Davis Reservoir and can deliver water along the MFDP route. Attachment B describes the MFDP in more detail. Key assumptions made for this study include:

- 2070 Climate Change Hydrology
- Existing Facilities
- Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline
- Projected Demands
- Deliveries to Area B would be dependent upon CCWD reaching an agreement with EBMUD to directly divert from or divert from a CCWD storage account within EBMUD facilities. Deliveries to western Calaveras County are assumed to be made by leaving the water in the Mokelumne River and diverting at EBMUD's facilities. This approach minimizes impacts to the river and relies on the CCWD's ability to reach agreement with EBMUD to directly divert and divert from storage at Pardee or Lake Camanche.

<u>Alternative 2</u>: Alternative 2 builds upon Alternative 1 by adding an expanded Schaads Reservoir, a restored/rehabilitated Wilson Dam, an enlarged Regulating Reservoir, a capacity increase at the Middle Fork Pump Station, and a proposed 8,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Dam approximately 700 feet below the confluence of Forest Creek and the Middle Fork Mokelumne. Key assumptions made for this study include:

- 2070 Climate Change Hydrology
- Expand Schaads Reservoir by 250 AF
- Restore/rehabilitate Wilson Dam and Reservoir to 50 AF
- Enlarge Regulating Reservoir to 150 AF
- Increase Capacity of Middle Fork Pumping Station and Pipeline to 1.5 cfs
- Build Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline with 25 cfs capacity
- 8,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir with Pump Station
- Projected Demands
- Deliveries to Area B would be dependent upon CCWD reaching an agreement with EBMUD to directly divert from or divert from a CCWD storage account within EBMUD facilities. Determining the quantity of storage needed is beyond the scope of work for this effort. However, in the driest water year on record (1977), Area B received a delivery of 10,938 AF with the support of storage withdrawals from the 8,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir.

The Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir has been studied several times since August 1959 when Tudor Engineering Company first developed the idea for CCWD to serve the West Point area. The Tudor report was called *Mokelumne River Development Plan and Report*. CPUD published *Reconnaissance Report of Alternate Water Sources* prepared by, Clair A. Hill and Associates in

September 1961. The CPUD report included a 20,000 AF storage facility at the Forest Creek site that would produce a yield of approximately 14,800 AF. During May 1974, CCWD published the *Calaveras County Water Master Plan*, prepared by Tudor Engineering Company. The Master Plan included an 11,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir. The latest study of the Forest-Middle Fork Dam was in January 1996 as part of the County Water Master Plan, Making Effective Use of Supplies, prepared by Borcalli & Associates, Inc. for CCWD. This version of the reservoir was 12,000 AF with and estimated yield of 5,900 AF.

<u>Alternative 3</u>: Alternative 3 is a variation of Alternative 2 making use of a larger 12,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir without the multiple smaller storage expansion projects. (Restored / rehabilitated Wilson Dam, Enlarged Regulating Reservoir, Expanded Schaads Reservoir). The purpose of this study is to identify a water supply project that would meet all of the projected demands. Key assumptions made for this study include:

- 2070 Climate Change Hydrology
- Increase Capacity of Middle Fork Pumping Station and Pipeline to 1.5 cfs
- 12,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir with Pump Station
- Build Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline with 25 cfs capacity
- Projected Demands
- Deliveries to Area B would be dependent upon CCWD reaching an agreement with EBMUD to directly divert from or divert from a CCWD storage account within EBMUD facilities.

Table 8, below, summarizes the features in each study.

| Features  | Baseline | Baseline | Alternative | Alternative | Alternative | Alternative |
|---|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|   |          | 2070     | 1           | 2           | 3           | 4           |
| Historic Hydrology  | √        |          |             |             |             |             |
| 2070 Climate Change Hydrology                               |          | √        | ✓           | ~           | ~           | ✓           |
| 1,700 AF Schaads Reservoir                                  | ✓        | √        | ✓           |             | ~           | ✓           |
| 1,950 AF Schaads Reservoir                                  |          |          |             | ~           |             |             |
| Existing Wilson Dam   | √        | ✓        | ✓           |             | ✓           | ~           |
| 50 AF Wilson Dam  |          |          |             | ~           |             |             |
| Existing Regulating Reservoir                               | ✓        | ✓        | ✓           |             | ✓           | ✓           |
| 150 AF Regulating Reservoir                                 |          |          |             | ✓           |             |             |
| 0.44 cfs Middle Fork Pump Station                           | ✓        | ✓        | ✓           |             |             |             |
| 1.5 cfs Middle Fork Pump Station                            |          |          |             | ~           | ~           | ✓           |
| Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline                                  |          |          | ✓           | ~           | ~           | ✓           |
| 8,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir                       |          |          |             | ~           |             |             |
| 12,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir                      |          |          |             |             | ~           | ✓           |
| Existing Demands  | √        | √        |             |             |             |             |
| Projected Demands   |          |          | ✓           | ~           | ~           | ✓           |
| Diversion Agreement with EBMUD                              |          |          | ✓           | ✓           | ✓           | ✓           |
| Delivery to Valley Springs/Jenny Lind via<br>Jeff Davis WTP |          |          |             |             |             | ~           |

#### Table 6. Study Matrix

#### 5.3 Results

Results of studies with existing facilities and projected demands indicate that additional storage and conveyance will be needed to meet projected demands. For studies assuming a future level of development, the model adds the proposed Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir and Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline to provide the necessary water supply and conveyance to meet the anticipated future demands in the West Point, Mokelumne Hill and San Andreas areas of Calaveras County. The future level of development also assumes that CCWD and EBMUD would enter into an agreement to allow CCWD to use existing Camanche and Pardee storage capacity to support deliveries in western Calaveras County. To support the use of the new facilities, the model assumes that CCWD and CPUD will apply for and be granted partial assignment of the water rights filed by the California Department of Finance on July 30, 1927 held in reserve for use in Calaveras County. These rights were assigned application number A005648 and total 27,000 AF. The remaining supply held in reserve for Calaveras County is 18,514 AF and could be used to support new storage projects.

The following is a summary of the results of the simulation modeling.

<u>Baseline</u>. The Baseline study represents current operations and provides a basis from which to measure impacts. This study is used to evaluate the performance of the system with current demands, existing facilities and existing operations. The historic hydrology from 1934-2016 is used because it contains a range hydrologic variability from very wet to critically dry years. Future hydrology will most likely fall within variability contained in the historic hydrologic record.



Figure 13. Baseline Deliveries

Figure 13, above illustrates annual deliveries to West Point and Jeff Davis WTP. For each service area there is a demand trace, denoted by a dashed line and a delivery trace denoted by a solid line. Shortages will show as a divergence in the two traces. The deliveries are ranked from the highest annual delivery shown on the left side of the figure to the lowest annual delivery shown at the right
side of the figure. Results indicate that the existing facilities and water supply can meet the West Point Service Area demands in all years within the historic hydrologic record. However, the model suggests Jeff Davis WTP would experience a water supply shortage in the very driest years.

<u>Baseline 2070</u>. The Baseline 2070 study duplicates the Baseline study with one exception. The hydrologic record for this study represents a theoretical climate change hydrology expected in 2070. Results from the Baseline 2070 study show that the 2070 climate change hydrology causes additional impacts to deliveries to Jeff Davis WTP.



Figure 14. Baseline 2070 Deliveries

Figure 14 illustrates that existing facilities and 2070 water supply can meet the West Point Service Area demands in all years. The 2070 climate change hydrology exacerbates the water supply deficiencies at Jeff Davis WTP in the very driest years.





Figure 15 illustrates the incremental change due to the climate change hydrology. The differences shown in Figure 15 reflect a shift in the climate change runoff patterns and illustrates a need for the system to modify operations.

<u>Alternative 1</u>. Alternative 1 adds the projected demands, the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline from Schaads Reservoir to Jeff Davis Reservoir, and the assumption that CCWD will reach a diversion agreement with EBMUD to the Baseline 2070 study. Figure 16 illustrates the key annual projected deliveries made in Alternative 1. Results indicate western Calaveras County demands can be met by diverting water at EBMUD's facilities without additional storage. The results also indicate multiple shortages in the higher elevation service areas and that additional storage in the higher country will be necessary to meet projected demands.





Figure 16 illustrates that the addition of the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline alone is not enough to meet the projected demands. The results indicate that additional storage may be needed as well.



Figure 17. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 1 - Baseline 2070

Figure 17 represents the average monthly incremental flow difference between Alternative 1 and the Baseline 2070 study. The differences are due to additional diversions to meet projected demands in the higher elevation service areas. Western Calaveras County demands were met by diversions below Sections (d) and (e). In this alternative, the diversion come from Lake Pardee, but could potentially come from Pardee Lake, Lake Camanche or the Mokelumne Aqueduct. Changes due to CCWD and CPUD operations in Sections (d) and (e) flow range from 0 to approximately 75 cfs at projected levels.

<u>Alternative 2</u>. Alternative 2 adds storage increases to Wilson Dam, Regulating Dam, Schaads Dam, a new 8,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Dam, and a capacity increase to the Middle Fork Pumping Station to the Alternative 1 study. Figure 18 illustrates the key annual projected deliveries made in Alternative 2. Results indicate that the storage added in this alternative improve the water supply to meet projected demands in all but the very driest years.





Figure 18 illustrates that the additional storage projects along with the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline provides full deliveries except in the very driest years.



Figure 19. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 2 - Baseline 2070

Figure 19 represents the average monthly incremental flow difference between Alternative 2 and the Baseline 2070 study. The differences are due to additional diversions to meet projected demands in the higher elevation service areas. Western Calaveras County demands were met by diversions below Sections (d) and (e). In this alternative, the diversion come from Lake Pardee, but could potentially come from Pardee Lake, Lake Camanche or the Mokelumne Aqueduct. Changes due to CCWD and CPUD upstream operations in Sections (d) and (e) flow range from 0 to approximately 250 cfs at projected demand levels.

<u>Alternative 3</u>. Alternative 3 was designed to take the same approach as Alternative 2 by adding storage to the higher elevations, but uses a 12,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir instead of the multiple smaller storage increases with the 8,000 AF Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir. Figure 20 indicates that the Alternative 3 approach provides enough water supply to make full deliveries in even the driest years.





Figure 21. Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 3 - Baseline 2070



Figure 21 shows that the additional upstream storage results in larger incremental impacts to Sections (d) and (e). In some of the drier years, the larger reservoir provides additional flows in Sections (d) and (e).

<u>Alternative 4</u>. Alternative 4 was designed to take advantage of the CPUD's existing infrastructure. This alternative builds on Alternative 3, using a 12,000 AF Forest – Middle Fork Reservoir and assumes an extension of a pipeline that currently provides the Paloma area with treated water from the Jeff Davis WTP. The pipeline could be extended to serve Valley Springs and Jenny Lind/La Contenta area.



Figure 22. Alternative 4 Deliveries

Figure 22 indicates that the moving water through Jeff Davis WTP rather than down the Mokelumne River to meet the Valley Springs and Jenny Lind/La Contenta demands results in a reduction in supply reliability. This indicates that accretion flows below the Forest – Middle Fork Reservoir are needed to meet the western Calaveras County demands.



Figure 23 - Incremental Change in Sections (d) and (e), Alternative 4 - Baseline 2070

Figure 23 confirms that moving water through Jeff Davis WTP increases the magnitude of incremental impacts to Sections (d) and (e) when compared to Alternatives 2 and 3.

## 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Demand within the Districts' service areas is anticipated to increase to almost 26,000 AF annually. The anticipated increases are within the Area of Origin rights held in reserve for Calaveras County. In 1927, the California Department of Finance filed a number of applications to reserve unappropriated water for future development according to statewide plans. Application 005648 holds 27,000 AF from the waters of the Mokelumne River and its tributaries to serve anticipated growth within Calaveras County. Both CCWD and CPUD have obtained partial assignment of Application 005648 to serve areas within Calaveras County. As demands increase over time, the Districts will need to call upon those rights to supplement existing supplies. Currently, there is approximately 18,514 AF remaining in the Area of Origin reservation for Calaveras County.

The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act requires local public agencies to form Groundwater Sustainability Agencies responsible for the development of Groundwater Sustainability Plans. Because western Calaveras County overlies the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Basin, CCWD joined the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Sustainability Agency. As a potential element of the Groundwater Sustainability Plan, CCWD is exploring the possibility of replacing the groundwater supplies of Wallace, Burson, and Valley Springs with a surface water supply from the Mokelumne River.

In addition to claiming the water rights held in reserve, the Districts will need to invest in infrastructure projects to meet the anticipated demand. The location of the projected demand influences the type of projects.

To meet the anticipated demands, the Districts are considering multiple projects listed below:

- Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline
- Middle Fork Pump Station Capacity Increase
- Wilson Dam Restoration to 50 AF
- Regulating Reservoir Expansion to 150 AF
- Schaads Reservoir Expansion
- Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir
- In addition to these projects, the Districts will need to negotiate with EBMUD for a diversion and storage agreement at Lake Camanche or Pardee Lake to meet demands in Area B, Valley Springs and the Jenny Lind/ La Contenta area.

The facility improvements included in Alternatives 2 and 3 provide reasonable solutions to meeting the anticipated projected demands. The ultimate solution will require feasibility studies and analysis of operational coordination at EBMUD's Pardee Lake and Lake Camanche. Alternately, the Districts may need to pursue a larger upper elevation reservoir to support western Calaveras County demands. The incremental flow impacts in Sections (d) and (e) as a result of a larger reservoir would be in terms of pattern changes that would provide more flow to support deliveries in the summer months and less flow in the winter months due to refilling the larger reservoir. There should not be any significant change in volume.

These upstream storage projects will affect the free-flowing and natural characteristics of the river. If a Wild and Scenic designation were established in these reaches, the Districts would be affected even in baseline conditions with their ability to supply water in a prolonged drought.

### 7.0 **REFERENCES**

Calaveras LAFCO Calaveras Public Utility District Sphere of Influence December 16, 2013. Available at:

 $http://www.calaveraslafco.org/uploads/1/1/4/5/11454087/adoptedcalaveras\_pud\_soi\_12.16.13.pdf$ 

- Calaveras LAFCO Valley Springs PUD Sphere of Influence July 21, 2014. Available at: http://www.calaveraslafco.org/uploads/1/1/4/5/11454087/hearing\_draft\_valley\_spring\_pudsoi-7.21.14.pdf
- Dunn Environmental. 2013. Technical Memorandum Groundwater Characteristics and Recharge Implications near Lake Camanche and Valley Springs, California. June 2013. Available at: http://www.ccwd.org/pdf/pub/watermanagement/Reports\_072013/GroundwaterTechMemo\_ 06252013.pdf
- Hoeper, George. The History of the Calaveras Public Utility District, May 1999. Hard copies available at Calaveras Public Utility District office.
- Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Update. January 2013. Available at: http://www.umrwa.org/uploads/Vol\_1\_-\_Final\_MAC\_Plan\_Update\_4feb13.pdf.
- K.S. Dunbar & Associates. 1999 Constraints Analysis Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir Project
- Provost & Pritchard. 2011. Technical Memorandum: Evaluating the Potential for Agricultural Development in Calaveras County. 15 June 2011. Available at: http://www.ccwd.org/pdf/pub/watermanagement/PotentialAg/CCWD%20Technical%20Mem o%20Final%206-15-2011.pdf.
- RMC Water and Environment. 2015 Urban Water Management Plan. Update June 2016 Available at: http://ccwd.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/CCWD-UWMP-2015-Final-Draft.pdf

Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority (UMRWA). 2013. Mokelumne Amador Calaveras

### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment A KASL Technical Memorandum: Potential Demands for Mokelumne River Water Supplies in Western Calaveras County
- Attachment B KASL Technical Memorandum: Potential Demand for Mokelumne River Water Supplies Along Proposed Route of Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline
- Attachment C Provost & Pritchard. 2011. Technical Memorandum: Evaluating the Potential for Agricultural Development in Calaveras County. 15 June 2011.
- Attachment D ECORP Consulting: Mokelumne River Modeling Technical Memorandum, August 2017

# ATTACHMENT A

KASL Technical Memorandum: Potential Demands for Mokelumne River Water Supplies in Western Calaveras County



#### CALAVERAS COUNTY MOKELUMNE RIVER LONG-TERM WATER NEEDS STUDY

#### TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM. POTENTIAL DEMANDS FOR MOKELUMNE RIVER WATER SUPPLIES IN WESTERN CALAVERAS COUNTY

#### INTRODUCTION

The service boundaries for the Calaveras County Water District are contiguous with the boundaries of Calaveras County. The Jenny Lind Area is now supplied by the Calaveras River and the treatment, storage and distribution facilities of CCWD's Jenny Lind water systems. The Copper Cove area is supplied by the Stanislaus River and CCWD's potable water systems that serve customers in this area. In the lower Calaveras River area there are also a few customers who receive raw water for irrigation. Currently, the Western Calaveras County communities of Wallace, Wallace Lake Estates and Burson and the surrounding agricultural lands are served by groundwater wells. Groundwater resources located in this area are part of the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Subbasin (ESJ Subbasin) which is critically overdrafted. In this Technical Memorandum (TM) projected water demands are estimated for Western Calaveras County areas which could reasonably be supplied by the Mokelumne River.

#### WESTERN CALAVERAS COUNTY EXISTING WATERSHED AREAS AND SERVICE AREAS

In **Figure 1** is presented existing watershed limits in Western Calaveras County. These include the Mokelumne River watershed areas and the Calaveras River watershed and the area that lies between these two watershed limits. The area of Western Calaveras County lying between the Mokelumne River watershed and the Calaveras River watershed is identified in Figure 1 as "Area A". This area encompasses some 12,926 acres and is largely zoned A1, General Agricultural, AP, Agricultural Preserve, RA, Residential Agricultural or RR, Rural Residential. These agricultural land uses encompass over 90% of the land area within "Area A".

The area within Western Calaveras County that lies within the Mokelumne River Watershed, but outside the limits of the East Bay MUD property or the Valley Springs Public Utility District service area, is identified as "Area B" in Figure 1 and encompasses some 6,303 acres. A1, AP, RA and RR designated land uses within "Area B" also comprises over 90% of the total land uses within this area.

As shown in Figure 1, to the east of "Area A" & "Area B", is the CCWD Jenny Lind Service Area and the area served by the Valley Springs Public Utility District. This area is largely developed with low to medium density residential and commercial land uses. North of "Area B" is Camanche Reservoir, land owned by EBMUD and the Amador County limits. To the south of Area A is land located within the Calaveras River watershed. For the purpose of this TM it is reasonable to assume that land within the Calaveras River watershed would continue to be served by Calaveras River supplies. Land to the west of "Area A" lies within San Joaquin County and is not part of this Study.



WESTERN CALAVERAS COUNTY WATERSHED AND SERVICE AREAS

ENGINEERS

# CALAVERAS COUNTY

NEW HOGAN RESERVOIR





#### Groundwater Conditions in the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Basin

The Eastern San Joaquin (ESJ) Subbasin includes eastern San Joaquin County and the westerly portions of Calaveras County, In response to ever increasing groundwater demands in this basin, the Northeastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Banking Authority completed an ESJ Groundwater Basin Groundwater Management Plan. As part of this management plan, historic groundwater levels were graphed for the period between 1948 and 2002. Groundwater surface elevations for the two wells closest to Western Calaveras County are presented in Figure 2, (Hydrograph Well "C") and Figure 3 (Hydrograph Well "F"). As shown in both of these hydrographs, static groundwater levels in the Western Calaveras County Study Area have steadily decreased during the past ± 60 years and have dropped at a rate of 1.4 to 1.5 feet per year. To reverse this long trend in groundwater overdraft, groundwater management options include the introduction of surface water during wet years, supplying surplus surface water to help recover declining groundwater levels, transferring surface water from out of basin areas, construction of new, or expansion of existing, reservoirs and other effective conjunctive use programs that would utilize carryover storage from surface water resources to reverse depressed groundwater trends. Calaveras County Water District has participated in the ESJ Groundwater Subbasin studies and it is understood that, as an active participant in regional efforts to establish one or more Groundwater Sustainability Agencies (GSA) and a Groundwater Sustainable Plan (GSP), CCWD will be required to participate in some form of groundwater recharge program or achieve long-term sustainability by replacing a portion of the existing groundwater demands with surface water. Groundwater recharge in Western Calaveras County is included in the 2015 Urban Water Management Plan prepared for the Calaveras County Water District.

#### Western Calaveras County Existing Land Uses

Existing parcels within "Area A" and "Area B" of this Western Calaveras County Water Demand TM are shown in **Figure 4** and are listed in **Table 1** which follows this TM. As shown in Figure 4. There are a few parcels itemized in Table 1 which are over 100 acres but the majority of parcels are small, rural, "ranchettes", 1 to 10 acres in size.

With the small parcel sizes in "Area A" and "Area B" of Western Calaveras County large scale agricultural usage is not present. There are isolated orchards (fruit tees and nut trees) and there are a few property owners that have made application for cannabis cultivation. Both "Area A" and "Area B" land uses consist mostly of low density, residential dwellings, outbuildings and pasture lands for horses, sheep, goats and cattle. Vineyards are located in San Joaquin County, nearby, but none were found in the Western Calaveras County study areas.

#### Western Calaveras County Projected Water Demands

Projected water demands for "Area A" and "Area B" residential and commercial land uses can be estimated from the 2015 Calaveras County Urban Water Management Plan.

For agricultural land uses, studies conducted by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) were used to estimate evapotranspiration and precipitation typical of Western Calaveras







ENGINEER

COUNTY

# CALAVERAS COUNTY

NEW HOGAN RESERVOIR





County. In **Table 2** is presented, average year, "reference" evapotranspiration and average year rainfall values typical of Western Calaveras County. Using this data, the normal year water demand for agricultural crops is estimated at 37.2 inches or approximately 3.1 acre ft. / acre annually.

The reference evapotranspiration (ETo) values presented in Table 2 can be adjusted by a crop coefficient, Kc, for various crops, ground conditions and surfaces. For pastureland, for example, values of Kc vary from 0.80 (humid conditions) to 1.0 (dry windy conditions). For alfalfa, Kc varies from 0.85 to 1.05. For various type of evergreen plant species, Kc varies from 1.05 to 1.20. For evergreen trees, Kc ranges from 1.10 to 1.30. For the purpose of this Study and for the predominant pasture – alfalfa type crops that are present in "Area A" and "Area B" of Western Calaveras County, it is reasonable to apply a Kc factor of 1.0 to the ETo values presented in Table 2. Annual water demands of 3.1 acre ft. / acre are, therefore, used in this determination of agricultural water uses in Western Calaveras County. This value compares favorably with other Calaveras County water use studies. In their 2011 study of water demands for agricultural development in Calaveras County, Provost & Pritchard estimated that crops typical of Western Calaveras County have water requirements ranging from 2.5 to over 3.5 acre ft. / acre. Allowing for irrigation system inefficiencies, an average irrigation demand value of 3.5 acre ft. / acre was used in the 2011 Agricultural Water Demand Study. Vineyards in the Calaveras - Amador -Eldorado County areas using highly efficient drip irrigation methods typically require 250 gallons of water per vine per year or approximately 1.4 acre feet / acre. Other methods of vineyard irrigation require up to 400 gallons of water per vine per year or approximately 2.2 acre feet / acre. Similarly, for cannabis cultivation, water demands of ± 2.2 to 2.6 acre ft. / acre annually were reported to the Calaveras County Water Needs Study Team by the Calaveras Cannabis Alliance.

A summary of potential water demands in Western Calaveras County "Area A" and "Area B" is presented in Table 3. This demand includes both potable (residential, commercial type demands) and raw water (irrigation) demands. It is understood that an assessment district or an irrigation district would need to be formed to develop the supply and conveyance system needed to serve Western Calaveras County agricultural uses with Mokelumne River Water.

As summarized in **Table 3**, the potential residential and irrigation water discussed in "Area A" is estimated at 43,653 acre feet / year, average year conditions and is estimated at 19,138 acre feet / year, average year conditions for "Area B".

#### JENNY LIND SERVICE AREA

As previously discussed, water demands in the Jenny Lind Service Area are currently supplied by the Calaveras River and CCWD's Jenny Lind water treatment, storage and distribution system. When considering long term needs, it would be physically possible to supply the Jenny Lind Service Area from the Mokelumne River. The Mokelumne River watershed is considerably larger than the watershed that feeds the Calaveras River and extends into higher, snow melt elevations. These factors increase the reliability of the Mokelumne River supply to serve residential water demands.

#### TABLE 2 Average Year Evapotranspiration - Precipitation (ETo-P) Data for Western Calaveras County

|                      | Average Evapotranspiration  |                         | Average Precipitation          |                |                                     |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Month                | ET  | <b>D</b> <sup>(1)</sup> | Р                              | ETo-P          |                                     |
|                      | (Isoline Map; D   | WR Bull.113-3)          | (DWR California Rainfall Data) |                |                                     |
|                      | mm/day  | inch/mo                 | inch/mo                        | inch/mo        |                                     |
| Jan.                 | 1.0   | 1.22                    | 6.02                           | -4.8           |                                     |
| Mar.                 | 1.4<br>2.4  | 1.54<br>2.93            | 5.03                           | -3.88<br>-2.10 |                                     |
| Apr.                 | 4.0   | 4.72                    | 2.67                           | 2.05           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| May                  | 5.2   | 6.14                    | 1.49                           | 4.65           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| June                 | 6.3   | 7.44                    | 0.35                           | 7.09           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| July                 | 7.0   | 8.54                    | 0.02                           | 8.52           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| Aug.                 | 5.8   | 7.08                    | 0.06                           | 7.02           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| Sept.                | 4.8   | 5.67                    | 0.56                           | 6.52           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| Oct.                 | 2.7   | 3.30                    | 1.96                           | 1.34           | Included in Annual Demand           |
| Nov.                 | 1.2   | 1.42                    | 3.96                           | -2.54          |                                     |
| Dec.                 | 0.7   | 0.85                    | 5.49                           | -4.64          |                                     |
| Total Avg.<br>Annual | g. 42.5 50.85 33.13 37.19 inch/year (April - October)<br>~ 3.10 Ac-Ft/AC-Year |                         |                                |                | year (April - October)<br>t/AC-Year |

(1) ETo = Reference Evapotranspiration



## Table 3

# Potential Residential and Irrigation Water Demands, Area A and B, Western Calaveras County

| Area A Zones |              |                    |               |  |  |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
|              |              | Water Demand       | Water use     |  |  |
| Land Use     | Area (Acres) | Future. (AF/Ac-yr) | Future(AF/yr) |  |  |
| A1           | 4,890        | 3.10               | 15,159        |  |  |
| AP           | 3,619        | 3.10               | 11,219        |  |  |
| RA           | 2,154        | 3.25               | 7,001         |  |  |
| RR           | 1,826        | 3.40               | 6,208         |  |  |
| U            | 394          | 1.20               | 473           |  |  |
| СОММ         | 109          | 2.40               | 262           |  |  |
| MANU         | 65           | 2.40               | 156           |  |  |
| PS           | 51           | 1.00               | 51            |  |  |
| REC          | 69           | 2.5                | 173           |  |  |
| RES - LOW    | 500          | 1.20               | 600           |  |  |
| RES - MED    | 44           | 3.64               | 160           |  |  |
| LOSES        | 13,721       | 0.16               | 2,195         |  |  |

Total

13,721

43,656

| Area B Zones           |              |                    |               |  |  |  |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Water Demand Water use |              |                    |               |  |  |  |
| Land Use               | Area (Acres) | Future. (AF/Ac-yr) | Future(AF/yr) |  |  |  |
| A1                     | 4,034        | 3.10               | 12,505        |  |  |  |
| AP                     | 320          | 3.10               | 992           |  |  |  |
| RA                     | 353          | 3.25               | 1,147         |  |  |  |
| RR                     | 687          | 3.40               | 2,336         |  |  |  |
| U                      | 148          | 1.20               | 178           |  |  |  |
| СОММ                   | 5            | 2.40               | 12            |  |  |  |
| MANU                   | 410          | 2.40               | 984           |  |  |  |
| PS                     | -            | 1.00               | -             |  |  |  |
| REC                    | 12           | 2.5                | 30            |  |  |  |
| RES - LOW              | -            | 1.20               | -             |  |  |  |
| RES - MED              | -            | 3.64               | -             |  |  |  |
| LOSES                  | 5,969        | 0.16               | 955           |  |  |  |

Total

5,969

19,139

| A1= General Agriculture    | MANU=Manufacturing                 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| AP= Agriculture Preserve   | PS=Public Service                  |
| RA=Residential Agriculture | REC=Recreation                     |
| RR=Residential Rural       | RES-LOW=Low Density Residential    |
| U=Unclassified             | RES-MED=Medium Density Residential |
| COMM=Commercial            | LOSES=Loses in the Water System    |



In **Figure 5** is presented an overview of Pardee Reservoir, the lower Mokelumne River, New Hogan Lake, the Calaveras River and the CCWD Jenny Lind / La Contenta Service Area.

With the close proximity of the Pardee Reservoir outlet structure to the Jenny Lind / La Contenta Service Area, an outlet pipeline could be constructed along Sandretto Road to Watertown Road. A new water treatment plant and pump station could then be constructed along Watertown Road between the limits of the East Bay MUD Property and the Valley Springs PUD service area. The new pipeline could then be extended south of Valley Springs to the existing trunk (12 inch diameter) mains of the Jenny Lind / La Contenta Service Area located near New Hogan Dam Road and State Route 26. From this point Mokelumne River supply could extend to Tank E, Tank F and Tank A of the Jenny Lind / La Contenta system. The existing pumps located at Tank A could then lift the treated Mokelumne River supply to Tank B and to the rest of the Jenny Lind / La Contenta Service Area. Master planning of the Jenny Lind area was recently completed by Peterson – Brustad Inc. Projected, year 2040, potable and raw water use for the Jenny Lind Service Area are estimated at 6,664 acre feet in the Peterson-Brustad report. If these demands were served by the Mokelumne River rather than by the Calaveras River, it is reasonable to expect that Calaveras River water, which now supplies Jenny Lind could be made available to meet agricultural demands in Western Calaveras County Areas such as the "Area A" land lying between the Mokelumne River and Calaveras River watersheds.



|                 |              |          | Area A     |              |      |  |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--------------|------|--|
| Cannabis Permit |              |          |            |              |      |  |
| Parcel          | APN          | Land Use | Area (SF)  | Area (Acres) | Арр. |  |
| 1               | 48018062     | A1       | 145,767    | 3.35         |      |  |
| 2               | 48018063     | A1       | 1,167,644  | 26.81        |      |  |
| 3               | 48018053     | A1       | 74,745     | 1.72         |      |  |
| 4               | 48018002     | A1       | 638,045    | 14.65        |      |  |
| 5               | 48018005     | COMM     | 250,429    | 5.75         |      |  |
| 6               | 48018144     | U        | 139,000    | 3.19         |      |  |
| 7               | 48018066     | RR       | 117,935    | 2.71         |      |  |
| 8               | 48018165     | COMM     | 86,459     | 1.98         |      |  |
| 9               | 48018164     | COMM     | 259,381    | 5.95         |      |  |
| 10              | 48061016     | COMM     | 477,671    | 10.97        |      |  |
| 11              | 48061001     | REC      | 41,692     | 0.96         |      |  |
| 12              | 48061002     | REC      | 102,505    | 2.35         |      |  |
| 13              | 48061003     | REC      | 175,558    | 4.03         |      |  |
| 14              | 48061010     | REC      | 1,042,720  | 23.94        |      |  |
| 15              | 48061011     | REC      | 1,632,693  | 37.48        |      |  |
| 16              | 48061013     | U        | 1,122,309  | 25.76        |      |  |
|                 | Wallace Lake |          |            |              |      |  |
| 17              | Estates      | RES      | 1,625,949  | 37.33        |      |  |
| 18              | 48018145     | MANU     | 544,806    | 12.51        |      |  |
| 19              | 48019057     | MANU     | 605,489    | 13.90        |      |  |
| 20              | 48019045     | RR       | 44,465     | 1.02         |      |  |
| 21              | 48019046     | RR       | 112,585    | 2.58         |      |  |
| 22              | 48019031     | AP       | 48,019,031 | 1,102.37     |      |  |
| 23              | 48019049     | U        | 73,220     | 1.68         |      |  |
| 24              | 48018160     | AP       | 3,420,908  | 78.53        |      |  |
| 25              | 48020007     | AP       | 1,139,967  | 26.17        |      |  |
| 26              | 48020012     | MANU     | 493,571    | 11.33        |      |  |
|                 | Mokelumne    |          |            |              |      |  |
|                 | Oaks Sub     |          |            |              |      |  |
| 27              | Division     | RES      | 1,772,946  | 40.70        |      |  |
| 28              | 48020011     | A1       | 251,124    | 5.77         |      |  |
| 29              | 48020010     | A1       | 197,088    | 4.52         |      |  |
| 30              | 48018181     | RES      | 1,704,335  | 39.13        |      |  |
| 31              | 48061025     | RES      | 5,458,050  | 125.30       |      |  |
| 32              | 48061024     | RES      | 1,371,654  | 31.49        |      |  |
| 33              | 48018168     | RA       | 664,600    | 15.26        |      |  |
| 34              | 48018163     | RA       | 253,355    | 5.82         |      |  |
| 35              | 48018169     | RA       | 208,763    | 4.79         |      |  |
| 36              | 48018156     | RA       | 366,671    | 8.42         |      |  |
| 37              | 48018162     | RA       | 206,804    | 4.75         |      |  |
| 38              | 48018175     | RA       | 215,772    | 4.95         |      |  |

Table 1.Western Calaveras County Land Uses

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 39 | 48018176 | RA | 215,645    | 4.95   |  |
|----|----------|----|------------|--------|--|
| 40 | 48018177 | RA | 214,971    | 4.94   |  |
| 41 | 48018178 | RA | 1,033,212  | 23.72  |  |
| 42 | 48018159 | A1 | 1,784,136  | 40.96  |  |
| 43 | 48018096 | A1 | 1,725,378  | 39.61  |  |
| 44 | 48018102 | A1 | 1,804,000  | 41.41  |  |
| 45 | 48018080 | A1 | 3,252,213  | 74.66  |  |
| 46 | 48018146 | A1 | 1,119,506  | 25.70  |  |
| 47 | 48018147 | A1 | 1,430,471  | 32.84  |  |
| 48 | 48018148 | A1 | 1,458,837  | 33.49  |  |
| 49 | 48018133 | A1 | 7,310,981  | 167.84 |  |
| 50 | 48018101 | A1 | 1,852,547  | 42.53  |  |
| 51 | 48018092 | A1 | 1,679,312  | 38.55  |  |
| 52 | 48018097 | A1 | 1,782,813  | 40.93  |  |
| 53 | 48018098 | A1 | 1,776,512  | 40.78  |  |
| 54 | 48018099 | A1 | 1,783,834  | 40.95  |  |
| 55 | 48018100 | A1 | 1,879,662  | 43.15  |  |
| 56 | 48018036 | A1 | 14,947,024 | 343.14 |  |
| 57 | 48018073 | A1 | 709,340    | 16.28  |  |
| 58 | 48018180 | RR | 481,916    | 11.06  |  |
| 59 | 48018179 | RR | 217,395    | 4.99   |  |
| 60 | 48018115 | RA | 169,308    | 3.89   |  |
| 61 | 48018114 | RA | 214,710    | 4.93   |  |
| 62 | 48018188 | A1 | 192,395    | 4.42   |  |
| 63 | 48018189 | A1 | 199,310    | 4.58   |  |
| 64 | 48018161 | AP | 4,046,137  | 92.89  |  |
| 65 | 48018039 | AP | 263,191    | 6.04   |  |
| 66 | 48018074 | RA | 832,633    | 19.11  |  |
| 67 | 48018075 | RA | 861,882    | 19.79  |  |
| 68 | 48021005 | A1 | 84,229     | 1.93   |  |
| 69 | 48021068 | AP | 9,888,254  | 227.00 |  |
| 70 | 48021069 | AP | 3,644,545  | 83.67  |  |
| 71 | 48018137 | RR | 261,609    | 6.01   |  |
| 72 | 48018138 | RR | 196,773    | 4.52   |  |
| 73 | 48018139 | RR | 212,187    | 4.87   |  |
| 74 | 48018140 | RR | 213,116    | 4.89   |  |
| 75 | 48018122 | RR | 209,286    | 4.80   |  |
| 76 | 48018125 | RR | 202,340    | 4.65   |  |
| 77 | 48018123 | RR | 247,518    | 5.68   |  |
| 78 | 48018124 | RR | 218,278    | 5.01   |  |
| 79 | 48042046 | RR | 1,614,097  | 37.05  |  |
| 80 | 48042047 | RR | 302,903    | 6.95   |  |
| 81 | 48042048 | RR | 133,979    | 3.08   |  |
| 82 | 48042045 | RR | 322,250    | 7.40   |  |
| 83 | 48042040 | RR | 504,081    | 11.57  |  |
| 84 | 48042041 | RR | 542,718    | 12.46  |  |
| 85 | 48042079 | RR | 698,289    | 16.03  |  |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 96       | 40042051   | DD         | 211 404    | 1 05           |   |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|---|
| 00<br>07 | 48042051   |            | 211,404    | 4.85<br>6.99   |   |
| 07       | 48042032   |            | 233,633    | 5.00           |   |
| 00<br>90 | 48078001   |            | 217,703    | 5.00           |   |
| 00       | 48078002   |            | 230,827    | 3.30<br>17 70  |   |
| 90       | 48078003   |            | 215 195    | 17.78          |   |
| 91       | 48078004   | RA         | 215,185    | 4.94<br>5.12   |   |
| 92       | 48078005   | RA         | 223,234    | 5.12           |   |
| 93       | 48079001   | RA         | 237,095    | 5.44           |   |
| 94       | 48079002   | RA         | 225,209    | 5.17           |   |
| 95       | 48079003   | RA         | 283,597    | 6.51           |   |
| 96       | 48078006   | RA         | 207,558    | 4.76           |   |
| 97       | 48078007   | RA         | 202,394    | 4.65           |   |
| 98       | 48079006   | RA         | 233,836    | 5.37           |   |
| 99       | 48079005   | RA         | 252,110    | 5.79           |   |
| 100      | 48079007   | RA         | 266,089    | 6.11           |   |
| 101      | 48079010   | RA         | 223,413    | 5.13           |   |
| 102      | 48079011   | RA         | 247,535    | 5.68           |   |
| 103      | 48079012   | RA         | 303,113    | 6.96           |   |
| 104      | 48021111   | RA         | 5,815,035  | 133.50         |   |
| 105      | 48021113   | RA         | 205,081    | 4.71           |   |
| 106      | 48021112   | RA         | 202,042    | 4.64           |   |
|          | Southworth |            |            |                |   |
| 107      | Estates    | RA         | 36,578,342 | 839.73         |   |
| 108      | 48021102   | A1         | 1,922,627  | 44.14          |   |
| 109      | 48021103   | A1         | 1,384,025  | 31.77          |   |
| 110      | 48021015   | U          | 1,182,936  | 27.16          |   |
| 111      | 48021039   | AP         | 6,911,305  | 158.66         |   |
| 112      | 50001109   | AP         | 14,240,184 | 326.91         |   |
| 113      | 50001107   | AP         | 2.609.750  | 59.91          |   |
| 114      | 50001108   | AP         | 7.237.678  | 166.16         |   |
| 115      | 50048014   | U          | 424.261    | 9.74           |   |
| 116      | 50048001   | U          | 458.084    | 10.52          |   |
| 117      | 48021011   | A1         | 8 860 129  | 203.40         |   |
| 118      | 48021011   | A1         | 940 748    | 203.10         |   |
| 119      | 48042066   | A1         | 858 462    | 19 71          |   |
| 120      | 48042065   | Δ1         | 2 539 405  | 58 30          |   |
| 120      | 48042083   | ۸ <u>۱</u> | 2,555,405  | 46.14          |   |
| 121      | 48042081   | A1         | 2,010,000  | 40.14<br>57.61 |   |
| 122      | 48042080   | A1         | 2,309,043  | 2 17           |   |
| 123      | 40042037   | A1         | 94,043     | 2.17           | v |
| 124      | 48042031   | AI         | 116,062    | 2.66           | X |
| 125      | 48042030   | A1         | /8,469     | 1.80           | X |
| 126      | 48042028   | A1         | 93,060     | 2.14           |   |
| 127      | 48042029   | A1         | 135,105    | 3.10           |   |
| 128      | 48042036   | A1         | 214,648    | 4.93           |   |
| 129      | 48042013   | A1         | 244,746    | 5.62           |   |
| 130      | 48042014   | A1         | 206,156    | 4.73           |   |
| 131      | 48042015   | A1         | 227,564    | 5.22           |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 132   48042016   A1   211,613   4.86     133   48042017   A1   205,968   4.73     134   48042018   A1   242,951   5.58     135   48042010   A1   180,058   4.13     137   48042021   A1   123,762   5.14     138   48042023   A1   254,939   5.85     140   48021024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,216,274   5.317     144   48021101   AP   17,106,770   392,72     143   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     151   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>                         |     |          |      |            |        |  |
|--|-----|----------|------|------------|--------|--|
| 133   48042017   A1   205,968   4.73     134   48042018   A1   242,951   5.58     135   48042020   A1   180,058   4.13     137   48042021   A1   223,762   5.14     138   48042023   A1   199,498   4.58     139   48042024   A1   224,939   5.85     140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   48021010   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   17,2645   3.96     145   48023001   A1   80,09   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022007   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022004   A1   329,194   5.26     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   <   | 132 | 48042016 | A1   | 211,613    | 4.86   |  |
| 134   48042018   A1   242,951   5.58     135   48042020   A1   180,058   4.13     137   48042021   A1   223,762   5.14     138   48042022   A1   199,498   4.58     139   48042023   A1   224,939   5.85     140   48042024   A1   254,939   5.85     141   48042024   A1   254,939   5.85     141   48042141   A1   2,66,029   38.02     143   4802100   AP   17,106,770   392,72     144   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022006   A1   90,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     151   48022007   A1   103,553   2.38     152   48022007   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48   | 133 | 48042017 | A1   | 205,968    | 4.73   |  |
| 135   48042019   A1   250,663   5.75     136   4804202   A1   180,058   4.13     137   48042021   A1   123,762   5.14     138   48042023   A1   254,939   5.85     140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021142   A1   1,254,939   5.85     140   48021010   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023001   A1   71,021   1.63     145   48023002   A1   71,021   1.63     144   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   11,091   2.55     148   48022005   A1   90,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022001   A1   52,859   1.21     154   48042   | 134 | 48042018 | A1   | 242,951    | 5.58   |  |
| 136   48042020   A1   180,058   4.13     137   48042021   A1   223,762   5.14     138   48042022   A1   199,498   4.58     139   48042023   A1   224,939   5.85     140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   48021101   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023001   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48023005   A1   90,519   2.08     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022007   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,869   1.21     154 <td< td=""><td>135</td><td>48042019</td><td>A1</td><td>250,663</td><td>5.75</td><td></td></td<> | 135 | 48042019 | A1   | 250,663    | 5.75   |  |
| 137   48042021   A1   223,762   5.14     138   48042022   A1   199,498   4.58     139   48042023   A1   254,939   5.55     140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   4802100   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023001   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022005   A1   90,519   2.08     1449   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022007   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     155   4   | 136 | 48042020 | A1   | 180,058    | 4.13   |  |
| 138   48042022   A1   199,498   4.58     139   48042023   A1   254,939   5.85     140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   48021010   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023002   A1   71,021   1.63     144   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022005   A1   30,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022007   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     154   48042074   RA   137,79   4.52     155  | 137 | 48042021 | A1   | 223,762    | 5.14   |  |
| 139   48042023   A1   254,939   5.85     140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   48021142   A1   1,656,029   38.02     143   4802100   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023001   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022001   A1   52,865   4.72     153   48042087   RA   205,665   4.72     154   48042087   RA   215,279   4.94     155   | 138 | 48042022 | A1   | 199,498    | 4.58   |  |
| 140   48042024   A1   208,074   4.78     141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   48021101   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023002   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     150   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,655   4.72     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   <   | 139 | 48042023 | A1   | 254,939    | 5.85   |  |
| 141   48021141   A1   2,316,274   53.17     142   48021142   A1   1,656,029   38.02     143   48021010   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042074   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   4804203   RR   221,364   5.08     158  | 140 | 48042024 | A1   | 208,074    | 4.78   |  |
| 142   48021142   A1   1,656,029   38.02     143   48021010   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023001   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     149   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022007   A1   103,565   2.38     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     156   48042074   RA   216,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   <   | 141 | 48021141 | A1   | 2,316,274  | 53.17  |  |
| 143   48021010   AP   17,106,770   392.72     144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023002   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     156   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   4804204   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48   | 142 | 48021142 | A1   | 1,656,029  | 38.02  |  |
| 144   48023003   COMM   172,645   3.96     145   48023002   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022005   A1   90,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     156   48042074   RA   196,780   4.52     157   4804203   A1   21,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042005   A1   191,916   4.41     162   48042008 </td <td>143</td> <td>48021010</td> <td>AP</td> <td>17,106,770</td> <td>392.72</td> <td></td>   | 143 | 48021010 | AP   | 17,106,770 | 392.72 |  |
| 145   48023002   A1   71,021   1.63     146   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022005   A1   90,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   4804208   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042050   RR   228,370   5.24     160   48042050   RR   228,370   5.24     165   48042008 <td>144</td> <td>48023003</td> <td>СОММ</td> <td>172,645</td> <td>3.96</td> <td></td>            | 144 | 48023003 | СОММ | 172,645    | 3.96   |  |
| 146   48023001   A1   80,099   1.84     147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022005   A1   90,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     151   48022007   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   R   228,370   5.24     160   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,551   4.69     165   48042010 </td <td>145</td> <td>48023002</td> <td>A1</td> <td>71,021</td> <td>1.63</td> <td></td>         | 145 | 48023002 | A1   | 71,021     | 1.63   |  |
| 147   48022006   COMM   111,091   2.55     148   48022005   A1   90,519   2.08     149   48022004   A1   345,666   7.94     150   48022008   A1   229,194   5.26     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     155   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042050   RR   228,370   5.24     160   48042050   RR   228,370   5.24     161   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,251   4.69     165   48042010   | 146 | 48023001 | A1   | 80,099     | 1.84   |  |
| 148 48022005 A1 90,519 2.08   149 48022004 A1 345,666 7.94   150 48022008 A1 229,194 5.26   151 48022007 A1 103,563 2.38   152 48022002 A1 38,915 0.89   153 48022001 A1 52,889 1.21   154 48042088 RA 205,665 4.72   155 48042087 RA 237,341 5.45   156 48042074 RA 196,779 4.52   157 48042004 A1 221,364 5.08   159 48042004 A1 221,364 5.08   159 48042005 A1 196,780 4.52   160 48042006 A1 435,115 9.99   161 48042006 A1 435,115 9.99   161 48042008 A1 191,916 4.41   162 48042009 A1 204,549 4.70   163 48042010 A1   | 147 | 48022006 | СОММ | 111,091    | 2.55   |  |
| 149 48022004 A1 345,666 7.94   150 48022008 A1 229,194 5.26   151 48022007 A1 103,563 2.38   152 48022002 A1 38,915 0.89   153 48022001 A1 52,889 1.21   154 48042088 RA 205,665 4.72   155 48042074 RA 196,779 4.52   156 48042073 RA 215,279 4.94   158 48042073 RA 215,279 4.94   158 48042004 A1 221,364 5.08   159 48042005 A1 196,780 4.52   160 48042006 A1 435,115 9.99   161 48042008 A1 191,916 4.41   162 48042008 A1 191,916 4.41   164 48042009 A1 204,549 4.70   163 48042010 A1 413,618 9.50   166 48042010 A1 <td>148</td> <td>48022005</td> <td>A1</td> <td>90,519</td> <td>2.08</td> <td></td>   | 148 | 48022005 | A1   | 90,519     | 2.08   |  |
| 150   48022008   A1   229,194   5.26     151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     162   4804208   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,529   4.70     163   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042010 </td <td>149</td> <td>48022004</td> <td>A1</td> <td>345,666</td> <td>7.94</td> <td></td>        | 149 | 48022004 | A1   | 345,666    | 7.94   |  |
| 151   48022007   A1   103,563   2.38     152   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     163   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,549   4.70     165   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042011   A1   293,668   6.74     167   48042075<   | 150 | 48022008 | A1   | 229,194    | 5.26   |  |
| 152   48022002   A1   38,915   0.89     153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042000   RR   228,370   5.24     162   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,525   4.69     165   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042011   A1   236,641   5.44     167   48042075   RR   205,554   4.72     170   48042075<   | 151 | 48022007 | A1   | 103,563    | 2.38   |  |
| 153   48022001   A1   52,889   1.21     154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042074   RA   237,341   5.45     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     162   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,251   4.69     165   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042011   A1   236,841   5.44     167   48018103   A1   207,015   4.75     169   48042068   | 152 | 48022002 | A1   | 38,915     | 0.89   |  |
| 154   48042088   RA   205,665   4.72     155   48042087   RA   237,341   5.45     156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     162   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,251   4.69     165   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042011   A1   236,841   5.44     167   48018103   A1   207,015   4.75     169   48042068   RR   241,444   5.54     170   4804207   | 153 | 48022001 | A1   | 52,889     | 1.21   |  |
| 15548042087RA237,3415.4515648042074RA196,7794.5215748042073RA215,2794.9415848042004A1221,3645.0815948042005A1196,7804.5216048042006A1435,1159.9916148042050RR228,3705.241624804209RR204,5494.701634804200A1204,2514.691644804200A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042078RR252,3865.7917448042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47   | 154 | 48042088 | RA   | 205,665    | 4.72   |  |
| 156   48042074   RA   196,779   4.52     157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042050   RR   228,370   5.24     162   48042049   RR   204,549   4.70     163   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,251   4.69     165   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042011   A1   236,841   5.44     167   48018103   A1   207,015   4.75     169   48042075   RR   205,564   4.72     170   48042075   RR   205,564   4.72     171   48042077   RR   206,243   6.80     172   4804207   | 155 | 48042087 | RA   | 237,341    | 5.45   |  |
| 157   48042073   RA   215,279   4.94     158   48042004   A1   221,364   5.08     159   48042005   A1   196,780   4.52     160   48042006   A1   435,115   9.99     161   48042008   A1   196,780   5.24     162   48042049   RR   228,370   5.24     163   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,549   4.70     163   48042009   A1   204,251   4.69     164   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     165   48042011   A1   293,668   6.74     166   48042011   A1   236,841   5.44     168   48018103   A1   207,015   4.75     169   48042075   RR   205,564   4.72     171   48042071   RR   303,998   6.98     172   4804207   | 156 | 48042074 | RA   | 196,779    | 4.52   |  |
| 15848042004A1221,3645.0815948042005A1196,7804.5216048042006A1435,1159.9916148042050RR228,3705.2416248042049RR204,5494.7016348042008A1191,9164.4116448042009A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042075RR205,5644.7217148042076RR160,7113.6917248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.801744804208RR252,3865.791754804208RR232,5225.341764804208RR232,5225.3417748018187RA3,723,08085.47   | 157 | 48042073 | RA   | 215,279    | 4.94   |  |
| 15948042005A1196,7804.5216048042006A1435,1159.9916148042050RR228,3705.2416248042049RR204,5494.7016348042008A1191,9164.4116448042009A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042076RR160,7113.6917248042076RR160,7113.6917348042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 158 | 48042004 | A1   | 221,364    | 5.08   |  |
| 16048042006A1435,1159.9916148042050RR228,3705.2416248042049RR204,5494.7016348042008A1191,9164.4116448042009A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 159 | 48042005 | A1   | 196,780    | 4.52   |  |
| 161   48042050   RR   228,370   5.24     162   48042049   RR   204,549   4.70     163   48042008   A1   191,916   4.41     164   48042009   A1   204,251   4.69     165   48042010   A1   413,618   9.50     166   48042011   A1   293,668   6.74     167   48018104   A1   236,841   5.44     168   48018103   A1   207,015   4.75     169   48042075   RR   205,564   4.72     170   48042071   RR   303,998   6.98     172   48042071   RR   206,243   6.80     173   48042077   RR   296,243   6.80     174   48042078   RR   252,386   5.79     175   48042078   RR   232,522   5.34     176   48042085   RR   232,522   5.34     177   4801802   | 160 | 48042006 | A1   | 435,115    | 9.99   |  |
| 16248042049RR204,5494.7016348042008A1191,9164.4116448042009A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR232,5225.3417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 161 | 48042050 | RR   | 228,370    | 5.24   |  |
| 16348042008A1191,9164.4116448042009A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.801744804208RR241,3295.541764804208RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 162 | 48042049 | RR   | 204,549    | 4.70   |  |
| 16448042009A1204,2514.6916548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 163 | 48042008 | A1   | 191,916    | 4.41   |  |
| 16548042010A1413,6189.5016648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR232,5225.3417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 164 | 48042009 | A1   | 204,251    | 4.69   |  |
| 16648042011A1293,6686.7416748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR232,5225.3417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 165 | 48042010 | A1   | 413,618    | 9.50   |  |
| 16748018104A1236,8415.4416848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 166 | 48042011 | A1   | 293,668    | 6.74   |  |
| 16848018103A1207,0154.7516948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 167 | 48018104 | A1   | 236,841    | 5.44   |  |
| 16948042068RR241,4445.5417048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 168 | 48018103 | A1   | 207,015    | 4.75   |  |
| 17048042075RR205,5644.7217148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 169 | 48042068 | RR   | 241,444    | 5.54   |  |
| 17148042071RR303,9986.9817248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 170 | 48042075 | RR   | 205,564    | 4.72   |  |
| 17248042076RR160,7113.6917348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 171 | 48042071 | RR   | 303,998    | 6.98   |  |
| 17348042077RR296,2436.8017448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 172 | 48042076 | RR   | 160,711    | 3.69   |  |
| 17448042078RR252,3865.7917548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 173 | 48042077 | RR   | 296,243    | 6.80   |  |
| 17548042085RR241,3295.5417648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 174 | 48042078 | RR   | 252,386    | 5.79   |  |
| 17648042086RR232,5225.3417748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 175 | 48042085 | RR   | 241,329    | 5.54   |  |
| 17748018027MANU356,3748.1817848018187RA3,723,08085.47  | 176 | 48042086 | RR   | 232,522    | 5.34   |  |
| 178 48018187 RA 3,723,080 85.47  | 177 | 48018027 | MANU | 356,374    | 8.18   |  |
|  | 178 | 48018187 | RA   | 3,723,080  | 85.47  |  |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 179 | 48018190 | RA | 919,103   | 21.10  |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|--------|---|
| 180 | 48018030 | RA | 3,638,640 | 83.53  | Х |
| 181 | 48018191 | A1 | 2,030,694 | 46.62  |   |
| 182 | 48018031 | A1 | 839,062   | 19.26  | Х |
| 183 | 48018032 | RA | 3,509,267 | 80.56  | Х |
| 184 | 48018049 | A1 | 824,950   | 18.94  |   |
| 185 | 48018024 | A1 | 801,348   | 18.40  |   |
| 186 | 48018023 | A1 | 1,682,566 | 38.63  |   |
| 187 | 48018022 | A1 | 4,534,923 | 104.11 | Х |
| 188 | 48046007 | RR | 279,473   | 6.42   |   |
| 189 | 48046006 | RR | 426,801   | 9.80   |   |
| 190 | 48046005 | RR | 240,528   | 5.52   |   |
| 191 | 48046001 | RR | 376,416   | 8.64   |   |
| 192 | 48046002 | RR | 390,888   | 8.97   |   |
| 193 | 48046003 | RR | 374,541   | 8.60   |   |
| 194 | 48046004 | RR | 318,267   | 7.31   |   |
| 195 | 48047006 | RR | 224,548   | 5.15   |   |
| 196 | 48047005 | RR | 238,368   | 5.47   | X |
| 197 | 48047004 | RR | 240,118   | 5.51   |   |
| 198 | 48047003 | RR | 268,471   | 6.16   |   |
| 199 | 48047002 | RR | 226,856   | 5.21   |   |
| 200 | 48048012 | RR | 220,814   | 5.07   | Х |
| 201 | 48048011 | RR | 225,572   | 5.18   |   |
| 202 | 48048010 | RR | 233,595   | 5.36   |   |
| 203 | 48048009 | RR | 219,410   | 5.04   |   |
| 204 | 48048008 | RR | 232,501   | 5.34   |   |
| 205 | 48048007 | RR | 220,310   | 5.06   |   |
| 206 | 48048005 | RR | 249,074   | 5.72   |   |
| 207 | 48048006 | RR | 186,246   | 4.28   |   |
| 208 | 48018128 | RR | 293,135   | 6.73   |   |
| 209 | 48018127 | RR | 261,000   | 5.99   |   |
| 210 | 48048004 | RR | 229,415   | 5.27   |   |
| 211 | 48048003 | RR | 222,881   | 5.12   |   |
| 212 | 48048002 | RR | 210,153   | 4.82   |   |
| 213 | 48048001 | RR | 216,767   | 4.98   |   |
| 214 | 48047001 | RR | 215,490   | 4.95   |   |
| 215 | 48045005 | RR | 244,964   | 5.62   |   |
| 216 | 48045004 | RR | 177,724   | 4.08   |   |
| 217 | 48045003 | RR | 213,710   | 4.91   |   |
| 218 | 48045006 | RR | 233,119   | 5.35   |   |
| 219 | 48045007 | RR | 217,715   | 5.00   |   |
| 220 | 48045010 | RR | 259,710   | 5.96   |   |
| 221 | 48045011 | RR | 182,725   | 4.19   |   |
| 222 | 48045012 | RR | 225,880   | 5.19   |   |
| 223 | 48045013 | RR | 214,184   | 4.92   |   |
| 224 | 48018126 | RR | 237,465   | 5.45   |   |
| 225 | 48043018 | RR | 214,963   | 4.93   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 226 | 48043017 | RR | 193,750   | 4.45  |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|-------|---|
| 227 | 48043016 | RR | 236,157   | 5.42  |   |
| 228 | 48043015 | RR | 230,283   | 5.29  |   |
| 229 | 48043014 | RR | 216,750   | 4.98  |   |
| 230 | 48043013 | RR | 238,521   | 5.48  |   |
| 231 | 48043012 | RR | 215,499   | 4.95  |   |
| 232 | 48043011 | RR | 224,999   | 5.17  |   |
| 233 | 48043010 | RR | 270,590   | 6.21  |   |
| 234 | 48043009 | RR | 226,185   | 5.19  |   |
| 235 | 48043024 | RR | 204,762   | 4.70  |   |
| 236 | 48043023 | RR | 208,989   | 4.80  |   |
| 237 | 48043022 | RR | 199,725   | 4.59  |   |
| 238 | 48043021 | RR | 206,538   | 4.74  |   |
| 239 | 48043019 | RR | 207,116   | 4.75  |   |
| 240 | 48043020 | RR | 203,748   | 4.68  |   |
| 241 | 48044008 | RR | 231,480   | 5.31  |   |
| 242 | 48045009 | RR | 208,266   | 4.78  |   |
| 243 | 48045008 | RR | 234,151   | 5.38  |   |
| 244 | 48045002 | RR | 222,764   | 5.11  |   |
| 245 | 48045001 | RR | 242,207   | 5.56  |   |
| 246 | 48044006 | RR | 211,562   | 4.86  |   |
| 247 | 48044005 | RR | 212,844   | 4.89  |   |
| 248 | 48044004 | RR | 234,168   | 5.38  |   |
| 249 | 48044003 | RR | 233,311   | 5.36  |   |
| 250 | 48044007 | RR | 230,607   | 5.29  |   |
| 251 | 48044002 | RR | 251,000   | 5.76  |   |
| 252 | 48044001 | RR | 201,476   | 4.63  |   |
| 253 | 48018120 | RR | 420,443   | 9.65  |   |
| 254 | 48018166 | RR | 295,007   | 6.77  |   |
| 255 | 48018167 | RR | 240,467   | 5.52  |   |
| 256 | 48018149 | RR | 1,345,492 | 30.89 |   |
| 257 | 48043001 | RR | 189,060   | 4.34  |   |
| 258 | 48043002 | RR | 245,484   | 5.64  |   |
| 259 | 48043004 | RR | 213,138   | 4.89  |   |
| 260 | 48043005 | RR | 217,242   | 4.99  |   |
| 261 | 48043003 | RR | 208,815   | 4.79  |   |
| 262 | 48043006 | RR | 231,673   | 5.32  |   |
| 263 | 48043007 | RR | 231,307   | 5.31  |   |
| 264 | 48043008 | RR | 484,131   | 11.11 |   |
| 265 | 48018151 | RA | 526,515   | 12.09 |   |
| 266 | 48018153 | RA | 268,069   | 6.15  |   |
| 267 | 48018152 | RA | 206,228   | 4.73  |   |
| 268 | 48018183 | RA | 884,522   | 20.31 |   |
| 269 | 48018182 | RA | 852,791   | 19.58 | X |
| 270 | 48018107 | A1 | 226,054   | 5.19  |   |
| 271 | 48018055 | A1 | 190,805   | 4.38  |   |
| 272 | 48018056 | A1 | 133,756   | 3.07  |   |
| L   |          |    |           |       |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 273 | 48018108 | A1 | 247,865   | 5.69   |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|--------|---|
| 274 | 48018109 | A1 | 294,369   | 6.76   |   |
| 275 | 48018057 | A1 | 97,885    | 2.25   |   |
| 276 | 48018110 | A1 | 355,253   | 8.16   |   |
| 277 | 48018012 | A1 | 559,092   | 12.84  |   |
| 278 | 48018014 | A1 | 337,860   | 7.76   |   |
| 279 | 48018013 | A1 | 437,910   | 10.05  | Х |
| 280 | 48018044 | A1 | 446,360   | 10.25  | Х |
| 281 | 48018015 | A1 | 1,248,321 | 28.66  | Х |
| 282 | 48017001 | A1 | 1,534,247 | 35.22  |   |
| 283 | 48017003 | A1 | 4,709,574 | 108.12 |   |
| 284 | 48017108 | A1 | 1,761,660 | 40.44  |   |
| 285 | 48017109 | A1 | 893,310   | 20.51  |   |
| 286 | 48017088 | A1 | 1,808,972 | 41.53  |   |
| 287 | 48017092 | AP | 6,749,385 | 154.95 |   |
| 288 | 48017093 | A1 | 1,391,556 | 31.95  |   |
| 289 | 48017103 | A1 | 735,673   | 16.89  |   |
| 290 | 48017098 | A1 | 160,425   | 3.68   |   |
| 291 | 48055036 | RR | 149,981   | 3.44   |   |
| 292 | 48017112 | A1 | 3,430,180 | 78.75  |   |
| 293 | 48055035 | RR | 53,632    | 1.23   |   |
| 294 | 48055011 | RR | 437,701   | 10.05  |   |
| 295 | 48055010 | RR | 221,067   | 5.08   |   |
| 296 | 48055009 | RR | 215,241   | 4.94   |   |
| 297 | 48055008 | RR | 227,956   | 5.23   |   |
| 298 | 48077001 | RR | 252,405   | 5.79   |   |
| 299 | 48055006 | RR | 241,856   | 5.55   |   |
| 300 | 48055005 | RR | 232,469   | 5.34   |   |
| 301 | 48077002 | RR | 223,345   | 5.13   |   |
| 302 | 48055003 | RR | 241,404   | 5.54   |   |
| 303 | 48055002 | RR | 240,835   | 5.53   |   |
| 304 | 48077006 | RR | 219,588   | 5.04   |   |
| 305 | 48077007 | RR | 217,120   | 4.98   |   |
| 306 | 48055019 | RR | 233,432   | 5.36   |   |
| 307 | 48077004 | RR | 256,611   | 5.89   |   |
| 308 | 48077003 | RR | 227,232   | 5.22   |   |
| 309 | 48077005 | RR | 242,819   | 5.57   |   |
| 310 | 48055020 | RR | 263,863   | 6.06   |   |
| 311 | 48055038 | RR | 263,535   | 6.05   |   |
| 312 | 48055037 | RR | 207,939   | 4.77   |   |
| 313 | 48055027 | RR | 187,790   | 4.31   |   |
| 314 | 48055026 | RR | 291,585   | 6.69   |   |
| 315 | 48055015 | RR | 261,585   | 6.01   |   |
| 316 | 48055014 | RR | 247,521   | 5.68   |   |
| 317 | 48055013 | RR | 227,181   | 5.22   |   |
| 318 | 48077010 | RR | 235,359   | 5.40   |   |
| 319 | 48055039 | RR | 219,307   | 5.03   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

|     |          |    |           | _      |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|--------|---|
| 320 | 48077012 | RR | 228,854   | 5.25   |   |
| 321 | 48077011 | RR | 200,716   | 4.61   |   |
| 322 | 48017113 | AP | 1,300,697 | 29.86  |   |
| 323 | 48080002 | RR | 635,610   | 14.59  |   |
| 324 | 48080003 | RR | 211,448   | 4.85   |   |
| 325 | 48080005 | RR | 219,007   | 5.03   |   |
| 326 | 48080007 | RR | 250,499   | 5.75   |   |
| 327 | 48080008 | RR | 213,003   | 4.89   |   |
| 328 | 48080006 | RR | 291,573   | 6.69   |   |
| 329 | 48080004 | RR | 250,908   | 5.76   |   |
| 330 | 48080010 | RR | 201,411   | 4.62   |   |
| 331 | 48080001 | RR | 248,471   | 5.70   |   |
| 332 | 48080011 | RR | 254,707   | 5.85   |   |
| 333 | 48080009 | RR | 194,334   | 4.46   |   |
| 334 | 48080012 | RR | 281,970   | 6.47   |   |
| 335 | 48080014 | RR | 210,534   | 4.83   |   |
| 336 | 48080013 | RR | 220,531   | 5.06   |   |
| 337 | 48080016 | RR | 232,091   | 5.33   |   |
| 338 | 48080015 | RR | 231,082   | 5.30   |   |
| 339 | 48018025 | A1 | 1,463,816 | 33.60  | Х |
| 340 | 48021009 | A1 | 693,754   | 15.93  |   |
| 341 | 48080033 | RR | 259,488   | 5.96   |   |
| 342 | 48080034 | RR | 229,728   | 5.27   |   |
| 343 | 48080019 | RR | 290,803   | 6.68   |   |
| 344 | 48080020 | RR | 192,902   | 4.43   |   |
| 345 | 48080035 | RR | 286,217   | 6.57   |   |
| 346 | 48080036 | RR | 229,899   | 5.28   |   |
| 347 | 48080021 | RR | 229,352   | 5.27   |   |
| 348 | 48080025 | RR | 262,246   | 6.02   |   |
| 349 | 48080024 | RR | 287,693   | 6.60   |   |
| 350 | 48080022 | RR | 250,406   | 5.75   |   |
| 351 | 48080023 | RR | 421,529   | 9.68   |   |
| 352 | 48080031 | RR | 238,086   | 5.47   |   |
| 353 | 48080032 | RR | 262,437   | 6.02   |   |
| 354 | 48080026 | RR | 239,455   | 5.50   |   |
| 355 | 48080027 | RR | 474,367   | 10.89  |   |
| 356 | 48080028 | RR | 247,091   | 5.67   |   |
| 357 | 48080030 | RR | 459,134   | 10.54  |   |
| 358 | 48080029 | RR | 474,121   | 10.88  |   |
| 359 | 48024002 | A1 | 87,293    | 2.00   |   |
| 360 | 48024003 | A1 | 342,679   | 7.87   |   |
| 361 | 48024005 | A1 | 140,615   | 3.23   |   |
| 362 | 48024004 | A1 | 355.095   | 8.15   | X |
| 363 | 48024007 | A1 | 260.475   | 5.98   |   |
| 364 | 48024006 | A1 | 242.395   | 5.56   |   |
| 365 | 48025279 | A1 | 5.519.204 | 126.70 |   |
| 366 | 48025301 | RA | 886.770   | 20.36  | Х |
| L   |          |    | 223,770   | 20.00  |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 367 | 48025008 | A1   | 948,980   | 21.79  |   |
|-----|----------|------|-----------|--------|---|
| 368 | 48025009 | A1   | 86,575    | 1.99   |   |
| 369 | 48025010 | A1   | 4,923,984 | 113.04 |   |
| 370 | 48025118 | A1   | 1,342,758 | 30.83  |   |
| 371 | 48025117 | A1   | 121,073   | 2.78   |   |
| 372 | 48025120 | A1   | 858,036   | 19.70  |   |
| 373 | 48025119 | A1   | 407,165   | 9.35   |   |
| 374 | 48025020 | A1   | 474,384   | 10.89  |   |
| 375 | 48025181 | A1   | 418,398   | 9.61   | Х |
| 376 | 48025139 | A1   | 224,558   | 5.16   |   |
| 377 | 48025138 | A1   | 233,325   | 5.36   |   |
| 378 | 48025017 | A1   | 421,228   | 9.67   |   |
| 379 | 48025015 | A1   | 735,730   | 16.89  |   |
| 380 | 48025013 | A1   | 886,951   | 20.36  |   |
| 381 | 48025016 | A1   | 80,786    | 1.85   |   |
| 382 | 48025116 | MANU | 747,385   | 17.16  |   |
| 383 | 48025236 | RA   | 215,755   | 4.95   |   |
| 384 | 48025237 | RA   | 203,882   | 4.68   |   |
| 385 | 48025240 | RA   | 220,133   | 5.05   |   |
| 386 | 48025241 | RA   | 218,118   | 5.01   |   |
| 387 | 48025242 | RA   | 392,478   | 9.01   |   |
| 388 | 48025243 | RA   | 229,032   | 5.26   |   |
| 389 | 48025244 | RA   | 210,523   | 4.83   |   |
| 390 | 48025106 | U    | 330,218   | 7.58   |   |
| 391 | 48025068 | RR   | 322,270   | 7.40   |   |
| 392 | 48025114 | RA   | 390,052   | 8.95   |   |
| 393 | 48025115 | RA   | 446,891   | 10.26  |   |
| 394 | 48025108 | U    | 224,351   | 5.15   |   |
| 395 | 48025058 | U    | 229,162   | 5.26   |   |
| 396 | 48025057 | U    | 220,166   | 5.05   |   |
| 397 | 48025109 | U    | 216,051   | 4.96   |   |
| 398 | 48025110 | U    | 212,142   | 4.87   |   |
| 399 | 48025056 | U    | 213,947   | 4.91   |   |
| 400 | 48025055 | U    | 199,907   | 4.59   |   |
| 401 | 48025111 | U    | 203,991   | 4.68   |   |
| 402 | 48025061 | A1   | 6,062,536 | 139.18 |   |
| 403 | 48035021 | RR   | 1,104,331 | 25.35  |   |
| 404 | 48035024 | RA   | 242,762   | 5.57   |   |
| 405 | 48025061 | U    | 6,062,536 | 139.18 |   |
| 406 | 48035025 | RA   | 213,483   | 4.90   |   |
| 407 | 48035026 | RA   | 690,773   | 15.86  |   |
| 408 | 48086001 | RR   | 263,116   | 6.04   |   |
| 409 | 48086002 | RR   | 261,296   | 6.00   |   |
| 410 | 48035029 | RR   | 209,333   | 4.81   |   |
| 411 | 48035020 | RR   | 297,631   | 6.83   |   |
| 412 | 48035028 | RR   | 303,340   | 6.96   |   |
| 413 | 48035036 | RR   | 284,678   | 6.54   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

|     |          |    |           | -      |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|--------|---|
| 414 | 48035035 | RR | 233,945   | 5.37   |   |
| 415 | 48025184 | RA | 207,843   | 4.77   |   |
| 416 | 48025212 | RA | 200,799   | 4.61   |   |
| 417 | 48025211 | RA | 221,729   | 5.09   |   |
| 418 | 48035013 | U  | 605,442   | 13.90  |   |
| 419 | 48035017 | U  | 423,799   | 9.73   |   |
| 420 | 48035016 | U  | 422,500   | 9.70   |   |
| 421 | 48035034 | RR | 251,601   | 5.78   |   |
| 422 | 48025290 | RR | 237,906   | 5.46   |   |
| 423 | 48025128 | RR | 298,277   | 6.85   |   |
| 424 | 48025126 | RR | 445,014   | 10.22  |   |
| 425 | 48025287 | RR | 231,149   | 5.31   |   |
| 426 | 48025288 | RR | 238,306   | 5.47   |   |
| 427 | 48025127 | RR | 328,587   | 7.54   |   |
| 428 | 48025292 | RR | 617,495   | 14.18  |   |
| 429 | 48025239 | RR | 421,440   | 9.68   | Х |
| 430 | 48025238 | RR | 488,097   | 11.21  |   |
| 431 | 48025291 | RR | 274,279   | 6.30   | Х |
| 432 | 48025277 | RR | 213,554   | 4.90   | Х |
| 433 | 48025129 | RA | 598,606   | 13.74  |   |
| 434 | 48025090 | U  | 217,714   | 5.00   |   |
| 435 | 48025089 | U  | 248,301   | 5.70   |   |
| 436 | 48025088 | RA | 236,899   | 5.44   |   |
| 437 | 48025197 | RA | 202,755   | 4.65   |   |
| 438 | 48025196 | U  | 205,937   | 4.73   |   |
| 439 | 48025092 | U  | 221,314   | 5.08   |   |
| 440 | 48025097 | U  | 215,886   | 4.96   |   |
| 441 | 48025080 | U  | 205,710   | 4.72   |   |
| 442 | 48025081 | U  | 183,968   | 4.22   |   |
| 443 | 48025270 | RA | 237,416   | 5.45   |   |
| 444 | 48025077 | U  | 243,608   | 5.59   |   |
| 445 | 48025076 | U  | 240,064   | 5.51   |   |
| 446 | 48025269 | RA | 214,763   | 4.93   |   |
| 447 | 48025070 | U  | 191,049   | 4.39   |   |
| 448 | 48025079 | U  | 209,930   | 4.82   |   |
| 449 | 48025096 | U  | 206,106   | 4.73   |   |
| 450 | 48025095 | U  | 223,676   | 5.13   |   |
| 451 | 48025195 | RA | 186,213   | 4.27   |   |
| 452 | 48025194 | RA | 203,091   | 4.66   |   |
| 453 | 48025038 | A1 | 5,243,276 | 120.37 |   |
| 454 | 48025041 | A1 | 3,460,267 | 79.44  |   |
| 455 | 48025039 | U  | 437,338   | 10.04  |   |
| 456 | 48025040 | U  | 455,612   | 10.46  |   |
| 457 | 48072004 | RA | 196,466   | 4.51   |   |
| 458 | 48072003 | RA | 226,729   | 5.21   |   |
| 459 | 48072006 | RA | 345,231   | 7.93   |   |
| 460 | 48072002 | RA | 220,365   | 5.06   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

|     |          |                  |         | 1                       |  |
|-----|----------|------------------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| 461 | 48072001 | RA               | 212,102 | 4.87                    |  |
| 462 | 48072008 | RA               | 233,158 | 5.35                    |  |
| 463 | 48085003 | RA               | 234,779 | 5.39                    |  |
| 464 | 48085004 | RA               | 212,824 | 4.89                    |  |
| 465 | 48081005 | RA               | 292,948 | 6.73                    |  |
| 466 | 48081008 | RA               | 202,964 | 4.66                    |  |
| 467 | 48081009 | RA               | 225,328 | 5.17                    |  |
| 468 | 48081002 | RA               | 300,191 | 6.89                    |  |
| 469 | 48085002 | RA               | 301,232 | 6.92                    |  |
| 470 | 48085001 | RA               | 209,507 | 4.81                    |  |
| 471 | 48081011 | RA               | 278,696 | 6.40                    |  |
| 472 | 48081010 | RA               | 221,258 | 5.08                    |  |
| 473 | 48081003 | RA               | 276,296 | 6.34                    |  |
| 474 | 48081002 | RA               | 300,191 | 6.89                    |  |
| 475 | 48025256 | RA               | 201,198 | 4.62                    |  |
| 476 | 48025164 | RA               | 202,432 | 4.65                    |  |
| 477 | 48025220 | RA               | 227,925 | 5.23                    |  |
| 478 | 48025221 | RA               | 223,788 | 5.14                    |  |
| 479 | 48025222 | RA               | 210,840 | 4.84                    |  |
| 480 | 48025219 | RA               | 206,883 | 4.75                    |  |
| 481 | 48025224 | RA               | 213,557 | 4.90                    |  |
| 482 | 48025225 | RA               | 219,674 | 5.04                    |  |
| 483 | 48025226 | RA               | 195,688 | 4.49                    |  |
| 484 | 48025223 | RA               | 216,712 | 4.98                    |  |
| 485 | 48025191 | RA               | 812,439 | 18.65                   |  |
| 486 | 48025257 | RA               | 212,093 | 4.87                    |  |
| 487 | 48025258 | RA               | 196,961 | 4.52                    |  |
| 488 | 48025259 | RA               | 203,580 | 4.67                    |  |
| 489 | 48025260 | RA               | 226,026 | 5.19                    |  |
| 490 | 48025263 | RA               | 243,118 | 5.58                    |  |
| 491 | 48025264 | RA               | 223,531 | 5.13                    |  |
| 492 | 48025265 | RA               | 255,457 | 5.86                    |  |
| 493 | 48025201 | RA               | 883,800 | 20.29                   |  |
| 494 | 48025268 | RA               | 386,726 | 8.88                    |  |
| 495 | 48025267 | RA               | 296,048 | 6.80                    |  |
| 496 | 48025266 | RA               | 232,596 | 5.34                    |  |
| 497 | 48025286 | RA               | 217.815 | 5.00                    |  |
| 498 | 48025285 | RA               | 398.587 | 9.15                    |  |
| 499 | 48025284 | RA               | 220.379 | 5.06                    |  |
| 500 | 48025283 | RA               | 219.839 | 5.05                    |  |
| 501 | 48017075 | RA               | 204.425 | 4.69                    |  |
| 502 | 48017085 | RA               | 207.976 | 4.77                    |  |
| 503 | 48017083 | RA               | 406.649 | 9.34                    |  |
| 504 | 48017074 | RA               | 472.739 | 10.85                   |  |
| 505 | 48017057 | A1               | 742.138 | 17.04                   |  |
| 506 | 48017037 | A1               | 205 001 | <u>ل</u> 1,131<br>ل 1 2 |  |
| 507 | 48017038 | Δ1               | 420 492 | 9.65                    |  |
| 507 |          | · · <del>·</del> | 120,752 | 5.05                    |  |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 508 | 48017039 | A1   | 399,335    | 9.17   |   |
|-----|----------|------|------------|--------|---|
| 509 | 48017023 | A1   | 362,172    | 8.31   |   |
| 510 | 48017079 | A1   | 356,510    | 8.18   |   |
| 511 | 48017080 | A1   | 75,475     | 1.73   |   |
| 512 | 48017105 | A1   | 431,549    | 9.91   |   |
| 513 | 48017110 | A1   | 2,004,167  | 46.01  |   |
| 514 | 48017045 | A1   | 395,146    | 9.07   |   |
| 515 | 48017046 | A1   | 373,324    | 8.57   |   |
| 516 | 48017048 | A1   | 68,316     | 1.57   |   |
| 517 | 48017111 | A1   | 902,612    | 20.72  |   |
| 518 | 48025145 | A1   | 879,757    | 20.20  |   |
| 519 | 48025146 | A1   | 792,599    | 18.20  |   |
| 520 | 48026016 | A1   | 1,557,888  | 35.76  |   |
| 521 | 48026002 | A1   | 47,784     | 1.10   |   |
| 522 | 48026004 | A1   | 115,667    | 2.66   |   |
| 523 | 48026013 | A1   | 337,000    | 7.74   |   |
| 524 | 48026010 | A1   | 400,706    | 9.20   |   |
| 525 | 48026017 | A1   | 68,885     | 1.58   |   |
| 526 | 48026006 | A1   | 40,370     | 0.93   |   |
| 527 | 48025261 | A1   | 2,421,572  | 55.59  |   |
| 528 | 48025262 | A1   | 4,192,130  | 96.24  |   |
| 529 | 48025234 | A1   | 1,888,969  | 43.37  |   |
| 530 | 48025148 | A1   | 1,675,279  | 38.46  |   |
| 531 | 48025137 | A1   | 808,792    | 18.57  |   |
| 532 | 48025251 | A1   | 1,707,498  | 39.20  |   |
| 533 | 48025249 | AP   | 11,043,707 | 253.53 |   |
| 534 | 48017094 | СОММ | 392,778    | 9.02   |   |
| 535 | 48017069 | COMM | 206,529    | 4.74   |   |
| 536 | 48016008 | COMM | 162,642    | 3.73   |   |
| 537 | 48016009 | MANU | 92,280     | 2.12   |   |
| 538 | 48016002 | COMM | 85,081     | 1.95   |   |
| 539 | 48016003 | COMM | 133,159    | 3.06   |   |
| 540 | 48016010 | RES  | 233,023    | 5.35   |   |
| 541 | 48017060 | RES  | 863,142    | 19.82  |   |
| 542 | 48017032 | RES  | 1,642,050  | 37.70  |   |
| 543 | 48011015 | СОММ | 237,092    | 5.44   |   |
| 544 | 48011034 | COMM | 788,279    | 18.10  |   |
| 545 | 48011033 | COMM | 443,879    | 10.19  |   |
| 546 | 48011032 | COMM | 88,960     | 2.04   |   |
| 547 | 48011025 | COMM | 221,700    | 5.09   |   |
| 548 | 48011026 | COMM | 221,404    | 5.08   |   |
| 549 | 48011013 | COMM | 281,520    | 6.46   |   |
| 550 | 48011014 | A1   | 217,105    | 4.98   |   |
| 551 | 48011021 | A1   | 1,477,706  | 33.92  | Х |
| 552 | 48011011 | A1   | 59,091     | 1.36   |   |
| 553 | 48011020 | A1   | 320,754    | 7.36   |   |
| 554 | 48012007 | A1   | 593,620    | 13.63  |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 555 | 48012001 | Δ1   | 86 756    | 1 99  |   |
|-----|----------|------|-----------|-------|---|
| 556 | 48012001 | A1   | 29 180    | 0.67  |   |
| 557 | 48012004 | A1   | 48,227    | 1.11  |   |
| 558 | 48011005 | A1   | 1.434.997 | 32.94 |   |
| 559 | 48011006 | A1   | 1.265.543 | 29.05 |   |
| 560 | 48025005 | A1   | 1.899.103 | 43.60 |   |
| 561 | 73042120 | A1   | 1.743.716 | 40.03 |   |
| 562 | 46001152 | A1   | 1.103.434 | 25.33 |   |
| 563 | 46001151 | A1   | 923.954   | 21.21 |   |
| 564 | 46004013 | A1   | 911,695   | 20.93 | Х |
| 565 | 48012002 | СОММ | 118,901   | 2.73  |   |
| 566 | 48011019 | RR   | 785,402   | 18.03 |   |
| 567 | 48011030 | RR   | 627,052   | 14.40 |   |
| 568 | 48011031 | RR   | 215,398   | 4.94  |   |
| 569 | 48011029 | RR   | 234,789   | 5.39  |   |
| 570 | 48011017 | RR   | 642,495   | 14.75 |   |
| 571 | 48011028 | RR   | 391,033   | 8.98  |   |
| 572 | 46004007 | RES  | 433,013   | 9.94  |   |
| 573 | 46003017 | RES  | 73,099    | 1.68  |   |
| 574 | 46004008 | RES  | 379,802   | 8.72  |   |
| 575 | 46004009 | RES  | 428,071   | 9.83  |   |
| 576 | 46004010 | RES  | 414,349   | 9.51  |   |
| 577 | 46030013 | RR   | 560,692   | 12.87 |   |
| 578 | 46030014 | RR   | 431,126   | 9.90  |   |
| 579 | 46031003 | RR   | 538,413   | 12.36 |   |
| 580 | 46031002 | RR   | 489,790   | 11.24 |   |
| 581 | 46031001 | RR   | 703,888   | 16.16 |   |
| 582 | 46031016 | RR   | 583,232   | 13.39 |   |
| 583 | 46031005 | RR   | 438,445   | 10.07 |   |
| 584 | 46030011 | RR   | 435,974   | 10.01 |   |
| 585 | 46031006 | RR   | 436,215   | 10.01 |   |
| 586 | 46030012 | RR   | 649,956   | 14.92 |   |
| 587 | 46032001 | RR   | 903,747   | 20.75 |   |
| 588 | 46031011 | RR   | 446,542   | 10.25 |   |
| 589 | 46031012 | RR   | 435,823   | 10.01 |   |
| 590 | 46031013 | RR   | 490,503   | 11.26 |   |
| 591 | 46031014 | RR   | 478,264   | 10.98 |   |
| 592 | 46033011 | RR   | 441,219   | 10.13 |   |
| 593 | 46033010 | RR   | 528,208   | 12.13 |   |
| 594 | 46033008 | RR   | 754,912   | 17.33 |   |
| 595 | 46033009 | RR   | 516,438   | 11.86 |   |
| 596 | 46033002 | RR   | 509,882   | 11.71 |   |
| 597 | 46033001 | RR   | 437,999   | 10.06 |   |
| 598 | 46031010 | RR   | 436,110   | 10.01 |   |
| 599 | 46031008 | RR   | 441,502   | 10.14 | Х |
| 600 | 46031007 | RR   | 471,372   | 10.82 |   |
| 601 | 73042038 | A1   | 3,566,499 | 81.88 |   |
Table 1. (Cont.)

| 602 | 46001123 | AP  | 499,345    | 11.46  |   |
|-----|----------|-----|------------|--------|---|
| 603 | 46001092 | AP  | 2,970,381  | 68.19  |   |
| 604 | 46001102 | A1  | 6,267,119  | 143.87 |   |
|     |          |     |            |        |   |
| 606 | 46001053 | A1  | 2,624,900  | 60.26  |   |
| 607 | 46001017 | A1  | 935,890    | 21.49  |   |
| 608 | 46001147 | A1  | 829,312    | 19.04  |   |
| 609 | 46001146 | A1  | 882,982    | 20.27  |   |
| 610 | 46001145 | A1  | 945,579    | 21.71  | Х |
| 611 | 46001144 | A1  | 667,793    | 15.33  |   |
| 612 | 46001014 | AP  | 15,346,171 | 352.30 |   |
| 613 | 46003014 | AP  | 1,184,520  | 27.19  |   |
| 614 | 46003001 | A1  | 1,214,997  | 27.89  |   |
| 615 | 46001016 | A1  | 1,710,423  | 39.27  |   |
| 616 | 48009005 | A1  | 145,871    | 3.35   |   |
| 617 | 48009023 | RR  | 1,337,455  | 30.70  |   |
| 618 | 48009025 | RR  | 1,771,229  | 40.66  |   |
| 619 | 48009024 | RR  | 1,895,177  | 43.51  |   |
| 620 | 48009009 | RR  | 303,721    | 6.97   |   |
| 621 | 48011010 | RR  | 432,084    | 9.92   |   |
|     | Camanche |     |            |        |   |
| 622 | Estates  | RR  | 3,530,574  | 81.05  |   |
| 623 | 48013004 | RES | 454,006    | 10.42  |   |
| 624 | 48009002 | A1  | 1,001,244  | 22.99  |   |
| 625 | 48009039 | A1  | 291,710    | 6.70   |   |
| 626 | 48009038 | A1  | 270,934    | 6.22   |   |
| 627 | 48009021 | A1  | 282,831    | 6.49   |   |
| 628 | 48009020 | A1  | 217,780    | 5.00   |   |
| 629 | 48009016 | A1  | 567,420    | 13.03  |   |
| 630 | 48009014 | A1  | 485,143    | 11.14  |   |
| 631 | 48014008 | RES | 317,717    | 7.29   |   |
| 632 | 48014002 | RES | 71,208     | 1.63   |   |
| 633 | 48014010 | RES | 400,080    | 9.18   |   |
| 634 | 48014009 | RES | 227,773    | 5.23   |   |
| 635 | 48014007 | RES | 532,299    | 12.22  |   |
| 636 | 48015016 | RES | 306,787    | 7.04   |   |
| 637 | 48017082 | RES | 338,178    | 7.76   |   |
| 638 | 48017043 | RES | 207,298    | 4.76   |   |
| 639 | 48017081 | RES | 369,631    | 8.49   |   |
| 640 | 48017007 | RES | 5,104,371  | 117.18 |   |
| 641 | 48017008 | A1  | 439,545    | 10.09  |   |
| 642 | 48017028 | A1  | 330,232    | 7.58   |   |
| 643 | 48017041 | A1  | 202,162    | 4.64   |   |
| 644 | 48017029 | A1  | 192,733    | 4.42   |   |
| 645 | 48017040 | A1  | 1,045,953  | 24.01  |   |
| 646 | 48003191 | A1  | 3,093,869  | 71.03  |   |
| 647 | 48017070 | A1  | 193,270    | 4.44   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 648 | 48017055 | RR | 457,733   | 10.51 |  |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|-------|--|
| 649 | 48017020 | RR | 1,081,766 | 24.83 |  |
| 650 | 48017005 | U  | 1,681,899 | 38.61 |  |
| 651 | 48053012 | RA | 316,250   | 7.26  |  |
| 652 | 48053008 | RA | 236,367   | 5.43  |  |
| 653 | 48053007 | RA | 236,753   | 5.44  |  |
| 654 | 48053009 | RA | 247,335   | 5.68  |  |
| 655 | 48053010 | RA | 338,970   | 7.78  |  |
| 656 | 48037041 | RA | 366,144   | 8.41  |  |
| 657 | 48037043 | RA | 225,838   | 5.18  |  |
| 658 | 48037044 | RA | 205,161   | 4.71  |  |
| 659 | 48039001 | RA | 1,727,280 | 39.65 |  |
| 660 | 48082001 | RA | 252,276   | 5.79  |  |
| 661 | 48082002 | RA | 224,749   | 5.16  |  |
| 662 | 48082003 | RA | 277,994   | 6.38  |  |
| 663 | 48082004 | RA | 262,612   | 6.03  |  |
| 664 | 48082005 | RA | 325,254   | 7.47  |  |
| 665 | 48082006 | RA | 195,403   | 4.49  |  |
| 666 | 48082007 | RA | 196,840   | 4.52  |  |

Total

13,720

| Area B |          |          |           |              |             |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| Parcel | APN      | Land Use | Area (SF) | Area (Acres) | Permit App. |
| 667    | 48003189 | AP       | 6,130,098 | 140.73       |             |
| 668    | 48003190 | MANU     | 121,091   | 2.78         |             |
| 669    | 48003017 | MANU     | 1,219,998 | 28.01        |             |
| 670    | 48037026 | A1       | 272,424   | 6.25         |             |
| 671    | 48037048 | A1       | 474,316   | 10.89        |             |
| 672    | 48037016 | A1       | 345,193   | 7.92         |             |
| 673    | 48053005 | RA       | 216,069   | 4.96         |             |
| 674    | 48053004 | RA       | 242,658   | 5.57         |             |
| 675    | 48053003 | RA       | 228,268   | 5.24         | Х           |
| 676    | 48053002 | RA       | 199,351   | 4.58         |             |
| 677    | 48053001 | RA       | 244,780   | 5.62         |             |
| 678    | 48037024 | RA       | 1,155,653 | 26.53        |             |
| 679    | 48037040 | RA       | 421,149   | 9.67         |             |
| 680    | 48037039 | RA       | 430,963   | 9.89         |             |
| 681    | 48037038 | RA       | 276,526   | 6.35         | Х           |
| 682    | 48037037 | RA       | 221,380   | 5.08         |             |
| 683    | 48037046 | RA       | 217,191   | 4.99         |             |
| 684    | 48037045 | RA       | 380,514   | 8.74         |             |
| 685    | 48037014 | A1       | 488,960   | 11.23        |             |
| 686    | 48037015 | A1       | 491,030   | 11.27        |             |
| 687    | 48037001 | A1       | 478,096   | 10.98        |             |
| 688    | 48037036 | A1       | 230,489   | 5.29         |             |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 689 | 48037035 | A1 | 235,371   | 5.40  |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|-------|---|
| 690 | 48037034 | A1 | 552,810   | 12.69 |   |
| 691 | 48037032 | A1 | 305,953   | 7.02  |   |
| 692 | 48037031 | A1 | 323,888   | 7.44  |   |
| 693 | 48037013 | A1 | 476,664   | 10.94 |   |
| 694 | 48037005 | A1 | 463,878   | 10.65 |   |
| 695 | 48037012 | A1 | 517,707   | 11.89 |   |
| 696 | 48037006 | A1 | 491,084   | 11.27 |   |
| 697 | 48037011 | A1 | 491,243   | 11.28 |   |
| 698 | 48037018 | A1 | 901,929   | 20.71 |   |
| 699 | 48037020 | A1 | 633,774   | 14.55 |   |
| 700 | 48037021 | A1 | 796,391   | 18.28 |   |
| 701 | 48037019 | A1 | 1,097,168 | 25.19 |   |
| 702 | 48034012 | A1 | 679,126   | 15.59 |   |
| 703 | 48034010 | A1 | 532,540   | 12.23 |   |
| 704 | 48034011 | A1 | 242,854   | 5.58  |   |
| 705 | 48034009 | A1 | 242,047   | 5.56  |   |
| 706 | 48039015 | A1 | 900,841   | 20.68 |   |
| 707 | 48039016 | A1 | 906,533   | 20.81 |   |
| 708 | 48039013 | A1 | 1,830,552 | 42.02 |   |
| 709 | 48039010 | A1 | 441,907   | 10.14 | Х |
| 710 | 48039003 | A1 | 443,021   | 10.17 |   |
| 711 | 48034004 | A1 | 430,736   | 9.89  |   |
| 712 | 48039004 | A1 | 463,244   | 10.63 |   |
| 713 | 48039009 | A1 | 417,401   | 9.58  |   |
| 714 | 48039005 | A1 | 446,169   | 10.24 | Х |
| 715 | 48039008 | A1 | 435,018   | 9.99  |   |
| 716 | 48039006 | A1 | 400,788   | 9.20  | Х |
| 717 | 48039007 | A1 | 386,982   | 8.88  |   |
| 718 | 48039011 | A1 | 1,582,041 | 36.32 |   |
| 719 | 48009004 | A1 | 1,553,414 | 35.66 |   |
| 720 | 48039020 | RA | 846,383   | 19.43 |   |
| 721 | 48039019 | RA | 892,468   | 20.49 |   |
| 722 | 48034029 | RA | 212,740   | 4.88  |   |
| 723 | 48034028 | RA | 216,235   | 4.96  |   |
| 724 | 48034001 | RA | 433,630   | 9.95  |   |
| 725 | 48034033 | RA | 253,626   | 5.82  |   |
| 726 | 48034032 | RA | 251,091   | 5.76  |   |
| 727 | 48034005 | RA | 435,429   | 10.00 |   |
| 728 | 48034007 | RA | 473,306   | 10.87 |   |
| 729 | 48034008 | RA | 260,487   | 5.98  |   |
| 730 | 48034014 | RR | 426,434   | 9.79  |   |
| 731 | 48034016 | RR | 418,291   | 9.60  |   |
| 732 | 48034017 | RR | 395,810   | 9.09  |   |
| 733 | 48034015 | RR | 422,477   | 9.70  |   |
| 734 | 48040020 | RR | 402,400   | 9.24  |   |
| 735 | 48040019 | RR | 418,425   | 9.61  |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 736 | 48040021 | RR | 1,520,650 | 34.91                |   |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|----------------------|---|
| 737 | 48040018 | RR | 406,145   | 9.32                 |   |
| 738 | 48040017 | RR | 402,219   | 9.23                 |   |
| 739 | 48034018 | A1 | 441,513   | 10.14                |   |
| 740 | 48040014 | A1 | 265,827   | 6.10                 |   |
| 741 | 48040029 | RR | 234,311   | 5.38                 |   |
| 742 | 48040028 | RR | 218,069   | 5.01                 |   |
| 743 | 48040027 | RR | 428,320   | 9.83                 |   |
| 744 | 48040024 | A1 | 431,530   | 9.91                 |   |
| 745 | 48040030 | RA | 348,512   | 8.00                 |   |
| 746 | 48040031 | RA | 270,001   | 6.20                 |   |
| 747 | 48040022 | A1 | 600,179   | 13.78                |   |
| 748 | 48040003 | A1 | 272,001   | 6.24                 |   |
| 749 | 48040002 | A1 | 335,937   | 7.71                 |   |
| 750 | 48040004 | A1 | 406,577   | 9.33                 |   |
| 751 | 48040005 | A1 | 316,041   | 7.26                 |   |
| 752 | 48040001 | A1 | 633,812   | 14.55                |   |
| 753 | 48038012 | A1 | 872,322   | 20.03                |   |
| 754 | 48038013 | A1 | 200,439   | 4.60                 |   |
| 755 | 48038014 | A1 | 376,638   | 8.65                 |   |
| 756 | 48038041 | A1 | 420,482   | 9.65                 |   |
| 757 | 48038017 | A1 | 437,079   | 10.03                |   |
| 758 | 48038018 | A1 | 374,911   | 8.61                 |   |
| 759 | 48038019 | A1 | 438,451   | 10.07                |   |
| 760 | 48037027 | A1 | 483.947   | 11.11                |   |
| 761 | 48034021 | A1 | 428.583   | 9.84                 |   |
| 762 | 48034022 | A1 | 444.243   | 10.20                |   |
| 763 | 48034031 | A1 | 402.934   | 9.25                 |   |
| 764 | 48034030 | A1 | 439.957   | 10.10                |   |
| 765 | 48037030 | A1 | 467,996   | 10.74                |   |
| 766 | 48037029 | A1 | 430.736   | 9.89                 |   |
| 767 | 48037028 | A1 | 457.000   | 10.49                |   |
| 768 | 48037010 | A1 | 435.481   | 10.00                |   |
| 769 | 48037008 | A1 | 436.008   | 10.01                |   |
| 770 | 48037007 | A1 | 477.284   | 10.96                | Х |
| 771 | 48038039 | A1 | 413.716   | 9.50                 |   |
| 772 | 48038038 | A1 | 426.894   | 9.80                 |   |
| 773 | 48038036 | A1 | 449.466   | 10.32                |   |
| 774 | 48038037 | A1 | 448.851   | 10.30                |   |
| 775 | 48038015 | A1 | 573,480   | 13.17                |   |
| 776 | 48038011 | A1 | 474 985   | 10.90                |   |
| 777 | 48038042 | A1 | 254 017   | 5 83                 |   |
| 778 | 48038042 | Δ1 | 254,017   | 5.05                 |   |
| 779 | 48038008 | Δ1 | 200,275   | <u> </u>             |   |
| 780 | 48038021 | RR | 200,791   | ۹.75<br>۶ <u>۸</u> ۶ |   |
| 781 | 18038021 | RR | 651 /16   | 1/ 05                |   |
| 701 | 48038020 | DD | 106 504   | 14.33                |   |
| /02 | 40030023 | ΠΛ | 190,504   | 4.51                 |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 783 | 48038024 | RR  | 352,108   | 8.08  |   |
|-----|----------|-----|-----------|-------|---|
| 784 | 48038022 | RR  | 185,951   | 4.27  |   |
| 785 | 48038023 | RR  | 259,992   | 5.97  |   |
| 786 | 48038007 | RR  | 305,440   | 7.01  |   |
| 787 | 48038006 | RR  | 240,387   | 5.52  |   |
| 788 | 48032012 | RR  | 122,789   | 2.82  |   |
| 789 | 48032011 | RR  | 169,394   | 3.89  |   |
| 790 | 48038029 | RR  | 338,235   | 7.76  |   |
| 791 | 48038028 | RR  | 317,005   | 7.28  |   |
| 792 | 48038027 | RR  | 300,813   | 6.91  |   |
| 793 | 48038030 | RR  | 194,775   | 4.47  |   |
| 794 | 48032010 | RR  | 76,721    | 1.76  |   |
| 795 | 48032009 | RR  | 69,663    | 1.60  |   |
| 796 | 48032008 | RR  | 82,529    | 1.89  | Х |
| 797 | 48032007 | RR  | 99,199    | 2.28  |   |
| 798 | 48032006 | RR  | 81,267    | 1.87  |   |
| 799 | 48032005 | RR  | 71,268    | 1.64  |   |
| 800 | 48032004 | RR  | 95,199    | 2.19  |   |
| 801 | 48032002 | RR  | 64,276    | 1.48  |   |
| 802 | 48032001 | RR  | 86,319    | 1.98  |   |
| 803 | 48033001 | RR  | 100,183   | 2.30  |   |
| 804 | 48033002 | RR  | 79,087    | 1.82  |   |
| 805 | 48033003 | RR  | 85,691    | 1.97  |   |
| 806 | 48033004 | RR  | 171,311   | 3.93  |   |
| 807 | 48033005 | RR  | 229,658   | 5.27  |   |
| 808 | 48032003 | RR  | 98,049    | 2.25  |   |
| 809 | 48033011 | RR  | 92,190    | 2.12  |   |
| 810 | 48033010 | RR  | 96,685    | 2.22  |   |
| 811 | 48033009 | RR  | 95,918    | 2.20  |   |
| 812 | 48033008 | RR  | 79,501    | 1.83  |   |
| 813 | 48033007 | RR  | 93,576    | 2.15  |   |
| 814 | 48033006 | RR  | 68,042    | 1.56  |   |
| 815 | 48038035 | RR  | 1,972,257 | 45.28 |   |
| 816 | 48038046 | REC | 281,495   | 6.46  |   |
| 817 | 48038047 | REC | 239,294   | 5.49  |   |
| 818 | 48038031 | REC | 223,356   | 5.13  |   |
| 819 | 48038045 | RR  | 157,244   | 3.61  |   |
| 820 | 48038044 | RR  | 299,617   | 6.88  |   |
| 821 | 48038005 | A1  | 419,586   | 9.63  |   |
| 822 | 48038004 | A1  | 90,955    | 2.09  | X |
| 823 | 48038003 | A1  | 485,452   | 11.14 |   |
| 824 | 48038002 | A1  | 172,166   | 3.95  | X |
| 825 | 48038001 | A1  | 280,831   | 6.45  | X |
| 826 | 48036006 | RR  | 316,222   | 7.26  |   |
| 827 | 48036008 | RR  | 395,483   | 9.08  |   |
| 828 | 48036005 | A1  | 273,972   | 6.29  |   |
| 829 | 48036004 | A1  | 142,551   | 3.27  |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 830 | 48036003 | A1   | 130,667   | 3.00   |   |
|-----|----------|------|-----------|--------|---|
| 831 | 48036007 | A1   | 186,366   | 4.28   |   |
| 832 | 48036001 | A1   | 1,637,182 | 37.58  |   |
| 833 | 48041001 | A1   | 388,191   | 8.91   |   |
| 834 | 48041016 | A1   | 191,529   | 4.40   |   |
| 835 | 48041017 | A1   | 318,194   | 7.30   |   |
| 836 | 48041007 | A1   | 372,742   | 8.56   |   |
| 837 | 48041015 | A1   | 366,857   | 8.42   |   |
| 838 | 48041002 | A1   | 451,309   | 10.36  |   |
| 839 | 48041004 | A1   | 451,698   | 10.37  |   |
| 840 | 48041008 | A1   | 410,306   | 9.42   |   |
| 841 | 48041011 | A1   | 471,032   | 10.81  |   |
| 842 | 48040009 | A1   | 244,599   | 5.62   |   |
| 843 | 48040010 | A1   | 225,828   | 5.18   |   |
| 844 | 48040011 | A1   | 246,039   | 5.65   |   |
| 845 | 48040012 | A1   | 682,634   | 15.67  |   |
| 846 | 48040013 | A1   | 854,348   | 19.61  |   |
| 847 | 48034025 | A1   | 202,401   | 4.65   |   |
| 848 | 48034026 | A1   | 219,764   | 5.05   |   |
| 849 | 48034027 | A1   | 246,378   | 5.66   |   |
| 850 | 48034034 | RR   | 274,997   | 6.31   |   |
| 851 | 48034035 | RR   | 211,345   | 4.85   |   |
| 852 | 46002023 | RR   | 307,845   | 7.07   |   |
| 853 | 46002024 | RR   | 218,052   | 5.01   |   |
| 854 | 46002020 | RR   | 304,385   | 6.99   |   |
| 855 | 46002021 | RR   | 330,504   | 7.59   |   |
| 856 | 46002022 | RR   | 330,478   | 7.59   |   |
| 857 | 46002029 | RR   | 531,978   | 12.21  |   |
| 858 | 48034002 | A1   | 448,080   | 10.29  |   |
| 859 | 46002025 | RR   | 345,949   | 7.94   |   |
| 860 | 46002028 | RR   | 407,323   | 9.35   |   |
| 861 | 46002030 | RR   | 369,988   | 8.49   |   |
| 862 | 46002037 | RR   | 519,823   | 11.93  |   |
| 863 | 46002026 | RR   | 454,918   | 10.44  |   |
| 864 | 46002027 | RR   | 432,019   | 9.92   |   |
| 865 | 46002038 | RR   | 863,385   | 19.82  |   |
| 866 | 46001015 | MANU | 7,088,052 | 162.72 | X |
| 867 | 46002009 | A1   | 468,209   | 10.75  |   |
| 868 | 46002036 | A1   | 1,206,141 | 27.69  |   |
| 869 | 46001054 | A1   | 2,209,494 | 50.72  |   |
| 870 | 46001001 | A1   | 426,336   | 9.79   |   |
| 871 | 46002007 | A1   | 1,537,096 | 35.29  |   |
| 872 | 46002016 | A1   | 282,126   | 6.48   |   |
| 873 | 46002015 | A1   | 650,393   | 14.93  |   |
| 874 | 46002033 | A1   | 683,148   | 15.68  |   |
| 875 | 46002035 | RA   | 217,330   | 4.99   |   |
| 876 | 46002034 | RA   | 238,695   | 5.48   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 877 | 48007006 | ۸1       | 37/ 038   | 8.61   |   |
|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|---|
| 878 | 48007000 | A1<br>A1 | 1 671 915 | 38 38  |   |
| 879 | 48002089 | A1       | 2,591,300 | 59,49  |   |
| 880 | 48040015 | A1       | 2,716,198 | 62.36  |   |
| 881 | 48040026 | A1       | 382,777   | 8.79   |   |
| 882 | 48040025 | A1       | 353.545   | 8.12   |   |
| 883 | 48002036 | A1       | 3.138.019 | 72.04  |   |
| 884 | 48002078 | A1       | 1.353.281 | 31.07  |   |
| 885 | 48002091 | A1       | 1.709.753 | 39.25  |   |
| 886 | 48041003 | A1       | 1,683,101 | 38.64  | X |
| 887 | 48041009 | A1       | 800,610   | 18.38  |   |
| 888 | 48041010 | RR       | 894.495   | 20.53  |   |
| 889 | 48002092 | A1       | 4.764.597 | 109.38 |   |
| 890 | 48002079 | RA       | 187,215   | 4.30   |   |
| 891 | 48002080 | RA       | 922,733   | 21.18  |   |
| 892 | 48002070 | RA       | 809,720   | 18.59  |   |
| 893 | 48002064 | A1       | 3,044,706 | 69.90  |   |
| 894 | 48007004 | A1       | 994,175   | 22.82  |   |
| 895 | 48007002 | A1       | 78,624    | 1.80   |   |
| 896 | 48007001 | A1       | 45,113    | 1.04   |   |
| 897 | 48007003 | A1       | 40,209    | 0.92   |   |
| 898 | 48002058 | RR       | 1,081,699 | 24.83  |   |
| 899 | 48002059 | RR       | 602,233   | 13.83  |   |
| 900 | 48030018 | RR       | 166,064   | 3.81   |   |
| 901 | 48030014 | RR       | 221,337   | 5.08   |   |
| 902 | 48030013 | RR       | 208,266   | 4.78   |   |
| 903 | 48030012 | RR       | 201,563   | 4.63   |   |
| 904 | 48030011 | RR       | 224,195   | 5.15   |   |
| 905 | 48030010 | RR       | 259,391   | 5.95   |   |
| 906 | 48030009 | RR       | 175,933   | 4.04   |   |
| 907 | 48030008 | RR       | 254,945   | 5.85   |   |
| 908 | 48031005 | RR       | 631,311   | 14.49  | Х |
| 909 | 48031004 | RR       | 1,000,689 | 22.97  |   |
| 910 | 48030001 | RR       | 221,309   | 5.08   |   |
| 911 | 48030002 | RR       | 224,767   | 5.16   |   |
| 912 | 48030003 | RR       | 209,335   | 4.81   |   |
| 913 | 48030004 | RR       | 147,838   | 3.39   | Х |
| 914 | 48030005 | RR       | 278,784   | 6.40   |   |
| 915 | 48030006 | RR       | 260,197   | 5.97   |   |
| 916 | 48030007 | RR       | 228,699   | 5.25   |   |
| 917 | 48031001 | RR       | 416,346   | 9.56   |   |
| 918 | 48031002 | RR       | 631,762   | 14.50  |   |
| 919 | 48031007 | RR       | 511,234   | 11.74  |   |
| 920 | 48031009 | RR       | 125,583   | 2.88   |   |
| 921 | 46001136 | A1       | 1,415,890 | 32.50  |   |
| 922 | 46001124 | A1       | 5,992,836 | 137.58 |   |
| 923 | 46001055 | A1       | 344,120   | 7.90   |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 924 | 46001127 | A1   | 4,144,221 | 95.14  |   |
|-----|----------|------|-----------|--------|---|
| 925 | 46001013 | A1   | 3,150,881 | 72.33  |   |
| 926 | 46001128 | A1   | 3,211,890 | 73.74  |   |
| 927 | 46001012 | A1   | 7,667,690 | 176.03 |   |
| 928 | 46001126 | A1   | 2,285,153 | 52.46  |   |
| 929 | 46001003 | MANU | 3,693,932 | 84.80  |   |
| 930 | 48002073 | A1   | 1,698,108 | 38.98  |   |
| 931 | 48002072 | RES  | 3,867,971 | 88.80  |   |
| 932 | 48002028 | A1   | 250,719   | 5.76   |   |
| 933 | 48002026 | A1   | 2,116,187 | 48.58  | Х |
| 934 | 48002027 | A1   | 1,014,178 | 23.28  |   |
| 935 | 48002068 | MANU | 5,734,759 | 131.65 |   |
| 936 | 48002071 | A1   | 8,619,472 | 197.88 |   |
| 937 | 48002048 | A1   | 1,832,421 | 42.07  |   |
| 938 | 48002032 | A1   | 5,506,788 | 126.42 |   |
| 939 | 48002015 | A1   | 8,791,684 | 201.83 |   |
| 940 | 48002017 | U    | 85,256    | 1.96   |   |
| 941 | 48006002 | U    | 1,653,594 | 37.96  |   |
| 942 | 48006003 | U    | 836,234   | 19.20  |   |
| 943 | 48006013 | U    | 172,527   | 3.96   |   |
| 944 | 48006005 | U    | 63,001    | 1.45   |   |
| 945 | 48006006 | U    | 147,128   | 3.38   |   |
| 946 | 48006007 | U    | 74,517    | 1.71   |   |
| 947 | 48006008 | U    | 163,340   | 3.75   |   |
| 948 | 48006009 | U    | 258,930   | 5.94   |   |
| 949 | 48004039 | U    | 56,190    | 1.29   |   |
| 950 | 48004031 | U    | 81,966    | 1.88   |   |
| 951 | 48004019 | U    | 131,944   | 3.03   |   |
| 952 | 48004001 | U    | 428,953   | 9.85   |   |
| 953 | 48004005 | U    | 396,334   | 9.10   |   |
| 954 | 48004042 | U    | 296,811   | 6.81   |   |
| 955 | 48004044 | U    | 267,833   | 6.15   |   |
| 956 | 48004043 | U    | 216,914   | 4.98   |   |
| 957 | 48004007 | U    | 449,934   | 10.33  |   |
| 958 | 48004008 | U    | 201,172   | 4.62   |   |
| 959 | 48006010 | U    | 74,625    | 1.71   |   |
| 960 | 48004034 | U    | 147,149   | 3.38   |   |
| 961 | 48004014 | U    | 39,901    | 0.92   |   |
| 962 | 48004030 | U    | 42,984    | 0.99   |   |
| 963 | 48004004 | U    | 65,983    | 1.51   |   |
| 964 | 48004024 | U    | 85,647    | 1.97   |   |
| 965 | 48002016 | AP   | 1,795,654 | 41.22  |   |
| 966 | 48002041 | AP   | 4,013,006 | 92.13  |   |
| 967 | 48002013 | AP   | 1,794,548 | 41.20  |   |
| 968 | 48002011 | AP   | 185,188   | 4.25   |   |
| 969 | 48002042 | A1   | 235,824   | 5.41   |   |
| 970 | 48002007 | A1   | 1,836,069 | 42.15  |   |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| 971 | 48002006 | A1 | 2,950,846 | 67.74  |  |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|--------|--|
| 972 | 48002005 | A1 | 1,473,180 | 33.82  |  |
| 973 | 48002003 | A1 | 184,824   | 4.24   |  |
| 974 | 48002009 | A1 | 2,029,881 | 46.60  |  |
| 975 | 48002002 | A1 | 6,066,502 | 139.27 |  |
| 976 | 48002001 | A1 | 94,133    | 2.16   |  |
| 977 | 16031001 | A1 | 602,425   | 13.83  |  |
| 978 | 16031007 | A1 | 865,730   | 19.87  |  |
| 979 | 48002045 | A1 | 519,953   | 11.94  |  |
| 980 | 16031006 | A1 | 2,358,491 | 54.14  |  |
| 981 | 48002004 | A1 | 3,379,658 | 77.59  |  |
| 982 | 48002046 | A1 | 1,508,344 | 34.63  |  |
| 983 | 48002047 | A1 | 1,688,926 | 38.77  |  |
| 984 | 16031003 | A1 | 935,804   | 21.48  |  |
| 985 | 16031004 | A1 | 2,282,201 | 52.39  |  |
| 986 | 16031008 | A1 | 5,604,363 | 128.66 |  |
| 987 | 16031005 | A1 | 1,599,292 | 36.71  |  |
|     |          |    |           |        |  |

## ATTACHMENT B

KASL Technical Memorandum: Potential Demand for Mokelumne River Water Supplies Along Proposed Route of Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline



### CALAVERAS COUNTY MOKELUMNE RIVER LONG TERM WATER NEEDS STUDY

# TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM: POTENTIAL DEMAND FOR MOKELUMNE RIVER WATER SUPPLIES ALONG PROPOSED ROUTE OF MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE

### INTRODUCTION

The following Technical Memorandum (TM) is prepared as an element of the Mokelumne River Long Term Water Needs Study being conducted for the Calaveras County Water District (CCWD) and the Calaveras Public Utility District (CPUD). The purpose of this TM is to assess long term water needs from the Middle Fork of the Mokelumne River, specifically associated with potential agricultural and domestic demands from a future planned Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline. The format of this TM follows the format of a similar TM prepared for CCWD and CPUD to estimate long term water needs for Mokelumne River Water in western Calaveras County.

### MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE

In 1988, in 2001 and, again, in 2014, the CPUD evaluated the feasibility of piping water from their storage facility at Schaads Reservoir, located on the Middle Fork of the Mokelumne River, ("Middle Fork") to Jeff Davis Reservoir, now served by CPUD's South Fork Mokelumne River Pump Station and an existing 20 inch diameter pump discharge pipeline. Jeff Davis Reservoir is CPUD's supply for the Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant. Treated water from this facility is delivered to CPUD customers in San Andreas, Railroad Flat and Mokelumne Hill and to other Calaveras County locations. The Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline proposal is particularly attractive because it has been determined, through previous feasibility studies, that Middle Fork water can be transferred, via a gravity pipeline, to the Jeff Davis Reservoir beginning at Schaads Reservoir with connection either to the existing penstock exposed near the existing Schaads Hydroelectric Plant or the existing reservoir drain pipe. Connection to the existing penstock would reduce the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline length by approximately 525 feet and avoid construction along the toe of the Schaads embankment. Beginning at Schaads Reservoir, the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline would be placed west along the existing unpaved road used by CPUD to access Schaads Reservoir, then across Schaads Road and then continue west approximately 1000 feet through a private campground area and along the south side of the Middle Fork until intercepting an existing Middle Fork Ditch diversion structure, then continuing west, a distance of approximately 16,000 feet along the historic Middle Fork Ditch, then, along unpaved and paved roadways, including Jewel Court, Blue Mountain Road, Noble Road and Railroad Flat Road and then within CPUD's access road and easement to the District's South Fork Pump Station. From this location, there would be sufficient hydraulic head to deliver Middle Fork Water to Jeff Davis Reservoir without pumping using the existing 20 inch diameter South Fork pump discharge pipeline. During months when there is sufficient supplies available from the Middle Fork, the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Project would not only eliminate pumping costs at the South Fork Pump Station but could also deliver sufficient flow and head to operate a 1 megawatt (MW) hydroelectric facility. There is approximately 700 feet of head available between Schaads operating levels and



the floor of a future hydroelectric plant which would be constructed adjacent to the South Fork Pump Station. In the most recent (2014) Feasibility Study, a 30 inch diameter Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline is recommended capable of delivering, by gravity, 25 cubic feet per second (cfs) of Middle Fork water. The most recent Feasibility Study is based on providing up to 5 cfs of supply to Jeff Davis Reservoir with the remaining 20 cfs delivered through a proposed 1 MW hydroelectric facility on the South Fork.

Several Middle Fork Ditch pipeline alignments have been evaluated. The currently recommended alignment is presented in **Figure 1.0** (overview) followed by more detailed alignments shown in Figures **1.1 through 1.5.** A hydraulic profile of the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline along the route proposed in Figures 1.1 through 1.5 is presented in **Figure 2**. Typical pipeline sections along the Middle Fork Ditch and along existing unpaved and paved roadways in the Project area are presented in **Figure 3**.

# EXISTING CONDITIONS, LAND USES AND POTENTIAL WATER DEMANDS FROM THE MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE

### Schaads Reservoir and Middle Fork Mokelumne River Flows

Schaads Reservoir contains 1800 acre-feet of storage at a maximum pool elevation of 2907. Upstream of Schaads the contributing Middle Fork Mokelumne River watershed encompasses some 18,200 acres. Only limited local stream flow date is available immediately upstream or downstream of Schaads. The closest USGS Gauging Station is located approximately 7 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> miles downstream, near West Point, at the State Highway 26 crossing of the Middle Fork (USGS Station 1131700). In **Table 1** is presented the mean of monthly flows recorded at this gauging stations for the period of 1912-2016. Also presented are maximum and minimum Middle Fork flows as measured at the West Point Gauging Station.

|           | MIDDLE FORR MORELOMME RIVER STREAM FLOW MEASUREMENTS. |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Month     | Mean Monthly<br>Stream Flow<br>Measurements<br>(cfs)  | Mean of Maximum<br>Monthly Stream Flow<br>Measurements<br>(cfs) | Mean of Minimum<br>Monthly Stream Flow<br>Measurements<br>(cfs) |  |  |  |  |
| October   | 11  | 37.5  | 0.86  |  |  |  |  |
| November  | 21  | 223   | 2.64  |  |  |  |  |
| December  | 50  | 389   | 3.33  |  |  |  |  |
| January   | 89  | 680   | 4.75  |  |  |  |  |
| February  | 119   | 768   | 5.70  |  |  |  |  |
| March     | 141   | 653   | 9.06  |  |  |  |  |
| April     | 149   | 765   | 6.47  |  |  |  |  |
| May       | 107   | 372   | 4.17  |  |  |  |  |
| June      | 43  | 181   | 0.95  |  |  |  |  |
| July      | 16  | 71.8  | 0.22  |  |  |  |  |
| August    | 9.3   | 40.8  | 0.07  |  |  |  |  |
| September | 7.6   | 31.1  | 0.15  |  |  |  |  |

 TABLE 1

 MIDDLE FORK MOKELUMNE RIVER STREAM FLOW MEASUREMENTS<sup>(1)</sup>

(1) Data from USGS, 1131 700 Middle Fork Mokelumne River Gauging Station, State Highway 26, 1912-2016.

















FIGURE 2



DAFL: 5.1.2517-01 Wast Point, Mokelumne River/Study B - Mokelumne River Demonds/Study & TechnicalMernos for MFD Pipeline-IM/3.0 TRENCH SEC.4gn DAFL: 5.1.2517-01



Gauging Station 1131700 measures Middle Fork flows from a contributing basin area of approximately 43,800 acres. Since inflow to Schaads is the results of runoff from approximately 18,200 acres, it is reasonable to expect that mean, wet year and dry year inflow rates at Schaads would be approximately 18,200 / 43,800 or approximately 41.6% of the mean, maximum and minimum flows measured at the State Highway 26 USGS gauging station. Using the ratio of contributing watershed areas, estimated mean, maximum and minimum inflow at Schaads is presented in **Table 2**.

### TABLE 2

| Month     | Projected Mean<br>Monthly Stream<br>Flows <sup>(1)</sup><br>cfs | Projected Mean of<br>Maximum Year Monthly<br>Stream Flows <sup>(1)</sup><br>cfs | Mean of Minimum<br>Year Monthly<br>Stream Flows <sup>(1)</sup><br>cfs | Average Inflow<br>Measurements<br>1967-1978 <sup>(2)</sup><br>cfs |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| October   | 4.6   | 15.6  | 0.4   | 5.3   |
| November  | 8.7   | 92.7  | 1.1   | 10.6  |
| December  | 20.8  | 161.7   | 1.4   | 13.0  |
| January   | 37.0  | 282.7   | 2.0   | 25.3  |
| February  | 49.5  | 319.3   | 2.4   | 42.3  |
| March     | 58.6  | 271.5   | 3.8   | 70.1  |
| April     | 62.0  | 318.1   | 2.7   | 84.8  |
| May       | 44.5  | 154.7   | 1.7   | 45.7  |
| June      | 17.9  | 75.3  | 0.4   | 29.1  |
| July      | 6.7   | 29.9  | 0.1   | 12.1  |
| August    | 3.9   | 17.0  | .03   | 8.5   |
| September | 3.2   | 12.9  | 0.1   | 6.9   |

### ESTIMATED MIDDLE FORK MOKELUMNE RIVER INFLOW AT SCHAADS

(1) Schaads inflows prorated at <u>18,200 Ac</u> = 41.58% of Watershed

43,776 Ac

(2) Measurements by EBMUD, compare to Mean Monthly Stream Flows, Column 1

During the period between 1967 – 1978, the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) monitored Middle Fork inflows at Schaads. In Table 2 is presented average monthly flows measured by EBMUD for this period. These flows are comparable to the mean monthly inflows estimated for Schaads based on the West Point Gauging Station data and the ratio of contributing watersheds. As shown in Table 2, a good comparison of mean monthly stream inflows is achieved. During the period of 1967-1978, rainfall was at, or above, average for the first 9 years and then below average in 1975 through 1978.

ECORP Consulting has conducted detailed modeling of Middle Fork Mokelumne River inflows and outflows at Schaads Reservoir using a hydrology data set from 1934 to 2016. Results of this modeling are presented in **Table 3**: estimated mean, maximum and minimum inflows at Schaads Reservoir and in **Table 4**; estimated mean, maximum and minimum outflows at Schaads Reservoir.



### TABLE 3

## ESTIMATED MIDDLE FORK MOKELUMNE RIVER INFLOW AT SCHAADS

| Month     | Modeled Mean<br>Monthly Stream<br>Flows<br>cfs | Modeled Mean of<br>Maximum Year Monthly<br>Stream Flows<br>cfs | Modeled Mean of<br>Minimum Year<br>Monthly Stream<br>Flows<br>cfs | Average Inflow<br>Measurements<br>1967-1978<br>cfs |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| October   | 5.3  | 16.1   | 0.7   | 5.3  |
| November  | 11.2   | 102.5  | 2.4   | 10.6   |
| December  | 26.8   | 187.2  | 2.9   | 13.0   |
| January   | 44.7   | 329.2  | 3.2   | 25.3   |
| February  | 58.1   | 328.9  | 3.2   | 42.3   |
| March     | 68.9   | 282.9  | 6.2   | 70.1   |
| April     | 70.5   | 325.7  | 4.0   | 84.8   |
| May       | 47.6   | 174.2  | 4.4   | 45.7   |
| June      | 18.9   | 74.0   | 2.1   | 29.1   |
| July      | 8.6  | 25.1   | 0.4   | 12.1   |
| August    | 5.0  | 14.2   | 0.0   | 8.5  |
| September | 4.1  | 11.3   | 0.0   | 6.9  |

## TABLE 4 MODELED MIDDLE FORK MOKELUMNE RIVER OUTFLOW AT SCHAADS

| Month     | Modeled Mean Monthly<br>Stream Flows<br>cfs | Modeled Mean of<br>Maximum Year Monthly<br>Stream Flows<br>cfs | Modeled Mean of<br>Minimum Year Monthly<br>Stream Flows<br>cfs |
|-----------|---|--|--|
| October   | 4.9   | 12.5   | 3.0  |
| November  | 9.8   | 100.6  | 3.0  |
| December  | 25.0  | 182.4  | 3.0  |
| January   | 43.4  | 330.4  | 3.0  |
| February  | 57.0  | 327.7  | 3.0  |
| March     | 67.9  | 283.5  | 5.9  |
| April     | 70.7  | 324.7  | 4.2  |
| May       | 48.9  | 176.3  | 4.8  |
| June      | 19.9  | 74.8   | 3.0  |
| July      | 8.8   | 27.5   | 3.0  |
| August    | 5.5   | 14.5   | 3.0  |
| September | 4.5   | 10.4   | 3.0  |



The modeled mean inflow results presented in Table 3 compare favorably with the mean monthly inflows estimated, by KASL, in Table 2 and the average inflow measurements conducted by EBMUD for the period 1967-1978.

The modeled outflows for Schaads takes into account flows through the existing Schaads Hydroelectric Facility, flows discharged over the Schaads spillway and flows through the Schaads toe drain. The maximum release through the Schaads penstock is 39.5 cfs with a maximum of 18.5 cfs delivered through the first hydro unit and 21 cfs through the second unit. A minimum 3 cfs fish release is required from Schaads as part of CPUD's Federal Energy Regulating Commission (FERC) license. This fish release is made from the Schaads toe drain to insure that the maximum temperature of this release, 19° Celsius as stipulated in the FERC license, is not exceeded. When the fish release is added to the maximum release through the Schaads penstock the total maximum discharge from Schaads through the penstock and drain is 42.5 cfs. When outflows from Schaads exceeds 42.5 cfs the reservoir "spills" at the existing spillway. During our field visit in March 2017, the reservoir level was approximately 12 inches above the spillway elevation.

### Long Tem Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Demands

In **Figure 4** is presented an overview of existing parcels located adjacent, or in close proximity to, the proposed Middle Fork Ditch pipeline alignment. The Assessor's Parcel Numbers, existing Calaveras County base land use designations from the County's updated (2015) General Plan and the acreage of each parcel shown in Figure 4 are presented in **Table 5**. Also noted in Table 5 are parcels where property owners have applied for Cannabis Cultivation permits.

With a few exceptions, almost all of the 221 parcels included in the potential Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area are less than 10 acres and are typically designated with a base land use zone of "RR", Residential Record, or "RA", Residential Agriculture. There are a few parcels that are designated as "U", Unclassified, "REC", Recreation, "TP, Timber Production and "GF", General Forestry. There is a total land area of 2622 acres included in the suggested Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area.

In **Table 6** is presented estimated water demands for parcels located within the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area. Water Demands by land use designations are based on the 2015 Calaveras County Water District Urban Water Management Plan, an annual water demand of 2.2 to 2.6 acre-ft/acre reported by the Calaveras County Cannabis Water Alliance and an annual demand of approximately 1.4 acre-ft/acre, typical for vineyards in the Calaveras – Amador-Eldorado County areas. From these sources, an annual water use of 2.55 acre-ft/acre was assigned to RA land uses in the Middle Fork Ditch Service Area and an annual demand of 2.70 acre-ft/acre was assigned to land uses within RR zones. No water demands were assigned to Middle Fork Ditch area land designated as "TP", Timber Production or "GF", General Forestry. The Middle Fork Ditch service water demands estimated for RR and RA land uses are lower than demands estimated for RR and RA land uses in Western Calaveras County because rainfall in the Middle Fork Ditch Service Area are greater and average evapotranspiration is lower in the



version and the second and the second and the second second second and the second second and the second second second second and second second

# TABLE 5MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINESERVICE AREA PARCELS

|                       |          |                         |           |              | Cannabis    |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| Parcel <sup>(1)</sup> | APN      | Land Use <sup>(2)</sup> | Area (SF) | Area (Acres) | Permit App. |
| 1                     | 10016003 | RR                      | 591,705   | 13.6         |             |
| 2                     | 10016017 | RR                      | 87,029    | 2.0          |             |
| 3                     | 10016016 | RR                      | 133,753   | 3.1          |             |
| 4                     | 10016027 | RR                      | 93,457    | 2.1          |             |
| 5                     | 10016026 | RR                      | 65,509    | 1.5          |             |
| 6                     | 10016010 | RR                      | 170,056   | 3.9          |             |
| 7                     | 10016011 | RR                      | 229,116   | 5.3          | Х           |
| 8                     | 10016015 | RR                      | 147,041   | 3.4          |             |
| 9                     | 10016013 | RR                      | 227,291   | 5.2          |             |
| 10                    | 10016014 | RR                      | 206,367   | 4.7          |             |
| 11                    | 10017047 | RR                      | 75,442    | 1.7          |             |
| 12                    | 10017046 | RR                      | 115,075   | 2.6          |             |
| 13                    | 10017034 | RR                      | 87,275    | 2.0          |             |
| 14                    | 10017031 | RR                      | 41,917    | 1.0          |             |
| 15                    | 10017040 | RR                      | 48,546    | 1.1          |             |
| 16                    | 10017038 | RR                      | 43,414    | 1.0          |             |
| 17                    | 10017037 | RR                      | 43,758    | 1.0          |             |
| 18                    | 10017041 | RR                      | 174,388   | 4.0          |             |
| 19                    | 10017043 | RR                      | 61,948    | 1.4          |             |
| 20                    | 10017044 | RR                      | 200,505   | 4.6          |             |
| 21                    | 10017036 | RR                      | 81,672    | 1.9          |             |
| 22                    | 10017026 | RR                      | 54,802    | 1.3          |             |
| 23                    | 10017029 | RR                      | 69,552    | 1.6          |             |
| 24                    | 10017039 | RR                      | 78,496    | 1.8          |             |
| 25                    | 10017035 | RR                      | 78,311    | 1.8          |             |
| 26                    | 10017022 | RR                      | 166,930   | 3.8          |             |
| 27                    | 10017028 | RR                      | 63,550    | 1.5          |             |
| 28                    | 10017027 | RR                      | 52,652    | 1.2          |             |
| 29                    | 10017005 | RR                      | 294,191   | 6.8          |             |
| 30                    | 10017032 | RR                      | 100,109   | 2.3          |             |
| 31                    | 10017011 | RR                      | 231,194   | 5.3          |             |
| 32                    | 10017012 | RR                      | 111,758   | 2.6          |             |
| 33                    | 10017017 | RR                      | 181,885   | 4.2          |             |
| 34                    | 10017033 | RR                      | 103,994   | 2.4          |             |
| 35                    | 10017004 | RR                      | 87,109    | 2.0          |             |
| 36                    | 10017021 | RR                      | 100,720   | 2.3          |             |
| 37                    | 10017020 | RR                      | 134,482   | 3.1          |             |
| 38                    | 10017019 | RR                      | 144,339   | 3.3          |             |
| 39                    | 10017016 | RR                      | 28,911    | 0.7          |             |
| 40                    | 10017015 | RR                      | 37,309    | 0.9          |             |
| 41                    | 10017014 | RR                      | 71,336    | 1.6          |             |

TABLE 5 (Cont.)

| 42 | 10017013 | RR | 153,732   | 3.5  |   |
|----|----------|----|-----------|------|---|
| 43 | 10019016 | RA | 331,836   | 7.6  |   |
| 44 | 10019017 | RA | 128,743   | 3.0  |   |
| 45 | 10019015 | RA | 99,207    | 2.3  |   |
| 46 | 10019034 | RA | 551,110   | 12.7 |   |
| 47 | 10019007 | U  | 53,220    | 1.2  |   |
| 48 | 10016012 | RR | 810,061   | 18.6 |   |
| 49 | 10020001 | U  | 450,820   | 10.3 |   |
| 50 | 10020031 | U  | 734,063   | 16.9 |   |
| 51 | 10020004 | U  | 48,834    | 1.1  |   |
| 52 | 10020002 | U  | 319,328   | 7.3  |   |
| 53 | 10020032 | U  | 42,937    | 1.0  |   |
| 54 | 10020033 | U  | 76,411    | 1.8  |   |
| 55 | 10017008 | RR | 138,481   | 3.2  |   |
| 56 | 10017045 | RR | 155,268   | 3.6  |   |
| 57 | 10017010 | RR | 169,247   | 3.9  |   |
| 58 | 10020034 | U  | 203,458   | 4.7  |   |
| 59 | 10020027 | U  | 366,952   | 8.4  |   |
| 60 | 10020012 | U  | 213,541   | 4.9  |   |
| 61 | 10026015 | RR | 186,269   | 4.3  |   |
| 62 | 10026014 | RR | 83,074    | 1.9  | Х |
| 63 | 10026013 | RR | 78,685    | 1.8  |   |
| 64 | 10026012 | RR | 115,292   | 2.6  |   |
| 65 | 10026011 | RR | 98,136    | 2.3  |   |
| 66 | 10026010 | RR | 85,856    | 2.0  |   |
| 67 | 10026009 | RR | 101,932   | 2.3  |   |
| 68 | 10026008 | RR | 75,670    | 1.7  |   |
| 69 | 10026007 | RR | 66,974    | 1.5  |   |
| 70 | 10026006 | RR | 52,444    | 1.2  |   |
| 71 | 10026016 | RR | 300,798   | 6.9  |   |
| 72 | 10026017 | RR | 278,380   | 6.4  |   |
| 73 | 10026018 | RR | 242,406   | 5.6  | Х |
| 74 | 10026019 | RR | 272,125   | 6.2  |   |
| 75 | 10026005 | RR | 89,038    | 2.0  |   |
| 76 | 10026004 | RR | 67,904    | 1.6  |   |
| 77 | 10026003 | RR | 106,084   | 2.4  |   |
| 78 | 10027020 | RR | 94,673    | 2.2  | X |
| 79 | 10027019 | RR | 113,855   | 2.6  | X |
| 80 | 10027030 | RR | 40,384    | 0.9  |   |
| 81 | 10027031 | RR | 36,384    | 0.8  |   |
| 82 | 10027024 | RR | 41,132    | 0.9  |   |
| 83 | 10027025 | RR | 39,537    | 0.9  |   |
| 84 | 10027021 | RR | 350,085   | 8.0  |   |
| 85 | 10027016 | RR | 91,086    | 2.1  |   |
| 86 | 10027015 | RR | 107,331   | 2.5  |   |
| 87 | 10027014 | RR | 252,141   | 5.8  |   |
| 88 | 10020030 | U  | 3,398,655 | 78.0 |   |

TABLE 5 (Cont.)

| 89  | 10020035 | U  | 25,924    | 0.6   |  |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|-------|--|
| 90  | 10020021 | U  | 53,676    | 1.2   |  |
| 91  | 10020007 | U  | 41,689    | 1.0   |  |
| 92  | 10020020 | U  | 60,345    | 1.4   |  |
| 93  | 10020028 | U  | 250,333   | 5.7   |  |
| 94  | 10009027 | U  | 1,273,077 | 29.2  |  |
| 95  | 10009004 | U  | 3,662,917 | 84.1  |  |
| 96  | 10009021 | U  | 4,615,548 | 106.0 |  |
| 97  | 10020026 | U  | 1,615,566 | 37.1  |  |
| 98  | 10020016 | U  | 41,755    | 1.0   |  |
| 99  | 10020017 | U  | 18,866    | 0.4   |  |
| 100 | 10020018 | U  | 27,725    | 0.6   |  |
| 101 | 10020019 | U  | 8,873     | 0.2   |  |
| 102 | 10026021 | RR | 35,428    | 0.8   |  |
| 103 | 10026001 | RR | 78,170    | 1.8   |  |
| 104 | 10026002 | RR | 87,790    | 2.0   |  |
| 105 | 10027001 | RR | 103,864   | 2.4   |  |
| 106 | 10027002 | RR | 107,217   | 2.5   |  |
| 107 | 10027003 | RR | 89,199    | 2.0   |  |
| 108 | 10027004 | RR | 79,242    | 1.8   |  |
| 109 | 10027005 | RR | 107,991   | 2.5   |  |
| 110 | 10027006 | RR | 131,870   | 3.0   |  |
| 111 | 10027007 | RR | 84,418    | 1.9   |  |
| 112 | 10027008 | RR | 100,867   | 2.3   |  |
| 113 | 12022001 | RR | 101,024   | 2.3   |  |
| 114 | 10027012 | RR | 97,316    | 2.2   |  |
| 115 | 10027011 | RR | 90,814    | 2.1   |  |
| 116 | 10027010 | RR | 68,568    | 1.6   |  |
| 117 | 12022001 |    | 43,541    | 1.0   |  |
| 110 | 12023001 |    | 95,652    | 2.2   |  |
| 119 | 12023002 | RR | 106 268   | 2.4   |  |
| 120 | 12023003 | RR | 83 128    | 1.4   |  |
| 121 | 12023011 | RR | 67 99/    | 1.5   |  |
| 122 | 10027013 | RR | 130 185   | 3.0   |  |
| 123 | 12023010 | RR | 82,828    | 1.9   |  |
| 125 | 12023009 | RR | 202,133   | 4.6   |  |
| 126 | 12023006 | RR | 117.040   | 2.7   |  |
| 127 | 12023008 | RR | 174.148   | 4.0   |  |
| 128 | 12023007 | RR | 206,922   | 4.8   |  |
| 129 | 12018027 | RR | 228.589   | 5.2   |  |
| 130 | 12018028 | RR | 247,989   | 5.7   |  |
| 131 | 12018026 | RR | 29,651    | 0.7   |  |
| 132 | 12013058 | RR | 271,289   | 6.2   |  |
| 133 | 12018022 | U  | 111,036   | 2.5   |  |
| 134 | 12018005 | U  | 11,724    | 0.3   |  |
| 135 | 12018021 | U  | 29,069    | 0.7   |  |

TABLE 5 (Cont.)

| 136 | 12018004 | U  | 7,597     | 0.2   |  |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|-------|--|
| 137 | 12018020 | U  | 16,819    | 0.4   |  |
| 138 | 12018006 | U  | 37,241    | 0.9   |  |
| 139 | 12018007 | U  | 17,281    | 0.4   |  |
| 140 | 12018019 | U  | 219,654   | 5.0   |  |
| 141 | 12018024 | U  | 71,391    | 1.6   |  |
| 142 | 12018016 | U  | 91,176    | 2.1   |  |
| 143 | 12018015 | U  | 251,565   | 5.8   |  |
| 144 | 12018001 | U  | 94,017    | 2.2   |  |
| 145 | 12022014 | RR | 81,346    | 1.9   |  |
| 146 | 12022017 | RR | 223,442   | 5.1   |  |
| 147 | 12022016 | RR | 96,192    | 2.2   |  |
| 148 | 12022015 | RR | 86,468    | 2.0   |  |
| 149 | 12022002 | RR | 222,844   | 5.1   |  |
| 150 | 12022003 | RR | 184,678   | 4.2   |  |
| 151 | 12022004 | RR | 215,574   | 4.9   |  |
| 152 | 12022019 | RR | 202,713   | 4.7   |  |
| 153 | 12022005 | RR | 243,663   | 5.6   |  |
| 154 | 12022018 | RR | 227,784   | 5.2   |  |
| 155 | 12022006 | RR | 207,324   | 4.8   |  |
| 156 | 12022013 | RR | 252,125   | 5.8   |  |
| 157 | 12022012 | RR | 267,846   | 6.1   |  |
| 158 | 12022007 | RR | 234,249   | 5.4   |  |
| 159 | 12022008 | RR | 185,704   | 4.3   |  |
| 160 | 12022011 | RR | 161,932   | 3.7   |  |
| 161 | 12022010 | RR | 217,453   | 5.0   |  |
| 162 | 12022009 | RR | 191,066   | 4.4   |  |
| 163 | 10021074 | U  | 7,937,101 | 182.2 |  |
| 164 | 10021137 | U  | 1,618,896 | 37.2  |  |
| 165 | 12024003 | RR | 359,201   | 8.2   |  |
| 166 | 12024004 | RR | 352,890   | 8.1   |  |
| 167 | 12024005 | RR | 278,447   | 6.4   |  |
| 168 | 12024006 | RR | 184,895   | 4.2   |  |
| 169 | 12024007 | RR | 265,896   | 6.1   |  |
| 170 | 12024002 | RR | 302,730   | 6.9   |  |
| 171 | 12024001 | RR | 192,713   | 4.4   |  |
| 172 | 12025001 | RR | 234,166   | 5.4   |  |
| 173 | 12018018 | U  | 62,989    | 1.4   |  |
| 174 | 12025002 | RR | 275,937   | 6.3   |  |
| 175 | 12025007 | RR | 226,929   | 5.2   |  |
| 176 | 12025006 | RR | 147,477   | 3.4   |  |
| 177 | 12025003 | RR | 151,689   | 3.5   |  |
| 178 | 12025004 | RR | 224,411   | 5.2   |  |
| 179 | 12025005 | RR | 209,862   | 4.8   |  |
| 180 | 12013036 | U  | 228,821   | 5.3   |  |
| 181 | 12013037 | U  | 376,287   | 8.6   |  |
| 182 | 12013049 | RR | 495,028   | 11.4  |  |

TABLE 5 (Cont.)

| 183 | 12013048 | RR  | 450,800    | 10.3  |   |
|-----|----------|-----|------------|-------|---|
| 184 | 12013047 | RR  | 432,421    | 9.9   |   |
| 185 | 12013046 | RR  | 1,801,387  | 41.4  |   |
| 186 | 10021034 | U   | 1,788,776  | 41.1  |   |
| 187 | 12013005 | REC | 8,677,125  | 199.2 |   |
| 188 | 10021056 | REC | 6,795,428  | 156.0 |   |
| 189 | 12020017 | U   | 308,958    | 7.1   |   |
| 190 | 12020009 | U   | 191,241    | 4.4   |   |
| 191 | 12020012 | U   | 205,634    | 4.7   |   |
| 192 | 12020011 | U   | 265,594    | 6.1   | Х |
| 193 | 12020001 | U   | 101,629    | 2.3   |   |
| 194 | 12020002 | U   | 100,361    | 2.3   |   |
| 195 | 12020003 | U   | 100,422    | 2.3   |   |
| 196 | 12020008 | U   | 251,741    | 5.8   |   |
| 197 | 12013007 | REC | 930,493    | 21.4  |   |
| 198 | 10021039 | REC | 1,774,394  | 40.7  |   |
| 199 | 10021029 | ТР  | 4,126,878  | 94.7  |   |
| 200 | 10021028 | U   | 5,021,062  | 115.3 |   |
| 201 | 10021134 | U   | 1,654,450  | 38.0  |   |
| 202 | 10021144 | GF  | 1,354,362  | 31.1  |   |
| 203 | 10021143 | GF  | 1,206,898  | 27.7  |   |
| 204 | 10021138 | GF  | 920,386    | 21.1  |   |
| 205 | 10021141 | REC | 2,195,501  | 50.4  |   |
| 206 | 10021133 | U   | 139,725    | 3.2   |   |
| 207 | 10021132 | U   | 130,737    | 3.0   |   |
| 208 | 10019019 | U   | 13,408,787 | 307.8 |   |
| 209 | 12012038 | U   | 356,821    | 8.2   |   |
| 210 | 12012127 | U   | 422,865    | 9.7   |   |
| 211 | 12012126 | U   | 404,592    | 9.3   |   |
| 212 | 10019029 | RR  | 535,930    | 12.3  |   |
| 213 | 10019010 | RA  | 168,549    | 3.9   |   |
| 214 | 10019009 | RA  | 46,017     | 1.1   |   |
| 215 | 10019028 | REC | 463,534    | 10.6  |   |
| 216 | 10019027 | REC | 239,000    | 5.5   |   |
| 217 | 10019026 | REC | 588,506    | 13.5  |   |
| 218 | 10019032 | RA  | 238,975    | 5.5   |   |
| 219 | 10019031 | RA  | 208,245    | 4.8   |   |
| 220 | 10019035 | RA  | 3,137,451  | 72.0  | Х |
| 221 | 10021128 | U   | 2,187,451  | 50.2  |   |
|     |          |     |            |       |   |

| ٦ | lotal | 2,622 | Acres |
|---|-------|-------|-------|

(1) See Figure 4, Suggested Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area.

=

(2) Base land Use, Calaveras County General Plan Land Use Element, November 2015.



Middle Fork Ditch Service Area than in Western Calaveras County areas. Average annual rainfall in the West Point area is approximately 41 inches per year compared to an average annual rainfall of approximately 33 inches per years reported for San Andreas.

The estimated annual water demands for RA and RR land uses in the Middle Fork Ditch Service Area includes both raw water (irrigation) and potable water demands. Although parcels in the Middle Fork Ditch Service Area are currently not served by a community water supply system, CCWD has indicated that a water treatment plant with capacity of approximately 200 gpm could be constructed along Blue Mountain Road to serve this area if the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline is constructed along the alignment shown in Figure 1.

As summarized in Table 6, the estimated annual Mokelumne River Water demands for the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area is 4,988 acre-ft/year.

| Land Use <sup>(1)</sup>  | Area (Acres) <sup>(2)</sup>                      | Estimated Water<br>Demand Rate<br>(AF/AC-yr) | Estimated Annual<br>Water Demand<br>(AF/yr) |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| RA   | 113  | 2.55   | 288   |  |
| RR   | 555  | 2.70   | 1499  |  |
| U  | 1282   | 1.20   | 1538  |  |
| Rec  | 497  | 2.50   | 1243  |  |
| TP   | 95   | 0  | 0   |  |
| GF   | 80   | 0  | 0   |  |
| Loses  | 2622   | 0.16   | 420   |  |
| Totals   | 2622 acres                                       |  | 4988 AF/year                                |  |
| <ul> <li>(1) RE = Residential Agr</li> <li>RR = Residential Rur</li> <li>U = Unclassified</li> </ul> | iculture REC = Rec<br>al TP = Timbe<br>GF = Gene | creation<br>er Production<br>eral Forestry   |   |  |

### TABLE 6 ESTIMATED MOKELUMNE RIVER WATER DEMANDS, MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE SERVICE AREA

(2) Area totals from Table 5

### **CPUD Treated Water Demands**

The CPUD currently receives treated water supply from the South Fork of the Mokelumne River ("South Fork"). As previously described in this TM, South Fork water is delivered to Jeff Davis Reservoir and the Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant by the District's South Fork Pump Station and a 20 inch diameter pump discharge pipeline. With the completion of the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline, water could also be supplied to Jeff Davis Reservoir from the Middle Fork.

Loses = Estimated Loses in the Water System

Currently, treated water demands at the Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant (WTP) are approximately 502.5 Million Gallons, annually ( $\pm$  1542 acre-ft/year). This demand can be adequately supplied by the existing Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant with a current 6 Million



Gallon per day (MGD) capacity and expansion capability to 12 MGD. Maximum day demands at the Jeff Davis WTP are now approximately 2 MGD.

The South Fork Pump Station was constructed in 1972. It currently has a capacity to deliver approximately 2000 gpm (approximately 2.88 MGD or about 4.5 cfs) from the South Fork supply to the Jeff Davis Reservoir. There are currently two, 400 hp, vertical turbine pumps in service at the South Fork Pump Station with space for a third supply pump.

Annual water demands supplied by the Jeff Davis WTP during the past 20 years have increased by approximately 1% per year. Projecting future demands at a 1% growth rate, and assuming a year 2100 buildout projection, would result in a future treated water demand of approximately 1170 Million Gallons annually or approximately 3592 acre-feet per year. This annual demand could be supplied with the capacity available at the existing Jeff Davis WTP.

In **Table 7** is presented a comparison of annual South Fork Pump Station delivery totals and the annual treated water produced at the Jeff Davis WTP. When the water supplied to Jeff Davis Reservoir is compared to the water produced at the Jeff Davis WTP, a rough determination can be made regarding the typical annual losses due to reservoir evaporation, losses due to reservoir percolation / infiltration and losses from the South Fork pipeline conveyance system.

| Year | Water Supplied from South<br>Fork Pump Station <sup>(1)</sup><br>(Million Gallons) | Water Treated at Jeff<br>Davis WTP<br>(Million Gallons) | Ratio of Water<br>Supplied / Treated<br>Water Produced |
|------|--|---|--|
| 1999 | 448.4  | 422.6   | 1.06   |
| 2000 | 434.4  | 410.1   | 1.06   |
| 2001 | 520.9  | 450.1   | 1.16   |
| 2002 | 468.2  | 415.2   | 1.13   |
| 2003 | 557.9  | 391.0   | 1.42   |
| 2004 | 604.4  | 436.9   | 1.38   |
| 2005 | 459.4  | 397.2   | 1.16   |
| 2006 | 442.0  | 438.4   | 1.01   |
| 2007 | 593.4  | 486.3   | 1.22   |
| 2008 | 374.5  | 500.7   | 0.75   |
| 2009 | 487.6  | 476.0   | 1.02   |
| 2010 | 424.5  | 397.3   | 1.08   |
| 2011 | 278.9  | 413.1   | 0.68   |
| 2012 | 303.8  | 466.4   | 0.65   |
| 2013 | 327.8  | 495.0   | 0.66   |

### TABLE 7

### ANNUAL WATER SUPPLIED AND WATER TREATED, JEFF DAVIS RESERVOIR

(1) Source: CPUD Annual Records



Based on the above records, annual flows delivered to Jeff Davis Reservoir have been as much as 42%, above, and as much as 35% below the treated water produced at the Jeff Davis WTP. To account for reservoir percolation and evaporation losses and to account for losses in the South Fork Pump Station discharge pipeline, it is reasonable to assume an annual delivery from the South Fork (or future Middle Fork) supply which is 25% greater than the annual treated water demand at the Jeff Davis WTP. A projected annual treated water demand from the Mokelumne River supply of 1.25 (1170 Million Gallons) = 1463 Million Gallons, or 1.25 (3592 acre-feet) = 4491 acre-feet per year is, therefore, estimated for CPUD in this Long Term Water Needs Study.

### Summary of Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Demands

As presented herein, long term Middle Fork water demands for the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline Service Area are estimated at 4988 acre-ft/year. The long term Middle Fork and South Fork water demands at the Jeff Davis WTP are 4491 acre-ft/ year, for a total annual demand of 9479 acre-feet/year.

### SCHAADS RESERVOIR

Increased demands to Middle Fork Mokelumne River water will also increase demands in the storage capacity at Schaads Reservoir.

The existing limits of the 1800 ac-foot capacity of Schaads Reservoir are shown in **Figure 5.** While most of the existing reservoir is located within land owned by the CPUD, the easterly reservoir limits and a portion of the northern shoreline are located on adjoining property (U.S. National Forest Service on the east, Sierra Pacific Industries on the north). Assuming an average side slope of approximately 4:1, an increase in the spillway and levee elevation of 5 feet would allow the maximum water surface elevation to increase from elevation 2907 to elevation 2912, and the reservoir capacity to increase to approximately 2000 ac-feet. Increasing the maximum water surface elevation by 10 feet would increase the reservoir storage capacity to approximately 2250 ac-feet.



|       | SIDE<br>SLOPE | ELEV INCREASE<br>FT | CAPACITY<br>AC-FT |
|-------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|       | N/A           | 0                   | 1800              |
| (5')  | 4:1           | 5                   | 2000              |
| (10') | 4:1           | 10                  | 2250              |
|       |               | FIG                 | SUBE 5            |



### CALAVERAS COUNTY MOKELUMNE RIVER LONG TERM WATER NEEDS STUDY

### SUPPLEMENT TO TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM: POTENTIAL DEMAND FOR MOKELUMNE RIVER WATER SUPPLIES ALONG PROPOSED ROUTE OF MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE WITH FOREST CREEK – MIDDLE FORK RESERVOIR

### INTRODUCTION

The following is submitted to supplement the Technical Memorandum (TM) prepared for determination of Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline demands. Evaluation of available Mokelumne River supplies and estimated long term CCWD and CPUD demands conducted by ECORP Consulting has resulted in a number of water supply scenarios. Supply alternatives include consideration of the Forest Creek - Middle Fork (Mokelumne) Reservoir. This reservoir project was first considered in the late 1950's and has been reconfigured and reevaluated a number of times since then by both CCWD and CPUD. Forest Creek- Middle Fork Reservoir capacities ranging from 4300 to 14,800 acre-feet have been considered. A reservoir with a capacity of nearly 12,000 acrefeet and a maximum water surface elevation of 2787 is evaluated herein. As shown in Figure S-1 of this Supplement, the center of the dam for a Forest-Middle Fork Reservoir of this capacity and maximum operating elevation would be located approximately 350 feet downstream of the confluence of Forest Creek and the Middle Fork Mokelumne River. The reservoir pool would extend about 1.0 mile upstream along Forest Creek and approximately 1.5 miles upstream along the Middle Fork Mokelumne to a point approximately 600 feet downstream of Schaads Reservoir. At maximum pool, the Forest Creek - Middle Fork Reservoir would encompass about 180 acres.

### IMPACT TO MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE

In **Figure S.2** is the projected footprint of the  $\pm$  12,000 acre-foot capacity Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir, described above, superimposed over the Middle Fork Ditch alignment presented in the previously prepared Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline TM. With completion of a Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir as shown in this Supplement, approximately 7700 lineal feet of the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline would be inundated or otherwise eliminated. The total ditch pipeline length from Schaads to the South Fork Pump Station has been previously estimated at 28,800 lineal feet.

With connection to Schaads Reservoir penstock the Middle Fork Ditch pipeline could, by gravity, deliver Middle Fork water to the South Fork Mokelumne River Pump Station and to Jeff Davis Reservoir. Gravity delivery could also be made to a 1MW hydroelectric facility constructed adjacent to the South Fork Pump Station. Gravity delivery of Middle Fork Mokelumne River from Schaads to the South Fork Pump Station and to Jeff Davis Reservoir depends on a minimum operating level at Schaads of elevation 2900. The maximum water surface elevation in the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir is estimated at elevation 2787. To deliver Middle Fork water from





FLE: 5/16/2017 DATE: 6/16/2017 DATE: 6/16/2017

FIGURE S-2




# FIGURE S-3



the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir to the South Fork Pump Station and to Jeff Davis Reservoir via a shortened Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline will require pumping. Since pumping from the Forest – Middle Fork Reservoir to the South Fork Pump Station is required, it is reasonable to assume that sizing the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline to provide a release through a South Fork hydroelectric facility would not be included in the scope of these improvements. The future CPUD demands at Jeff Davis Reservoir are estimated at approximately 6.2 cfs or approximately 4491 acre-feet, annually. A pipeline from the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Dam to meet this demand together with demands from the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline service area is, therefore, proposed. The Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline could, therefore, be reduced from 30 inches in diameter to 24 inches in diameter with this scenario. A hydraulic profile of the delivery of Middle Fork water from the Forest Creek – Middle Fork pump station is presented in **Figure S-3**. Assuming a 24 inch diameter main and a minimum pool elevation in the Forest Creek Middle Fork Reservoir of 2700, a pump station capable of 175 feet of lift is required.

While pumping from the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir to the South Fork Pump Station would eliminate the previously suggested South Fork hydroelectric facility, a 12,000 acrefoot capacity the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir would be sufficient to meet the future, year round and seasonal demands at Jeff Davis. With the head available at the South Fork Pump Station the need to pump from the South Fork to Jeff Davis would be eliminated. Water pumped from the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir could continue by gravity to Jeff Davis Reservoir via the existing 20 inch diameter South Fork Pipeline.

#### IMPACT TO WATER DEMANDS FROM THE MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE

In **Figure S-4**, the footprint of the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir is superimposed over the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline service area previously presented in the Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline TM. The Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir would decrease the total potential Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline service area by 84 acres. Revised estimated Middle Fork Ditch Pipeline service area demands are presented in **Table S-1**. The estimated total annual demands would decrease from approximately 4988 acre-feet / year to approximately 4875 acre-feet / year with the Forest Creek – Middle Fork Reservoir.





# FIGURE S-3





#### TABLE S-1

#### ESTIMATED MOKELUMNE RIVER WATER DEMANDS IN THE MIDDLE FORK DITCH PIPELINE SERVICE AREA WITH THE FOREST CREEK – MIDDLE FORK RESERVOIR

| Land Use | Area (acres) | Estimated Water<br>Demand Future.<br>(AF/Ac-yr) | Estimated Annual<br>Water Demand<br>(AF/yr) |
|----------|--------------|---|---|
| RA       | 113          | 2.55  | 288   |
| RR       | 555          | 2.70  | 1,499                                       |
| U        | 1,258        | 1.20  | 1,510                                       |
| REC      | 469          | 2.50  | 1,173                                       |
| TP       | 95           | -   | -   |
| GF       | 48           | -   | -   |
| LOSES    | 2,538        | 0.16  | 406   |

2,538

4,875

RA = Residential Agriculture RR = Residential Rural U = Unclassified GF = General Forestry REC = Recreation TP = Timber Production LOSES = Loses in the Water System

# ATTACHMENT C

Provost & Pritchard. 2011. Technical Memorandum: Evaluating the Potential for Agricultural Development in Calaveras County. 15 June 2011.



WATER & WASTEWATER MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE LAND DEVELOPMENT AGRICULTURE SERVICES DAIRY SERVICES LAND SURVEYING & GIS PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRICT MANAGEMENT

132 S. THIRD STREET OAKDALE, CA 95361 (209) 845-8700 • FAX (209) 845-8614 WWW.PPENG.COM

### TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

- To: Edwin Pattison Calaveras County Water District
- From: Rick Hanks, Kevin Johansen, Rick Besecker
- Subject: Evaluating the Potential for Agricultural Development in Calaveras County
- Date: June 15, 2011

#### PROJECT BACKGROUND

In response to multiple requests by agricultural interests, the Calaveras County Water District (District) desires to evaluate the potential for irrigated agricultural development in Calaveras County and has authorized preparation of this technical memorandum as a first step toward that evaluation. The District is uniquely positioned to potentially develop available water resources and deliver irrigation water that could support agricultural development that would benefit the local and regional economy.

Development of production agriculture in Calaveras County has been discussed for many years and several studies were previously conducted, notably the 1960 Tudor Engineering Company report by Dr. H.S. Nelson titled <u>The Potential Agriculture of Calaveras County</u>. That report concluded that "approximately 93,000 acres of land in Calaveras County and approximately 85,000 acres of land in the Area of Use outside the county are suitable for irrigation; that crops of olives, apples, walnuts, and pasture presently under production in the area studied can be irrigated by Calaveras County water resource developments...".

During the fifty years that have passed since the 1960 Tudor Engineering study, no surface water resources have been developed in Calaveras County to support widespread irrigated agriculture, and much of the lands have been developed for residential and municipal use, rendering them unsuitable for irrigated agriculture. The limited irrigated agriculture that does exist in the County primarily utilizes groundwater. The purpose of this technical memorandum is to report the findings of an updated preliminary evaluation of the potential for agricultural development in western Calaveras County that could potentially be irrigated with surface water.

#### **IRRIGATED AGRICULTURE IN CALIFORNIA—HISTORY AND FUTURE**

Prime agricultural land in California is generally located in the interior valleys, where flat, deep, well drained soils are optimal for irrigated agriculture. Historically, the widespread development of irrigated agriculture in most areas of California was limited by the lack of a reliable surface water supply. With the development of the State Water Project (SWP)

and the Federal Central Valley Project (CVP), a reliable and relatively inexpensive surface water supply was made available to western portions of the San Joaquin Valley that did not have local water supplies.

In contrast, the District has abundant water rights on the three major river systems within or bordering Calaveras County (the Mokelumne, Calaveras and Stanislaus Rivers), and can provide a reliable water supply to support irrigated agriculture, but the soils in the District are generally sloped, shallow, and have other limitations that render them less than optimal for irrigated agriculture. Development of irrigated agriculture within Calaveras County was contemplated in the early 1970's with the formation of the Western Calaveras Irrigation District, whose purpose was to deliver surface water to portions of northwestern Calaveras County for irrigated agriculture. A bond measure to support development of a water conveyance system was narrowly defeated in 1974. Without a surface water supply, agricultural development never got traction in Calaveras County. With adequate water and prime soils, the inland valleys became the preferred lands for agricultural development.

Presently, farmers in the central and southern San Joaquin Valley have been increasingly burdened by two problems associated with their water supplies: decreasing reliability and increased costs. The following factors have helped create these water supply challenges:

- Pumping restrictions in the south Delta are reducing south-of-Delta average allocations for both the State Water Project (SWP) (to an average of 60%<sup>1</sup> of contracted amounts) and the Federal Central Valley Project (CVP), adversely impacting farms located in the central and western side of the southern San Joaquin Valley.
- The San Joaquin River Restoration Program, which will provide year-round flows down the San Joaquin River, is projected to reduce Friant Division CVP allocations by 12-15%<sup>2</sup>, adversely impacting farms located on the east side of the southern San Joaquin Valley.
- The Bay Delta Conservation Plan, which contemplates the construction of new conveyance facilities through the Delta, is projected to cost \$7.5-\$8.5B<sup>3</sup> over the first five years, and will substantially increase water costs to CVP and SWP south-of-Delta water users.
- The groundwater basins in the southern San Joaquin Valley have been identified by the California Department of Water Resources as being in a critical condition of overdraft<sup>4</sup>, and continued pumping to supplement reduced surface supplies will exacerbate the overdraft conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The State Water Project Delivery Reliability Report, DWR 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> San Joaquin River Restoration Program Fact Sheet April 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Presentation to BDCP Steering Committee July 15, 2010, <u>http://baydeltaconservationplan.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> California's Groundwater, DWR Bulletin 118-03.

It is expected that these factors will ultimately drive the cost of water beyond the ability of some growers in the southern San Joaquin Valley to economically afford to farm, and some of those growers will inevitably migrate to areas in the state that have better water supply prospects. Since the District has a reliable and available supply of surface water, growers may ultimately look to areas within Calaveras County where they could economically farm.

#### POTENTIAL IRRIGATED ACRES IN CALAVERAS COUNTY

While portions of the mountainous regions of Calaveras County support some irrigated agriculture, i.e., a number of vineyards have developed in the Murphys area that are primarily irrigated with groundwater, for economic development of irrigated agriculture that utilizes surface water it was felt that the greatest opportunity would be in the western, flatter portion of Calaveras County. Working with District staff, we have initially divided the western portion of the County into three study areas, focusing on the Valley Springs Study Area (Valley Springs) in the northwestern portion of the County, the Salt Springs Study Area (Salt Springs) in the central western portion, and the Copperopolis Study Area (Copperopolis) in the southwestern portion of the County (see Figure 1). These study areas were identified by District staff as having the most suitable soils, terrain, and elevation for potential irrigated agricultural development.

For this initial evaluation, only available information about the land in the County was utilized, no new field information has been developed to date. Discussions were held with the current and former County Farm Advisors and with the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) to gather local knowledge about the potential for agricultural development and what information is available about the land in the western portion of the County. The NRCS is currently in the process of preparing a soil survey of the area that will be available in a few years, but it is interesting to note that NRCS (or the former Soil Conservation Service) did not previously prepare a Calaveras County soil survey when other soil surveys were prepared for most of the other counties in the State. It was agreed that the best available information for evaluating the potential for irrigated agriculture in the County is the Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Maps that were created in the mid-1960's and subsequently updated and published in handbook form by the Calaveras County Farm Advisors Office in 1982. Using the Soil-Vegetation Maps that were digitized by the County, and overlaying the three study area boundaries, the following data layers were analyzed using Geographic Information System (GIS) software and ranked for agricultural suitability:

- Parcel Size
- Slope
- Soil Depth
- Surface Rockiness
- Soil Stoniness
- Existing Cover
- Irrigated Land Suitability

Each of these criteria were analyzed separately and used to reject properties that did not meet the selected criteria based on economic (size) and agronomic characteristics. The properties that remained were ultimately combined to estimate the maximum potential acreage that could reasonably be developed for irrigated agriculture with the development of a surface water supply. The information shown in Tables 2 through 7 below reflect the acreage within each study area that met the criteria shown in the respective table, which were the choices in the Soil-Vegetation Survey dataset.

Note that this evaluation relies heavily on the Soil-Vegetation Survey dataset. Parcel size information is current data that was gathered from the County Assessor's Office, but all other information used in the evaluation was from the Soil-Vegetation Survey. It appears that this is the best and most comprehensive information available, but the survey data ranges between 30 and 45 years old. The scope of this evaluation did not include a provision for "ground truthing" the results, so the selected lands shown on the figures should not be relied on to locate specific parcels. Rather, the lands that met the selected criteria represent generalized locations of potential agricultural development.

#### **Parcel Size**

Modern production agriculture typically relies on economies of scale to offset the large fixed costs of initial development and ongoing operation. Examples of these costs include land acquisition, orchard/vineyard development, equipment acquisition, etc. As such, larger parcels are more suited to production agricultural development as these fixed costs can be distributed over more acreage, reducing the unit cost per acre. For this analysis, parcels 20 acres and larger were selected for initial evaluation. Parcels smaller than 20 acres were not selected for this initial evaluation because they were viewed as being too small to economically develop into a production farming unit. Parcels less than 20 acres may be viable and profitable as small-family or "boutique" farms, but for evaluating the potential for production agricultural development it was felt that the focus of this initial evaluation should be on parcels that are 20 acres or larger because parcels smaller than 20 acres may not be able to afford the large capital investment for a large-scale water diversion and conveyance system.

That is not to say that parcels less than 20 acres are not viable for agricultural production, and our understanding is that the County in fact has seen the greatest agricultural growth in the past fifteen years on parcels that are between 5 and 20 acres. If a water supply conveyance system was ultimately developed to serve agricultural land within the County, then parcels that are less than 20 acres that are relatively close to the conveyance system would likely be able to economically connect to water service.

Table 1 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after parcels of less than 20 acres were rejected. Figure 2 shows the location of the parcels that were rejected based on small parcel size alone.

| Table 1. Summary of Selection by Parcel Size. |          |         |         |                   |         |        |         |         |              |
|---|----------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------------|
|   |          |         |         |                   |         |        |         |         |              |
|   | Valley S | Springs | Salt S  | prings            | Copper  | opolis |         |         |              |
|   | Study    | Area    | Study   | <sup>,</sup> Area | Study   | Area   | То      | tal     | Selection    |
| Parcel Size                                   | Parcels  | Acres   | Parcels | Acres             | Parcels | Acres  | Parcels | Acres   | Result       |
| Less than 5 Acres                             | 6,526    | 8,645   | 94      | 121               | 21      | 8      | 6,641   | 8,774   | Rejected     |
| >5 and <20 Acres                              | 1,618    | 13,132  | 79      | 943               | 5       | 56     | 1,702   | 14,130  | Not selected |
| 20 Acres or Greater                           | 471      | 32,528  | 421     | 70,448            | 50      | 22,507 | 942     | 125,482 | Selected     |
| Total   | 8,615    | 54,304  | 594     | 71,512            | 76      | 22,571 | 9,285   | 148,387 |              |
| Subtotal Selected                             | 471      | 32,528  | 421     | 70,448            | 50      | 22,507 | 942     | 125,482 |              |
|   |          |         |         |                   |         |        |         |         |              |
| Source: Calaverous County Assessor's office.  |          |         |         |                   |         |        |         |         |              |

#### Slope of the Ground Surface

Innovations in irrigation technology have allowed agricultural developers to design irrigation systems for lands that are not necessarily level. For this analysis, lands with slopes greater than 30 percent were rejected as being too steep for production agriculture.

Table 2 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after lands with slopes greater than 30 percent were rejected. Figure 3 shows the location of lands that were rejected based on excessive slope.

| Table 2. Summary of Selection by Slope. |                |              |              |         |           |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
|   |                |              |              |         |           |
|   | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |           |
|   | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   | Selection |
| Slope                                   | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   | Result    |
| 0%                                      | 8,097          | 6,843        | 872          | 15,812  | Selected  |
| 0 - 30%                                 | 39,000         | 55,965       | 19,120       | 114,085 | Selected  |
| 30 - 50%                                | 6,949          | 8,607        | 2,538        | 18,095  | Rejected  |
| 50 - 70%                                | 257            | 97           | 41           | 395     | Rejected  |
| > 70%                                   | 0              | 0            | 0            | 0       | Rejected  |
| Total                                   | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |           |
| Subtotal Selected                       | 47,097         | 62,808       | 19,992       | 129,897 |           |
|   |                |              |              |         |           |

Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office.

#### Soil Depth

While plants need a minimum amount of soil depth to flourish and generally the deeper the soil profile the better, shallow soils can often be altered through mechanical means by ripping and deep plowing before planting and through the use of soil amendments. The County Farm Advisor's office has indicated that while many of the soils on the western edge of the county are shallow, their shallowness is principally due to an impermeable layer that is not bedrock, and that most of these soils can be improved by deep ripping through such hardpan layer. For this analysis, lands with soil depths less 1 foot were rejected as being too shallow for agricultural development.

Table 3 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after lands with soil depths less than 1 foot were rejected. Figure 4 shows the location of lands that were rejected based on shallow soils alone.

| Table 3. Summary of Selection by Soil Depth. |                |              |              |         |           |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
|  |                |              |              |         |           |
|  | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |           |
|  | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   | Selection |
| Soil Depth                                   | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   | Result    |
| Very Shallow (< 1')                          | 10,611         | 12,963       | 7,057        | 30,631  | Rejected  |
| Shallow (1' - 2')                            | 26,535         | 32,976       | 7,833        | 67,345  | Selected  |
| Moderately Shallow (2' - 3')                 | 7,819          | 15,709       | 6,420        | 29,947  | Selected  |
| Moderately Deep (3' - 4')                    | 971            | 2,627        | 388          | 3,986   | Selected  |
| Deep (> 4')                                  | 271            | 395          | 0            | 666     | Selected  |
| Not Classified                               | 8,097          | 6,843        | 872          | 15,812  | Rejected  |
| Total  | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |           |
| Subtotal Selected                            | 35,596         | 51,706       | 14,642       | 101,944 |           |
|  |                |              |              |         |           |

Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office.

#### **Rockiness of the Soil**

Rockiness, or percentage of surface rock, can be a limiting factor to agricultural development, as exposed rock limits the area that can be planted, and generally indicates shallow soils adjacent to the rocks. For this analysis, lands with rocks covering more than 10 percent of soil surface were rejected as being too rocky for agricultural development.

Table 4 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after lands with rocks covering more than 10 percent of the soil surface were rejected. Figure 5 shows the location of lands that were rejected based on rockiness alone.

| Table 4. Summary of Selection by Rocky Soil Surface. |                |              |              |         |           |  |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|--|
|  |                |              |              |         |           |  |
|  | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |           |  |
|  | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   | Selection |  |
| Percent of Surface Rock                              | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   | Result    |  |
| 0%   | 48,473         | 60,894       | 18,048       | 127,416 | Selected  |  |
| 2 - 10%  | 0              | 404          | 0            | 404     | Selected  |  |
| 10 - 50%   | 5,393          | 9,448        | 4,519        | 19,360  | Rejected  |  |
| 10 - 25%   | 108            | 0            | 0            | 109     | Rejected  |  |
| 25 - 50%   | 330            | 766          | 3            | 1,099   | Rejected  |  |
| Total  | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |           |  |
| Subtotal Selected                                    | 48,473         | 61,298       | 18,048       | 127,820 |           |  |
|  |                |              |              |         |           |  |
|  |                |              |              |         |           |  |

Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office.

#### Stoniness of the Soil

Stony soils, where the coarse fragment in the soil (gravel, cobbles, or stones) makes up 20 percent or more of the soil's volume, can be limiting to agricultural development, as these soils tend to be droughty (have low water holding capacities) and can be damaging to tilling and harvesting equipment. For this analysis, stony soils were rejected as being too limiting for agricultural development.

Table 5 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after lands with stony soils were rejected. Figure 6 shows the location of lands that were rejected based on stoniness alone.

| Table 5. Summary of Se |                |              |              |         |           |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
|                        |                |              |              |         |           |
|                        | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |           |
|                        | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   | Selection |
| Soil Type              | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   | Result    |
| Not Stony              | 53,899         | 70,355       | 22,571       | 146,824 | Selected  |
| Stony                  | 406            | 1,157        | 0            | 1,562   | Rejected  |
| Total                  | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |           |
| Subtotal Selected      | 53,899         | 70,355       | 22,571       | 146,824 |           |
|                        |                |              |              |         |           |

Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office.

#### Woody Vegetation

The density of woody vegetation (trees and shrubs) can be a limiting factor to agricultural development, as removal can be both costly and environmentally objectionable. For this analysis, lands that were identified during the Soil-Vegetation Survey as having woody vegetation covering more than 20 percent of soil surface were rejected as being too densely populated for agricultural development.

Table 6 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after lands with woody vegetation covering more than 20 percent of the soil surface were rejected. Figure 7 shows the location of lands that were rejected based on vegetation density alone.

| Table 6. Summary of Se  |                |              |              |         |           |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
|   |                |              |              |         |           |
| Cover Density   | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |           |
| (Percent of Ground Covered  | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   | Selection |
| by Woody Vegetation)  | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   | Result    |
| Extremely Open (0 - 5%)   | 18,061         | 29,829       | 6,828        | 54,718  | Selected  |
| Very Open (5 - 20%)   | 9,188          | 6,442        | 4,315        | 19,944  | Selected  |
| Open (20 - 50%)   | 12,809         | 19,300       | 8,969        | 41,078  | Rejected  |
| Semidense (50 - 80%)  | 5,905          | 7,783        | 1,860        | 15,548  | Rejected  |
| Dense (80 - 100%)   | 4,016          | 6,793        | 447          | 11,256  | Rejected  |
| Not Classified  | 4,325          | 1,365        | 152          | 5,842   | Rejected  |
| Total   | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |           |
| Subtotal  | 27,249         | 36,271       | 11,143       | 74,663  |           |
|   |                |              |              |         |           |
| Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office. |                |              |              |         |           |

#### Irrigated Land Suitability

The irrigated land suitability rating that was generated by the Soil-Vegetation Survey team is based on soil characteristics of depth, surface and subsoil textures, rockiness, and parent material of soils that occur in a natural state on slopes less than 30 percent. For this analysis, lands with irrigated land suitability ratings of less than low were rejected as being too unsuitable for agricultural development.

Table 7 summarizes the resulting acreage that remains after lands with less than low irrigated land suitability were rejected. Figure 8 shows the location of the parcels that were rejected based on irrigated land suitability alone.

| Table 7. Summary of Selection by Irrigated Land Suitability.                                      |                |              |              |         |           |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
|   |                |              |              |         |           |
|   | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |           |
|   | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   | Selection |
| Irrigated Land Suitability  | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   | Result    |
| High  | 0              | 0            | 0            | 0       | Selected  |
| Medium to High  | 1,424          | 908          | 132          | 2,465   | Selected  |
| Medium  | 7,663          | 15,813       | 6,585        | 30,061  | Selected  |
| Low to Medium   | 6,302          | 24,955       | 6,198        | 37,455  | Selected  |
| Low   | 9,618          | 12,322       | 3,920        | 25,860  | Selected  |
| Questionable to Low   | 2,910          | 0            | 0            | 2,910   | Rejected  |
| Unsuited to Low   | 0              | 0            | 695          | 695     | Rejected  |
| Unsuited  | 5,788          | 9,259        | 1,529        | 16,576  | Rejected  |
| Questionable  | 0              | 0            | 0            | 0       | Rejected  |
| Questionable to Unsuited  | 13,415         | 3,350        | 3,196        | 19,960  | Rejected  |
| Not Classified  | 7,186          | 4,904        | 316          | 12,406  | Rejected  |
| Total   | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |           |
| Subtotal Selected   | 25,006         | 53,999       | 12,915       | 95,840  |           |
|   |                |              |              |         |           |
| Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office. |                |              |              |         |           |

#### Screening Results

Utilizing the screening criteria previously described, parcels were selected that had the potential, given an adequate and economical surface water supply, to be developed into irrigated agriculture based on the information contained in the Soil-Vegetation Survey dataset and current parcel size information. Table 8 summarizes the maximum acreage that could potentially be developed into irrigated agriculture, based on meeting the selection criteria previously discussed. Figure 9 shows the location of the parcels that were selected based on all of those criteria.

| Table 8. Summary of Selection           |                |              |              |         |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|   |                |              |              |         |
|   | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |
|   | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   |
| Suitability for Agricultural Production | Acres          | Acres        | Acres        | Acres   |
| Lands not meeting Criteria              | 50,888         | 51,699       | 16,580       | 119,167 |
| Lands meeting Criteria                  | 3,416          | 19,813       | 5,991        | 29,220  |
| Total Acres                             | 54,304         | 71,512       | 22,571       | 148,387 |
|   |                |              |              |         |
|   |                |              |              |         |

Source: Calaveras County Soil-Vegetation Handbook (1982), Calaveras County Farm Advisor's Office.

#### POTENTIAL AGRICULTURAL DEMANDS

The Tudor Engineering report identified apples, walnuts, olives and irrigated pasture as potential index crops for their study. The Calaveras County Agricultural Commissioner's 2009 Annual Crop Report notes that relatively small acreages of those crops are being grown, in addition to some wine grapes and minor crops, including stone fruits,

pistachios, and berries, that are grown in the County. Given suitable soils and water supply, it is anticipated that the areas identified in the screening process would be suited to producing all of these crops. Some crops, such as berries, would likely be more boutique size farms rather than large production acreage.

One of the "hot" crops right now is almonds, and almonds in fact are currently being grown in neighboring Stanislaus County south of Highway 4, just west of the County. Also in Stanislaus County just a little further west, Oakdale Irrigation District (OID) is currently experiencing the conversion of land to almonds from rangeland and irrigated pasture on soil that is often fairly shallow with an underlying hardpan. This conversion to almonds is occurring in large part because OID has a reliable water supply and the economics of farming almonds is currently favorable to development. Almonds may be a possibility for the western portion of the County that have suitable temperature ranges. In addition, grapes, olives and stone fruits also have potential to do well on the western edge of the County. Irrigated pasture would supplement the dryland grazing that is prevalent in the County, but the relatively high water cost could prevent that crop from being economically viable.

The crops identified above have water requirements ranging from 2.5 AF/acre to over 3.5 AF/acre. After allowing for irrigation system inefficiencies, leaching requirements, etc., water requirements would likely range between 3.0 and 4.0 AF/acre, and could exceed 4.0 AF/acre. An average irrigation demand value of 3.5 AF/acre was used for this demand study to conservatively estimate potential agricultural water demand. Table 9 summarizes the estimated maximum potential agricultural irrigation demands for each study area with the minimum 20-acre parcel size.

| Table 9. Potential Agricultural De      |                |              |              |         |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|   |                |              |              |         |
|   | Valley Springs | Salt Springs | Copperopolis |         |
| Suitability for Agricultural Production | Study Area     | Study Area   | Study Area   | Total   |
| Lands Meeting Criteria, acres           | 3,416          | 19,813       | 5,991        | 29,220  |
| Est. Avg. Irrigation Demand, AF/acre    | 3.5            | 3.5          | 3.5          |         |
| Total Estimated Demand, AF              | 11,956         | 69,346       | 20,969       | 102,270 |

It should be noted from the table above that most of the potential agricultural water demand appears to be in the Salt Springs and Copperopolis area. By contrast, in the 1960 Tudor Engineering Report that estimated there was approximately 93,000 acres within the County that were suitable for irrigation, approximately 25,000 acres appear to fall within the three study areas, with the highest concentration of irrigable acres within the Valley Springs area and very little in the Salt Springs area. Furthermore the land that was proposed to be served by the Western Calaveras Irrigation District was principally in the Valley Springs Study Area. Significant development and parcelization of the Valley Springs area since the mid-1970's would mean that a lot of the land previously identified as irrigable in the Valley Springs area did not meet the selection criteria for this evaluation, primarily because most of the parcels are now less than 20 acres. One of the uncertainties at this point is why more land in the Salt Springs area

wasn't identified as potential irrigable land in the 1960 Tudor Engineering report. Part of the second phase of this analysis (if authorized) would be to ascertain whether the potential irrigable acreage in the Salt Springs and Copperopolis areas identified in this analysis is indeed suitable for production agriculture.

#### RECOMMENDED NEXT STEPS

If the District is interested in further pursuing the potential for agricultural development in the western portion of the County, there are a number of questions that need to be answered and items that need to be verified. The following next steps are recommended to help the District decide whether to pursue agricultural development and to what degree:

- 1) This initial analysis utilizes a dataset of information that is 30 to 45 years old and has not been verified. At this time it is unknown how extensive the original field work was in developing the dataset and it is unknown how things have changed in the area. It is recommended that this initial analysis and subsequent results be reviewed with the County Farm Advisors Office and local NRCS office to ascertain whether local knowledge could refine the analysis. The data needs to be field verified or "ground truthed", but most of the land is privately owned and it may be difficult to obtain permission to access the land.
- 2) While many soil conditions can often be mitigated through mechanical means, the deeper the soils the better. At this time it is not known what a shallow soil depth in the Soil-Vegetation dataset actually means, but agricultural development will be much more economically attractive if a grower does not have to spend significant capital dollars on deep ripping or other soil modifications. The NRCS is in the middle of their soil survey and it is our understanding that they cannot publicly release any information until the soil survey is published in a few years, but it may be possible to have them verify some of these preliminary findings by comparing soil borings that they have available. They may also be able to generally tell us more information about certain areas such as the Salt Springs area.
- 3) Discussions with local landowners would be helpful to gain their insight on the potential for developing irrigated agriculture in the area. It is interesting to note that the water supply from the private Salt Springs Reservoir apparently is delivered to agricultural land outside Calaveras County rather than used on the land adjacent to or immediately downstream of the reservoir. It would be helpful to learn more about this area and how that water supply was developed.
- 4) Gather information on land prices and lease rates in the area.
- 5) Further evaluate the possible crop mix to identify crops that would likely be limited to small boutique acreage versus larger production acreage and the factors that would influence that decision, such as contracts and processing facilities. It may also be possible to research possible effects of the apparent impact of global warming on future cropping patterns Almonds moving onto a

little higher ground may be viable to obtain adequate chilling hours with the apparent impact of global warming.

- 6) Evaluate the economics of different crops that could be grown in the area, utilizing the crop production cost information developed by the University of California and modifying it for local conditions with expected yield information. The irrigation system types to serve each crop would also need to be included with expected capital repayment costs. A determination needs to be made to estimate how much agriculture could pay for water and infrastructure, while still yielding a reasonable profit to the grower to entice agricultural development.
- 7) Evaluate the community support for developing agriculture. It is anticipated that some opposition to agriculture would be present, either because of changes to the landscape or the perception that urban areas would subsidize agriculture. Irrigated pasture, for instance, may be more acceptable than cropland because it maintains the current grazing and livestock lifestyle, but irrigated pasture may not be economically possible if there was a significant cost for the delivered water.
- 8) Evaluate the available water supply and possible diversion locations and perform a conceptual evaluation of several water supply conveyance system alternatives, analyzing possible routes and system types (gravity versus pressurized systems) to serve potential agricultural development land to utilize the available District water supply. Topography would need to be reviewed along with the number of landowners that would need to be dealt with along the conveyance route (the fewer the better). Parcels that are smaller than 20-acres could be identified in the vicinity of each potential conveyance route to help identify the total potential irrigated acreage. A conceptual level cost estimate of a potential preferred conveyance system would need to be performed to consider in the economic analysis.
- 9) The above information could be used to essentially update the 1960 Tudor Engineering Report that would be helpful in discussing the possibility of developing production agriculture with local landowners and outside investors.

#### **SUMMARY**

This initial evaluation indicates that there is the potential to use over 100,000 acre-feet of water for agricultural production within the western portion of Calaveras County, realizing that this analysis utilizes a dataset that is 30 to 45 years old. This information needs to be verified and "ground truthed" before committing to plans for agricultural development. Should the District decide to pursue a more in-depth study, the goal of the second phase of this analysis would be to prioritize and address the items noted above under Next Steps and confirm and/or revise the results of this preliminary analysis.



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\USGS\_Elevation\_contours.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Size\_Criteria.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Soil\_Criteria\_1\_Slope.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Soil\_Criteria\_2\_Depth1.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Soil\_Criteria\_3\_Rocks.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Soil\_Criteria\_4\_Stoniness.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Soil\_Criteria\_5\_Cover.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Soil\_Suitability\_low\_and\_better.mxd



G:\Clients\Calaveras County WD-2086\208611C1-Ag Dev Tech Memo\GIS\Map\Figures\Fig\_8\_Potential\_Agricultural\_Lands\_Irrigated\_Suitability\_Low\_Plus.mxd

## ATTACHMENT D

ECORP Consulting: Mokelumne River Modeling Technical Memorandum, August 2017

# Middle and South Forks Mokelumne River Operations Modeling Assumptions

This technical memo describes the operations model for the Middle and South Forks Mokelumne River. The model simulates, on a daily time step, the operations of CCWD's operations on the Mokelumne including the Bear River Diversion Dam, the Middle Fork Mokelumne Diversions, the operation of West Point Water Treatment Plant and its Regulating Reservoir. The model also simulates the operation of CPUD's operations on the Mokelumne including Schaads Reservoir, the South Fork Mokelumne Diversions, the operation of Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant and Jeff Davis Reservoir. These operations are interconnected, as CPUD sells Schaads storage releases to CCWD to pick up at its Middle Fork diversion. A schematic of the model is shown in Figure 1.





### Hydrology

Hydrology is developed for five inflow points in the Middle and South Forks Mokelumne River, with an additional inflow point at Pardee Reservoir on the mainstem Mokelumne. The inflow points and the watersheds defined by these inflow points are shown in Figure 2. Watershed areas are listed in Table 1. The hydrology is developed for a period of record containing water years 1934 through 2016.

| Table 1 - Watershed Areas |                        |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Watershed                 | Watershed Area (Acres) |  |  |  |  |
| Wilson Dam                | 2,794                  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Creek Diversion Dam  | 817                    |  |  |  |  |
| Schaads Reservoir         | 18,204                 |  |  |  |  |
| Forest Creek              | 13,465                 |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Fork Pump Station  | 7,560                  |  |  |  |  |
| South Fork Pump Station   | 43,408                 |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 1 - Watershed Areas

There are four stream gages in the watershed, listed in Table 2. Forest Creek is unimpaired; the Middle Fork Mokelumne is impaired by CCWD diversions in Bear Creek and on the Middle Fork Mokelumne, and is impaired by the operations of Schaads Reservoir and diversions into the South Fork Ditch. The South Fork Mokelumne is impaired by diversions at the South Fork Pumping Plant and flows in the South Fork Ditch.

| USGS<br>Streamgage<br>ID | Streamgage Name                        | Watershed<br>Area<br>(Acres) | Period of<br>Record | Impairment       |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 11317000                 | Middle Fork Mokelumne at West<br>Point | 30,467                       | 1912-Present        | Heavily Impaired |
| 11318500                 | South Fork Mokelumne at West<br>Point  | 47,947                       | 1934-Present        | Light impairment |
| 11316800                 | Forest Creek near Wilseyville          | 13,465                       | 1961-Present        | None             |
| 11319500                 | Mokelumne River near<br>Mokelumne Hill | 348,160                      | 1928-Present        | Heavily Impaired |

#### Table 2 – Stream gages used in Hydrology Development

Historical unimpaired runoff from each of the watersheds listed in Table 1 is estimated using a pairedbasin approach, with runoff estimated by scaling a reference streamgage by watershed area to estimate each watershed's runoff. For water years 1961 through 2016, Forest Creek is used as the reference streamgage. For water years 1934 through 1960, the South Fork Mokelumne streamgage is used as the reference streamgage. There are no records with which to unimpair historical South Fork streamgage flows, and the flow record is left as-is, with no adjustments.

#### Figure 2 - Mokelumne River Watersheds







Historical inflow to Pardee is estimated using a mass balance approach, and this inflow is used as impaired by historical operations on the North Fork Mokelumne. The inflow to Pardee, not including flows in the Middle and South Forks Mokelumne, is estimated as the flow in the mainstem Mokelumne minus the flow at the South Fork streamgage, minus the flow at the Middle Fork streamgage.

A set of streamflows expected under climate change is estimated using climate conditions for California projected at years 2030 and 2070. This is done using the data products published by the California Water Commission (CWC) in 2016 for use in the Water Storage Investment Program applications. These CWC data products contain watershed runoff modeling results for three climate conditions, described in Table 3, in six-kilometer gridded cells across California. The gridded cells are shown with the five project watersheds in Figure 4.

| Condition             | Description   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Historical            | Historical temperature-detrended conditions for a thirty-year period centered on 1995 (1981-2010) |
| 2030 Future Condition | Future condition projected climate for a thirty-year period centered on 2030 (2016-2045)          |
| 2070 Future Condition | Future condition projected climate for a thirty-year period centered on 2070 (2056-2085)          |

| Table 3 - | Climate  | Conditions | Descriptions |
|-----------|----------|------------|--------------|
|           | Cilliate | conditions | Descriptions |

Simulated runoff in all 3 conditions is estimated in each project watershed using a weighted sum of the runoff in each grid cell within the watershed, as shown in Equation 1.

#### **Equation 1**

$$Q_i = R_k * A_{i,k}$$

, where:  $Q_i$  is the flow from watershed i, in units of Acre-Feet,  $R_k$  is the Runoff from cell k, converted from mm to feet, and  $A_{i,k}$  is the watershed area of watershed i contained within cell k, in Acres.

Monthly watershed runoff modeling results for each watershed is calculated in all 3 climate conditions for 1934 through 2011. Monthly perturbation factors are calculated in each watershed for each future climate condition, as the ratio of future climate watershed runoff modeling results to historical watershed runoff modeling results. These monthly perturbation factors are disaggregated into daily perturbation factors, and these daily perturbation factors are applied to the historical daily inflow hydrology to estimate the daily hydrology under expected climate change conditions at both future climate conditions.

The California Water Commis**s**ion dataset period of record is 1922 through 2011. To develop climate change adjusted hydrology for water years 2012-2016, similar hydrologic years were selected, listed in Table 4, and the climate change perturbation factors for the similar hydrologic years are used to perturb the historic 2012-2016 streamflows. The average monthly flows at the confluence of the Middle and

South Forks Mokelumne River is shown in Figure 5 at the historical level and both levels of climate change.

| Water Year | Selected Similar Hydrologic Year |  |
|------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 2012       | 2001                             |  |
| 2013       | 1994 / 1971*                     |  |
| 2014       | 1987                             |  |
| 2015       | 1977                             |  |
| 2016       | 1971                             |  |

 Table 4 - Similar Hydrologic Years for water years 2013-2016

\* 2013 uses 1994 factors in each month except December, which uses 1971.



Figure 4 - CWC Climate Change Runoff Modeling Grid Cells and Project Watersheds
| Water Year | Selected Similar Hydrologic Year |
|------------|----------------------------------|
| 2012       | 2001                             |
| 2013       | 1994 / 1971*                     |
| 2014       | 1987                             |
| 2015       | 1977                             |
| 2016       | 1971                             |

| Table | 5 - | Similar | Hvdro  | logic | Years   | for | water | vears | 2013 | -2016 |
|-------|-----|---------|--------|-------|---------|-----|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Iable | 5-  | Jiiiiai | iiyuiu | lugic | I Cal S | 101 | water | years | 2013 | 2010  |

\* 2013 uses 1994 factors in each month except December, which uses 1971.

Figure 5 - Average Monthly Flows at the confluence of Middle Fork and South Fork Mokelumne River



## Water Rights

The model tracks diversions by water right, and does the water rights accounting for all diversion sources. The Model contains following water rights and limitations:

- CPUD Pre-1914 Direct Diversion (S 025267) allows diversions at the South Fork Pumping Plant up to facility capacity, 3300 gpm (7.25 cfs). Maximum past use when the statement was filed is 1,734 AF, but they comment that it will need up to 4,704 at Build-Out.
- CPUD Permit 016338, dated 1927, allows up to 2,130 AF of storage per year, Jan through Dec, in Jeff Davis Reservoir. Maximum rate of diversion to offstream storage is 15 cfs. Permit states that the district cannot yield more than 6,656 AF per year combined with all other rights.
- CCWD Permit 015452 allows diversion to storage and rediversion of Bear Creek water. Allows direct diversion of up to 4 cfs all year and 150 AF of storage December 1 through May 30. Total annual diversion is limited to 1,830 AF per year.

# **Consumptive Demands**

The modeled consumptive demand nodes include:

- Walsh Property CCWD's water rights permit on Bear Creek is junior to a downstream right holder, referred to here as The Walsh Property. In lieu of taking a direct diversion below CCWD's Bear Creek diversion dam, CCWD provides the Walsh Property with these water rights entitlements directly from Regulating Reservoir.
- West Point WTP M&I consumptive demands at the intake of the West Point WTP.
- Middle Fork Pipeline consumptive demands along the alignment of the Middle Fork Pipeline.
- Jeff Davis WTP M&I consumptive demands at the intake of Jeff Davis Reservoir, including for Mokelumne Hill and San Andreas.
- Jenny Lind M&I consumptive demands in the Jenny Lind service area.
- Valley Springs M&I consumptive demand in the Valley Springs service area.
- Area B A combination of M&I, agricultural, and rural residential consumptive demands located within the Mokelumne River watershed in the area south of Pardee Lake and Lake Camanche.
- Wallace & Burson surface water replacement for current groundwater pumping in the rural residential neighborhoods of Wallace and Burson. Consumptive demands for Wallace and Burson are included in Area B.

The consumptive demands contained in the model at various levels of development are shown in Table 6. More information on these consumptive demands are found in the Calaveras County Mokelumne River Long-Term Water Needs Study Technical Memorandum.

| Demand | Demand Name         | Demand Pattern                     | Annual Consumptive Demand, Acre |      |      |      |  |
|--------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
| Node   |                     |                                    | Feet                            |      |      |      |  |
|        |                     |                                    | Current                         | 2030 | 2070 | 2100 |  |
|        |                     |                                    | LoD                             | LoD  | LoD  | LoD  |  |
| 901    | Walsh Property      | 25 GPM, May through                | 18                              | 18   | 18   | 18   |  |
|        |                     | October                            |                                 |      |      |      |  |
| 910    | West Point WTP      | West Point Historical <sup>1</sup> | 141                             | 242  | 282  | 327  |  |
| 915    | MF Pipeline         | Rural Residential                  | 0                               | 2468 | 3690 | 4988 |  |
| 920+92 | Jeff Davis WTP      | Jeff Davis Historical <sup>2</sup> | 1928                            | 2238 | 3332 | 4491 |  |
| 5      |                     |                                    |                                 |      |      |      |  |
| 950    | Jenny Lind          | M&I                                | 0                               | 2113 | 2220 | 2301 |  |
| 955    | Valley Springs      | M&I                                | 0                               | 131  | 238  | 372  |  |
| 930a   | Area B M&I          | M&I                                | 0                               | 1142 | 4186 | 6469 |  |
| 930b   | Area B Agricultural | Agricultural                       | 0                               | 269  | 985  | 1523 |  |
| 930c   | Area B Rural Res    | Rural Residential                  | 0                               | 642  | 2356 | 3642 |  |
| 930d   | Wallace & Burson    | Rural Residential                  | 0                               | 69   | 90   | 106  |  |

#### Table 6 - Model Consumptive Demands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pattern developed from the average of 2011-2015 usage at West Point WTP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pattern developed from the average of 1976-2014 usage at Jeff Davis WTP.

# **Facility Capacities**

| Facility                  | Capacity (given units) | Capacity (cfs) |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Bear Creek Diversions     | 4 cfs                  | 4 cfs          |
| Middle Fork Pumping Plant | 200 gpm                | 0.44 cfs       |
| South Fork Pumping Plant  | 3300 gpm               | 7.25 cfs       |
| Jeff Davis WTP            | 6.0 MGD                | 11.16 cfs      |
| West Point WTP            | 1.0 MGD                | 1.86 cfs       |
| Middle Fork Pipeline      | 25 cfs                 | 25 cfs         |

# **Operations**

### Wilson Dam

Wilson Dam is modeled with no storage, and passes all inflow.

## **Bear Creek Diversion Dam**

Bear Creek diversions fall into three categories; Direct Diversions to the West Point WTP, diversions to storage in Regulating Reservoir, and diversions that wheel Walsh Property diversions. All diversions, except Walsh Property wheeling, are subject to a 400 AF water right limitation, and diversions to storage in Regulating Reservoir are limited to 150 AF, December through May.

The model imposes a minimum flow requirement below the Bear Creek Diversion Dam of 0.5 cfs. This represents obligations that CCWD has to downstream water right holders regarding flow bypass. The maximum diversion at the Bear Creek Diversion Dam is modeled as 4 cfs.

The general operation of Bear Creek Diversion Dam is to use the winter storms to refill Regulating Reservoir, and once the reservoir is filled, the diversions are equal to demand at the West Point WTP. In the summer, as Bear Creek flows recede, diversions will drop off until the next winter.

## **Middle Fork Pumping Plant and Diversion Dam**

The Middle Fork Pumping Plant is generally used in the summer when there is not adequate hydrology at the Bear Creek Diversion dam to support gravity diversions from Bear Creek. The Middle Fork Pumping Plant is used to redivert the 200 AF water transfer from CPUD to CCWD. The Middle Fork Pumping Plant has a capacity of 0.44 cfs. When the water transfer is initiated, the Middle Fork Pumping plant diverts 0.44 cfs until the annual diversion has reached 200 AF or when there is adequate hydrology to support gravity diversions at Bear Creek Diversion Dam in the fall or winter.

## **Regulating Reservoir and West Point WTP**

Regulating Reservoir is a 50 acre-foot offstream reservoir that is used as a forebay for West Point WTP. Regulating Reservoir is generally filled in the fall and winter, and is kept full throughout the remainder of the winter and through the spring. In the early summer, diversions from Bear Creek are no longer available, and the storage in Regulating Reservoir decreases. When storage reaches 45 acre-feet, the water transfer from CPUD is initiated and Middle Fork Pumping Plant supplies 0.44 cfs for the remainder of the summer. At levels of demand in which summer demands exceed 0.44 cfs, Regulating Reservoir continues to provide supply to supplement Middle Fork Pumping Plant diversions.

## **Schaads Reservoir**

The model contains a minimum instream flow requirement below Schaads Reservoir of 3 cfs or natural inflow, whichever is less. Schaads Reservoir is operated with 3 storage zones: Hydro Operations, Water Supply, and Minimum Pool, as shown in Figure 6. The reservoir never encroaches on the Minimum Pool. The water in the Water Supply zone is used to provide water supply to the Middle Fork Pipeline and water transfers to CCWD. In the Hydro Operations Zone, the reservoir is cycled to generate power through the reservoir's hydro generation units. There are three generations units modeled, described in Table 7, with each operated in an on-off manner, where each unit is operating at full flow or not at all. The model operates each unit as needed to keep storage below the spillway, while not encroaching into the Water Supply zone.



#### Figure 6 - Schaads Reservoir Storage Zones

|      | Sendudo neservon nyurogeneration onits |
|------|--|
| Unit | Unit Maximum Throughput (cfs)          |
| 1    | 3                                      |
| 2    | 16.5                                   |
| 3    | 21                                     |

### Table 7 - Schaads Reservoir Hydrogeneration Units

## South Fork Pumping Plant and Diversion Dam

CPUD has an agreement with CDFW, later included in water rights permit 016338, for a minimum instream flow requirement downstream of the South Fork Pumping Plant. The agreement specifies an instream flow requirement of 5 cfs or natural flow on the SF Mokelumne below the South Fork Pumping Plant. This is relaxed to 3 cfs in dry years, defined as an April Runoff forecast less than or equal to 50% of average. This is interpreted as being relaxed to 3 cfs when the Bulletin 120 forecast of April through July natural flow at the "Mokelumne River Total Inflow to Pardee Reservoir" forecast point. This is modeled using the CDEC Full Natural Flow at the station Mokelumne River at Mokelumne Hill as a proxy for Bulletin 120 forecasts.

The South Fork Pumping Plant has a maximum capacity of 7.25 cfs. The model operates the pumping plant to divert all available flow above the minimum instream flow requirement, up to the maximum capacity, when available. When Jeff Davis Reservoir is full, the Pumping Plant diversion is equal to the consumptive demand at Jeff Davis WTP.

## Jeff Davis Reservoir and WTP

Jeff Davis Reservoir acts as a regulating Reservoir between the operations of Jeff Davis WTP and the South Fork Pumping Plant. The WTP intakes that day's consumptive demand, and the South Fork Pumping Plant diverts all available diversions, and the storage in Jeff Davis Reservoir is the result of the offset of the two flows. When Jeff Davis Reservoir storage reaches zero, intake to the Jeff Davis WTP is equal to the diversions at the South Fork Pumping Plant, which depending on the study may or may not be augmented with Middle Fork Pipeline deliveries.

## **Middle Fork Pipeline**

The Middle Fork Pipeline is an anticipated future facility that will replace the old Middle Fork Ditch system that conveys water from Schaads Reservoir to the South Fork Mokelumne. In the event of a Middle Fork Reservoir, it is assumed that the Middle Fork Pipeline will also be able to convey diversions from that Reservoir to the South Fork Mokelumne for rediversion at the South Fork Pumping Plant. The pipeline will have a capacity of 25 cfs, with a capacity to move 5 cfs directly to Jeff Davis Reservoir via gravity-siphon.

## **Pardee Diversions**

The model assumes that diversions for Western Calaveras County demands will be diverted at Pardee Reservoir, and that the hydrology at this point is completely available for these diversions. In reality agreements would be reached between parties that are outside the scope of this model, but the model assumes full use of this water as a best case for deliveries and worst case for river flows.

## Forest - Middle Fork Reservoir

The proposed Forest - Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir Project is modeled in some studies to evaluate the effect that this project would have on water supplies. This project is modeled in those studies as described in the 1999 constraints analysis [K.S. Dunbar and Associates, 1999] (Dunbar Report); a 12,000 acre-foot reservoir located just downstream of the confluence between the Middle Fork Mokelumne and Forest Creek. In addition to making releases into the Middle Fork Mokelumne for downstream rediversion, the reservoir would be able to be able to divert by pumping up into the Middle Fork Pipeline.

The Elevation-Capacity relationship shown in Table 8 was assumed based on the reservoir parameters described in the Dunbar Report.

| Table 0 - Middle Fork Reservoir Elevation-capacity Relationship |                             |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Elevation, Feet   | Storage Capacity, Acre-Feet | Pool Surface Area, Acres |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,656   | 0                           | 0                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,720   | 1,450                       | 45                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,760   | 3,935                       | 88                       |  |  |  |  |  |

|--|

| Elevation, Feet | Storage Capacity, Acre-Feet | Pool Surface Area, Acres |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2,780           | 6,040                       | 121                      |
| 2,800           | 8,875                       | 163                      |
| 2,817           | 12,000                      | 202                      |

The Middle Fork Reservoir would inundate most of the river between Schaads Reservoir and the confluence with Forest Creek. When the Middle Fork Reservoir is included in a study, Middle Fork Reservoir takes on the three cfs minimum flow requirement and Schaads is relieved of its minimum flow requirement.

### **Evaporation**

Evaporation is assumed at Schaads Reservoir and Forest - Middle Fork Reservoir. The evaporation pattern used in the model is the average of measured evaporation at Salt Springs Reservoir, averaged over 1932-1978 as given in CDWR Bulletin 73 [California Department of Water Resources, 1979]. This evaporation pattern is shown in Figure 7.

| 1.80107 | Evaporation rattern  |
|---------|----------------------|
| Month   | Evaporation (Inches) |
| 1       | 1.7                  |
| 2       | 1.4                  |
| 3       | 2.3                  |
| 4       | 3.4                  |
| 5       | 4.5                  |
| 6       | 6.1                  |
| 7       | 7.8                  |
| 8       | 8.0                  |
| 9       | 7.1                  |
| 10      | 4.8                  |
| 11      | 2.7                  |
| 12      | 2.2                  |

## Figure 7 - Evaporation Pattern

# **Modeling Scenarios**

The modeling scenarios that were studied for analysis are listed in Table 9.

#### Table 9 - Modeling Scenarios

| Scenario Name           | Hydrology Set | Consumptive<br>Demand | Schaads Reservoir        | Middle Fork<br>Pipeline | Forest - Middle<br>Fork Reservoir | Regulating<br>Reservoir |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                         |               | Level of              |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
|                         |               | Development           |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Baseline                | Historical    | Current               | Current Configuration    | No                      | None                              | 50 AF                   |
| Baseline 2070           | 2070 Climate  | Current               | Current Configuration    | No                      | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Current Facilities 2030 | 2030 Climate  | 2030                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Current Facilities 2070 | 2070 Climate  | 2070                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Alternative 1           | 2070 Climate  | 2100                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Expanded Schaads 2030   | 2030 Climate  | 2030                  | Schaads raised 6' for    | Yes                     | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       | 250 AF capacity increase |                         |                                   |                         |
| Expanded Schaads 2070   | 2070 Climate  | 2070                  | Schaads raised 6' for    | Yes                     | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       | 250 AF capacity increase |                         |                                   |                         |
| Expanded Schaads 2100   | 2070 Climate  | 2100                  | Schaads raised 6' for    | Yes                     | None                              | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       | 250 AF capacity increase |                         |                                   |                         |
| Expanded Regulator      | 2030 Climate  | 2030                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | None                              | 150 AF                  |
| 2030                    | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Expanded Regulator      | 2070 Climate  | 2070                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | None                              | 150 AF                  |
| 2070                    | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Expanded Regulator      | 2070 Climate  | 2100                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | None                              | 150 AF                  |
| 2100                    | Change        |                       |                          |                         |                                   |                         |
| Alternative 2           | 2070 Climate  | 2100                  | Schaads raised 6' for    | Yes                     | 8,000 AF Reservoir                | 150 AF                  |
|                         | Change        |                       | 250 AF capacity increase |                         |                                   |                         |
| Alternative 3           | 2070 Climate  | 2100                  | Current Configuration    | Yes                     | 12,000 Reservoir as               | 50 AF                   |
|                         | Change        |                       |                          |                         | described in                      |                         |
|                         |               |                       |                          |                         | operations section.               |                         |

# **Modeling Results**

## West Point System

#### **Bear Creek Diversion Dam**

Annual diversions at the Bear Creek Diversion Dam are shown in Figure 8. These diversions are well within the 1,830 AF water rights limitation. Bear Creek supplies most of the annual usage at the West Point WTP, so as WTP demand increases through time, the diversions at Bear Creek Diversion Dam will increase by a similar amount. In the driest year, supply is limited by natural hydrology and the annual diversion does not increase with consumptive demand.



#### Figure 8 - Annual Diversions at Bear Creek Diversion Dam, 1934-2016

#### **Middle Fork Pumping Plant**

Annual diversions at the Middle Fork Pumping Plant are shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9 - Annual Diversions at Middle Fork Pumping Plant, 1934-2016

#### West Point Water Treatment Plant and Regulating Reservoir

Annual usage at West Point WTP with current facilities is shown in Figure 10. The general operations are to use Bear Creek diversions from fall through early summer. In early summer, when Bear Creek hydrology no longer supports diversions, the model will call on the Middle Fork Pumping Plant to redivert releases from Schaads Reservoir. However, full buildout demands at West Point WTP reach 0.7 cfs, greater than the 0.44 cfs diversion capacity at Middle Fork Pumping Plant. This difference between demand and pumping capacity is supplemented with storage at Regulating Reservoir. In dry years, this scenario starts earlier in the summer, and more storage at Regulating Reservoir is used throughout the summer. In very dry years, Regulating Reservoir will run out of storage and is unable to continue supplementing Middle Fork Pumping Plant diversions, resulting in deficits. In the driest years, Schaads Reservoir hits minimum pool and is unable to provide sufficient flows to Middle Fork Pumping Plant, resulting in further deficits.



Figure 10 - West Point Deliveries with Current Facilities, 1934-2016

#### **Future Facility Improvements**

One option for future facilities is an expanded Regulating Reservoir, expanding from 50 AF to 150 AF. This option allows Regulating Reservoir to continue to supplement Middle Fork Pumping Plant diversions longer throughout the summer, and avoid deficits in some dry years. The additional storage would be diverted out of Bear Creek throughout the winter and spring. Deliveries to West Point WTP with this option are shown in Figure 11, and diversions from Bear Creek are shown in Figure 12.



Figure 11 - Deliveries to West Point WTP with Expanded Regulating Reservoir, 1934-2016



Figure 12 - Bear Creek Diversion Dam Diversions with Expanded Regulating Reservoir, 1934-2016

Another option for future facilities is an expanded Middle Fork Pumping Plant, increasing the capacity from 0.44 cfs to 0.7 cfs. This allows West Point WTP demand to be met fully with diversions from the Middle Fork Pumping Plant throughout the summer. The effect on deliveries at West Point WTP with these expansions, at the 2100 level of demand, is shown in Figure 13.





### **Schaads Reservoir and Middle Fork Pipeline**

Deliveries along the Middle Fork Pipeline are shown in Figure 14. As seen in the figure, Schaads Reservoir does not have sufficient size to support Middle Fork Pipeline demands at any future demand level. As shown in Figure 15, the demands in the Middle Fork Pipeline cause Schaads Reservoir to reach minimum pool often, and at build out demand level Schaads Reservoir is at minimum pool in almost every year. With Schaads Reservoir reaching minimum pool most summers, Schaads is unable to provide water to Middle Fork Pumping Plant or to the South Fork Mokelumne for diversions into Jeff Davis Reservoir.



Figure 14 - Middle Fork Pipeline Deliveries with Current Facilities, 1934-2016



Figure 15 - Schaads End-of-year (September 30) Storage

An option for future facility enhancement is an expanded Schaads Reservoir. This option helps with Schaads storage conditions slightly, as shown in Figure 16, and increases deliveries along the pipeline as shown in Figure 17.



Figure 16 - Schaads End-of-year (September 20) Storage with Expanded Schaads Reservoir



Figure 17 - Middle Fork Pipeline Deliveries with Expanded Schaads Reservoir, 1934-2016

### South Fork Pumping Plant and Jeff Davis Reservoir

Annual diversions at the South Fork Pumping Plant with current facilities are shown in Figure 18. Total annual deliveries to Jeff Davis WTP are shown in Figure 19, and end of year storage at Jeff Davis Reservoir is shown in Figure 20. The system generally operates with Jeff Davis Reservoir full, diverting WTP demand at the Pumping Plant. In the summer when flows recede, storage at Jeff Davis Reservoir is used to supplement pumping. In dry years, storage reaches zero, and deficits result. With current facilities, Schaads Reservoir is already at minimum pool and is unable to provide supplementary flows to the South Fork Mokelumne. Increasing the size of Schaads Reservoir tends to provide more deliveries to the Middle Fork Pipeline, but not additional deliveries to Jeff Davis Reservoir.





#### Figure 19 - Jeff Davis WTP Deliveries, 1934-2016





#### Figure 20 - Jeff Davis End of Year (September 20) Storage, 1934-2016

### **Middle Fork Reservoir**

The Middle Fork Reservoir provides backstop supply for the West Point WTP, Jeff Davis WTP, and Middle Fork Pipeline deliveries (Figure 21). With the inclusion of this reservoir each of these delivery points receive full deliveries in even the driest years at the 2100 level of demand. The reservoir also serves as a backstop to the lower Mokelumne deliveries at Pardee, which divert the natural flow in the river at Pardee.



Figure 21 - Middle Fork Reservoir end of year Storage, 1934-2016

## References

California Department of Water Resources, Evaporation from Water Sources in California. November 1979.

K.S. Dunbar and Associates, Forest-Middle Fork Dam and Reservoir Project Constraints Analysis. April 1999.